

UDC 517.44

Z. LI, C. WANG, R. P. AGARWAL

TIME-HYBRID HEAT AND WAVE EQUATIONS ON SCATTERED N -DIMENSIONAL COUPLED-JUMPING TIME SCALES

Abstract. In this paper, the exponential, hyperbolic, and trigonometric functions on n -dimensional coupled-jumping time scales (CJTS for short) are introduced. Based on this, we introduce the Laplace transform on n -dimensional CJTS and establish their related properties. Moreover, the homogeneous time-hybrid heat and wave equations are solved on scattered n -demensional CJTS using this Laplace transform.

Key words: *Coupled-jumping time scales; Multivariable calculus; Partial dynamic equation; Laplace transform.*

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification: 26E70; 35A08;
44A10

1. Introduction and preliminaries. The notion of the time scale was introduced by Stefan Hilger in 1988. It unifies the continuous and discrete analysis on various hybrid domains (see [6], [7]). There have been many results related to different topics on time scales, such as the general theory of dynamic equations (see [3]), Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems (see [2]), the theory of translation closedness of time scales, and the related functions (see [10], [11]). Moreover, some new studies of various applications and theories on time scales were conducted (see [13] – [19]), such as quaternion dynamic equations (see [9]), the Lebesgue measure integral (see [4]), and partial dynamic equations and applications (see [1], [5], [8]).

In [12], the authors initiated the notion of coupled-jumping timescale space (CJTS for short) and introduced the theory of calculus and fundamental functions. Based on this theory, the initial-value problem of time-hybrid dynamic equations whose initial value is given in the time scale \mathbb{T}_2 and the unique solution is located in the time scale \mathbb{T}_1 was introduced

and discussed including some important integral transforms, such as the convolution and the Laplace transform. The coupled-jumping timescale theory largely deepens and includes the Hilger theory and brings a completely new significance of dynamic equations on time scales (see [12]).

In this paper, based on the basic concepts and properties from [12], we introduce the n -dimensional coupled jump operators and basic functions on CJTS. For more details of coupled-jumping time scale theory, consult [12].

Definition 1. [3]

(i) A time scale \mathbb{T} is an arbitrary nonempty closed subset of the real line. Let $\sigma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the forward jump operator with $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T}: s > t\}$ and $\rho: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ the backward jump operator with $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T}: s < t\}$.

(ii) Let $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, where $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T} \setminus [\rho(\sup \mathbb{T}), \sup \mathbb{T}]$ for $\sup \mathbb{T} < \infty$ and $\mathbb{T}^\kappa = \mathbb{T}$, otherwise. Then, we define $f^\Delta(t)$ to be a real number (provided it exists) with the property that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood U of t (i.e., $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$) for some $\delta > 0$, such that $|f(\sigma(t)) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$ for all $s \in U$. We call $f^\Delta(t)$ the delta (or Hilger) derivative of f at t .

Definition 2. [12] Let \mathbb{T}_{m_1} and \mathbb{T}_{m_2} be a pair of time scales. For $t \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, we define the coupled-forward jump operator between \mathbb{T}_{m_1} and \mathbb{T}_{m_2} by $\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_l}(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T}_l: s \geq t\}$ and define the coupled-backward jump operator between \mathbb{T}_{m_1} and \mathbb{T}_{m_2} by $\rho_{\mathbb{T}_l}(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T}_l: s \leq t\}$, $l \in \{m_1, m_2\}$, $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 3. [12] Let \mathbb{T}_{m_1} and \mathbb{T}_{m_2} be a pair of time scales. Define \mathbb{T}_k^κ , \mathbb{T}_k^κ and $\mathbb{T}_k^{\bar{\kappa}}$ as follows:

$$\mathbb{T}_k^\kappa = \begin{cases} \mathbb{T}_k \setminus (\sup \mathbb{T}_j, +\infty), & \sup \mathbb{T}_j < \infty, \\ \mathbb{T}_k, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{T}_k^{\bar{\kappa}} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{T}_k \setminus (-\infty, \inf \mathbb{T}_j), & \inf \mathbb{T}_j < \infty, \\ \mathbb{T}_k, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{T}_k^{\bar{\kappa}} = \mathbb{T}_k^\kappa \cap \mathbb{T}_k^{\bar{\kappa}}, \text{ where } k, j \in \{m_1, m_2\} \text{ and } k \neq j, m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Definition 4. Let $f: \{\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}\} \times \{\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{n_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{n_2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Define a hybrid-composition integral of $f(\mathbf{t})$ with respect to t_m as follows:

$$\int_a^b f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{hm} \tau = \begin{cases} \alpha_m \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(a), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau + \\ + (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(a), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(b)]_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau, & a < b, \\ -\alpha_m \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(b), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(a)]_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau - \\ - (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(b), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(a)]_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau, & a > b, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, $0 \leq \alpha_m \leq 1$, $\mathbf{t}_m = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, \tau, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)$, $t_m \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, $1 \leq m \leq n$.

Definition 5. [12] Let $t, s \in \mathbb{T}_1 \cup \mathbb{T}_2$, $f: \mathbb{T}_1 \cup \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Define the hybrid-composition exponential function by

$$\bar{e}_f(t, s) = \begin{cases} \exp \left(\alpha \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_1}(s), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_1}(t)]_{\mathbb{T}_1}} \frac{\text{Log}(1 + \mu_1(\tau)f(\tau))}{\mu_1(\tau)} \Delta_1 \tau + \right. \\ \left. + (1 - \alpha) \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_2}(s), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_2}(t)]_{\mathbb{T}_2}} \frac{\text{Log}(1 + \mu_2(\tau)f(\tau))}{\mu_2(\tau)} \Delta_2 \tau \right), & s < t, \\ \exp \left(-\alpha \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_1}(t), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_1}(s)]_{\mathbb{T}_1}} \frac{\text{Log}(1 + \mu_1(\tau)f(\tau))}{\mu_1(\tau)} \Delta_1 \tau - \right. \\ \left. - (1 - \alpha) \int_{[\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_2}(t), \rho_{\mathbb{T}_2}(s)]_{\mathbb{T}_2}} \frac{\text{Log}(1 + \mu_2(\tau)f(\tau))}{\mu_2(\tau)} \Delta_2 \tau \right), & s > t. \end{cases}$$

If $1 \pm \mu_l(t)f(t) \neq 0$ and $1 \pm i\mu_l(t)f(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}_1^\kappa \cup \mathbb{T}_2^\kappa$, $l \in \{1, 2\}$, then we define the hybrid-composition hyperbolic functions $\overline{\sinh}_f(t, s)$ and $\overline{\cosh}_f(t, s)$ by

$$\overline{\sinh}_f(t, s) = \frac{\bar{e}_f(t, s) - \bar{e}_{-f}(t, s)}{2}$$

and

$$\overline{\cosh}_f(t, s) = \frac{\bar{e}_f(t, s) + \bar{e}_{-f}(t, s)}{2};$$

we define the hybrid-composition trigonometric functions $\overline{\sin}_f(t, s)$ and $\overline{\cos}_f(t, s)$ by

$$\overline{\sin}_f(t, s) = \frac{\bar{e}_{if}(t, s) - \bar{e}_{-if}(t, s)}{2i}$$

and

$$\overline{\cos}_f(t, s) = \frac{\bar{e}_{if}(t, s) + \bar{e}_{-if}(t, s)}{2},$$

where i is the unit imaginary number.

Definition 6. $\mathbb{T}_{m_1}, \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$ are called coupled-jumping equivalent time scales on $\mathbb{T}_{m_1}^\kappa$ if $\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(\sigma_{m_1}(t)) = \sigma_{m_2}(\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t))$ and $\mu_{m_1}(t) = \mu_{m_2}(\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t)) = \mu_{m_1}(\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t)))$ for any $t \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^\kappa$. For convenience, the coupled-jumping equivalent time scales \mathbb{T}_{m_1} and \mathbb{T}_{m_2} are denoted by $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$.

2. Hybrid-composition Laplace transform on n -dimensional CJTS. In this section, we introduce the hybrid-composition Laplace transform and establish some properties of the hybrid-composition Laplace transform on n -dimensional CJTS. We always assume that $\sup \mathbb{T}_{m_1} = \infty$ and $\sup \mathbb{T}_{m_2} = \infty$ for all $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Definition 7. [12] Let $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be fixed, $f: \{\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}\} \times \{\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{n_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{n_2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is regulated with respect to t_m , $t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^\kappa \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^\kappa$. We define the hybrid-composition Laplace transform of f with respect to t_m by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) &= \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau + \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $t_m \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, $0 \leq \alpha_m \leq 1$, $z_m \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 + \mu_{m_k}(t_{m_k}) \ominus z_m \neq 0$, $\mathbf{t}^{(m)} = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, z_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)$, $\mathbf{t}_m = (t_1, \dots, t_{m-1}, \tau, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)$ and $\ominus z_m = \frac{-z_m}{1 + \mu_{m_k}(t_{m_k}) z_m}$ for all $t_{m_k} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_k}^\kappa$, $k \in \{1, 2\}$ and the improper integral (1) exists.

In what follows, we establish some properties of the hybrid-composition Laplace transform on scattered n -dimensional CJTS.

Theorem 1. Let $f: \{\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}\} \times \{\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{n_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{n_2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $m, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $m \neq j$, $t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^\kappa \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^\kappa$, then

$$[\overline{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)})]^{\Delta_{j_k}} = \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}^{\sigma_{m_1}}(\tau, t_{m_0}) f^{\Delta_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau +$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}^{\sigma_{m_2}}(\tau, t_{m_0}) f^{\Delta_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau,$$

where $k = 1, 2$.

Proof. Let $t_{j_k} \in \mathbb{T}_{j_k}^{\kappa}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\overline{\mathcal{L}}(f)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)})]^{\Delta_{j_k}} &= \frac{1}{\mu_{j_k}(t_{j_k})} \left[\alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f^{\sigma_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau \right] + \\ &\quad \frac{1 - \alpha_m}{\mu_{j_k}(t_{j_k})} \times \left[\int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f^{\sigma_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau \right] = \\ &= \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(\tau), t_{m_0}) \frac{f^{\sigma_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) - f(\mathbf{t}_m)}{\mu_{j_k}(t_{j_k})} \Delta_{m_1} \tau + \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(\tau), t_{m_0}) \frac{f^{\sigma_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) - f(\mathbf{t}_m)}{\mu_{j_k}(t_{j_k})} \Delta_{m_2} \tau = \\ &= \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f^{\Delta_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} \tau + \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(\tau), t_{m_0}) f^{\Delta_{j_k}}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 1. [12] Let $t, t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^{\kappa} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^{\kappa}$, $t \geq t_{m_0}$. If $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$. Then $\bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0}) = \ominus z_m \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0})$.

Remark 1. Let $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $t, t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^{\bar{\kappa}} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $t > t_{m_0}$, $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{e}_c^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0}) &= c \bar{e}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \quad \overline{\cosh}_c^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0}) = c \overline{\sinh}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \\ \overline{\sinh}_c^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0}) &= c \overline{\cosh}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \quad \overline{\cos}_c^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0}) = -c \overline{\sin}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \\ \overline{\sin}_c^{\Delta_t}(t, t_{m_0}) &= c \overline{\cos}_c(t, t_{m_0}).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{e}_c^{\Delta_t^2}(t, t_{m_0}) &= c^2 \bar{e}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \quad \overline{\cosh}_c^{\Delta_t^2}(t, t_{m_0}) = c^2 \overline{\cosh}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \\ \overline{\sinh}_c^{\Delta_t^2}(t, t_{m_0}) &= c^2 \overline{\sinh}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \quad \overline{\cos}_c^{\Delta_t^2}(t, t_{m_0}) = c^2 \overline{\cos}_c(t, t_{m_0}), \\ \overline{\sin}_c^{\Delta_t^2}(t, t_{m_0}) &= c^2 \overline{\sin}_c(t, t_{m_0}).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. [12] Let $t_m, t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^{\bar{\kappa}} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $t_m > t_{m_0}$, $f: \{\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{n_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{n_2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$ and $F_m(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{t_{m_0}}^{t_m} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{hm} \tau$.

Then

$$F_m^{\Delta_{t_m}}(\mathbf{t}) = \alpha_m f(t_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_m), \dots, t_n) + (1 - \alpha_m) f(t_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_m), \dots, t_n).$$

Lemma 3. [12] Let $f: \{\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}\} \times \{\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{n_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{n_2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, $t_{m_0}, t_m \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^{\bar{\kappa}} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $F_m(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{t_{m_0}}^{t_m} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{hm} \tau$. If $\lim_{t_m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(t_m, t_{m_0}) F_m(\mathbf{t}) = 0$, $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$. Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}(F_m)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) = \frac{1}{z_m} \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F_m^{\Delta_{m_k}})(\mathbf{t}^{(m)})$$

for $k = 1, 2$.

Using Lemmas 1, 2, and 3, we can prove the following result:

Theorem 2. Let $f: \{\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}\} \times \{\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{T}_{n_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{n_2}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, $t_{m_0}, t_m \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^{\bar{\kappa}} \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $F_m(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{t_{m_0}}^{t_m} f(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{hm} \tau$. If $\lim_{t_m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(t_m, t_{m_0}) f(\mathbf{t}) = 0$ and $\lim_{t_m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(t_m, t_{m_0}) F_m(\mathbf{t}) = 0$, $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{L}}\left(F_m^{\Delta_{m_k}^2}\right)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) &= z_m^2 \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F_m)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) - \alpha_m f(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) - \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_m) f(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n),\end{aligned}$$

where $k = 1, 2$.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\mathcal{L}}\left(F_m^{\Delta_{m_k}^2}\right)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) &= \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(s), t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} s + \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(s), t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}^2}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} s = \\ &= \alpha_m \lim_{t_m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(t_m, t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n) - \\ &\quad - \alpha_m \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0}), t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) + \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_m) \lim_{t_m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(t_m, t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}^2}(t_1, \dots, t_m, \dots, t_n) - \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_m) \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0}), t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}^2}(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) - \\ &\quad - \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \ominus z_m \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(s, t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} s - \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \ominus z_m \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(s, t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}^2}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} s = \\ &= -\alpha_m F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) - \\ &\quad - (1 - \alpha_m) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}^2}(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) + \\ &\quad + z_m \alpha_m \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_1}(s), t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_1} s + \\ &\quad + z_m (1 - \alpha_m) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})}^{\infty} \bar{e}_{\ominus z_m}(\sigma_{m_2}(s), t_{m_0}) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}^2}(\mathbf{t}_m) \Delta_{m_2} s = \\ &= -\alpha_m F_m^{\Delta_{m_1}^2}(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) -\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (1 - \alpha_m) F_m^{\Delta_{m_2}}(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) + z_m \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F_m^{\Delta_{m_k}})(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) = \\
& = -\alpha_m [\alpha_m f(t_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})), \dots, t_n) + \\
& + (1 - \alpha_m) f(t_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0})), \dots, t_n)] - \\
& - (1 - \alpha_m) [\alpha_m f(t_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})), \dots, t_n) + \\
& + (1 - \alpha_m) f(t_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0})), \dots, t_n)] + z_m^2 \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F_m)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}) = \\
& = -\alpha_m f(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_1}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) - (1 - \alpha_m) f(t_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{m_2}}(t_{m_0}), \dots, t_n) + \\
& + z_m^2 \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F_m)(\mathbf{t}^{(m)}).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

3. Time-hybrid homogeneous heat and wave hybrid equations on scattered CJTS. In this section, we will solve the time-hybrid homogeneous heat and wave hybrid equations on scattered CJTS using the hybrid-composition Laplace transform.

Consider the time-hybrid homogeneous heat and wave equation on CJTS as follows:

$$F^{\Delta_{1_1}}(t_1, t_2) = c^2 F^{\Delta_{2_1}}(t_1, t_2) \quad (2)$$

with the initial boundary-value conditions

$$\begin{cases} F^{\Delta_{1_1}}(t_1, t_2) = c^2 F^{\Delta_{2_1}}(t_1, t_2), \\ F(t_{1_0}, t_2) = 0, \alpha F(t_1, a) + \beta F^{\Delta_{2_1}}(t_1, a) = g(t_1), \\ \gamma F(t_1, \sigma_{2_1}^2(b)) + \delta F^{\Delta_{2_1}}(t_1, \sigma_{2_1}(b)) = h(t_1), \end{cases}$$

where $c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{1_1}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $t_2, b \in \mathbb{T}_{2_1}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $t_{1_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{1_1}^{\bar{\kappa}} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $a \in \mathbb{T}_{2_1}^{\bar{\kappa}} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}^{\bar{\kappa}}$, $f: (\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{1_2}) \times (\mathbb{T}_{2_1} \cup \mathbb{T}_{2_2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_{1_0}}^{t_1} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{h_1} \tau$, $a < b$.

Using the hybrid-composition Laplace transform, the initial boundary-value problem (2) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} X^{\Delta_{2_1}^2}(z_1, t_2) = \frac{z_1}{c^2} X(z_1, t_2), \\ \alpha X(z_1, a) + \beta X^{\Delta_{2_1}}(z_1, a) = G(z_1), \\ \gamma X(z_1, \sigma_{2_1}^2(b)) + \delta X^{\Delta_{2_1}}(z_1, \sigma_{2_1}(b)) = H(z_1), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where $X(z_1, t_2) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F)(z_1, t_2)$, $G(z_1) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(g)(z_1)$, $H(z_1) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(h)(z_1)$, $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 3. If $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, $m = 1, 2$. Then the solution of (3) can be given as: $X(z_1, t_2) = c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} & [c_1, c_2]^T = \\ & = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \alpha + \beta \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} & \alpha - \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} \beta \\ \gamma \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}^2(b), a) + \delta \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}(b), a) & \gamma \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}^2(b), a) - \delta \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}(b), a) \end{array} \right]^{-1} \\ & \quad \times \begin{bmatrix} G(z_1) \\ H(z_1) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Remark 1, we have

$$X^{\Delta_{21}}(z_1, t_2) = c_1 \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a) - \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a),$$

$$X^{\Delta_{21}^2}(z_1, t_2) = c_1 \frac{z_1}{c^2} \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a) + \frac{z_1}{c^2} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a) = \frac{z_1}{c^2} X(z_1, t_2).$$

Hence, $X(z_1, t_2) = c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(t_2, a)$ is a solution of (3). Moreover, through using the initial boundary-value conditions of (3), we have

$$\alpha [c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(a, a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(a, a)] + \beta [c_1 \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(a, a) - \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(a, a)] = G(z_1),$$

i. e.,

$$\alpha(c_1 + c_2) + \beta \left(c_1 \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} - \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} c_2 \right) = G(z_1);$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma \left[c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}^2(b), a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}^2(b), a) \right] + \\ & + \delta \left[c_1 \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}(b), a) - \frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{\sqrt{z_1}}{c}}(\sigma_{21}(b), a) \right] = H(z_1). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Example. Let $\mathbb{T}_{1_1} = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{T}_{1_2} = \{n + \frac{1}{2}: n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{2_1} = \{2n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $t_1 > t_{1_0} = 0$. Then the solution of (2) satisfies the equation

$$\alpha_1 f(t_1, t_2) + (1 - \alpha_1) f\left(t_1 - \frac{1}{2}, t_2\right) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{t_1-1} [f(k, t_2 + 4) - 2f(k, t_2 + 2) + f(k, t_2)] + \\
&+ \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{t_1-1} [f(k - \frac{1}{2}, t_2 + 4) - 2f(k - \frac{1}{2}, t_2 + 2) + f(k - \frac{1}{2}, t_2)],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1]$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\Delta_{11}}(t_1, t_2) &= \frac{\alpha_1 \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{11}}(t_{10})}^{\sigma_{11}(t_1)} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{11} \tau - \alpha_1 \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{11}}(t_{10})}^{t_1} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{11} \tau}{\mu_{11}(t_1)} + \\
&+ \frac{(1 - \alpha_1) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{12}}(t_{10})}^{\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{12}}(\sigma_{11}(t_1))} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{12} \tau - (1 - \alpha_1) \int_{\sigma_{\mathbb{T}_{12}}(t_{10})}^{\rho_{\mathbb{T}_{12}}(t_{10})} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{12} \tau}{\mu_{11}(t_1)} = \\
&= \alpha_1 \int_{t_1}^{t_1+1} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{11} \tau + (1 - \alpha_1) \int_{t_1 - \frac{1}{2}}^{t_1 + \frac{1}{2}} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{12} \tau = \\
&= \alpha_1 f(t_1, t_2) + (1 - \alpha_1) f\left(t_1 - \frac{1}{2}, t_2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{\Delta_{21}^2}(t_1, t_2) &= \frac{\frac{F(t_1, \sigma_{21}^2(t_2)) - F(t_1, \sigma_{21}(t_2))}{\mu_{21}(t_2)} - \frac{F(t_1, \sigma_{21}(t_2)) - F(t_1, t_2)}{\mu_{21}(t_2)}}{\mu_{21}(t_2)} = \\
&= \frac{F(t_1, t_2 + 4) - 2F(t_1, t_2 + 2) + F(t_1, t_2)}{4} = \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \left(\alpha_1 \int_0^{t_1} f(\tau, t_2 + 4) \Delta_{11} \tau + (1 - \alpha_1) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t_1 - \frac{1}{2}} f(\tau, t_2 + 4) \Delta_{12} \tau - \right. \\
&- 2\alpha_1 \int_0^{t_1} f(\tau, t_2 + 2) \Delta_{11} \tau - 2(1 - \alpha_1) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t_1 - \frac{1}{2}} f(\tau, t_2 + 2) \Delta_{12} \tau + \\
&\left. + \alpha_1 \int_0^{t_1} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{11} \tau + (1 - \alpha_1) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{t_1 - \frac{1}{2}} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{12} \tau \right) =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\alpha_1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{t_1-1} [f(k, t_2 + 4) - 2f(k, t_2 + 2) + f(k, t_2)] + \\
&+ \frac{1 - \alpha_1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{t_1-1} \left[f\left(k - \frac{1}{2}, t_2 + 4\right) - 2f\left(k - \frac{1}{2}, t_2 + 2\right) + f\left(k - \frac{1}{2}, t_2\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the time-hybrid homogeneous heat and wave equation on CJTS as follows:

$$F^{\Delta_{11}^2}(t_1, t_2) = c^2 F^{\Delta_2^2}(t_1, t_2), \quad (4)$$

with the initial boundary-value conditions

$$\begin{cases} F^{\Delta_{11}^2}(t_1, t_2) = c^2 F^{\Delta_2^2}(t_1, t_2), \\ F(t_{10}, t_2) = 0, \\ F^{\Delta_{11}}(t_{10}, t_2) = \alpha_1 f(\sigma_{T_{11}}(t_{10}), t_2) + (1 - \alpha_1) f(\sigma_{T_{12}}(t_{10}), t_2) = r(t_2), \\ \alpha F(t_1, a) + \beta F^{\Delta_2}(t_1, a) = g(t_1), \\ \gamma F(t_1, \sigma_2^2(b)) + \delta F^{\Delta_2}(t_1, \sigma_2(b)) = h(t_1), \end{cases}$$

where $c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1]$, $t_1 \in \mathbb{T}_{11}^\kappa$, $t_2, a, b \in \mathbb{T}_2^\kappa$, $t_{10} \in \mathbb{T}_{11}^\kappa \cup \mathbb{T}_{12}^\kappa$, $r: \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f: (\mathbb{T}_{11} \cup \mathbb{T}_{12}) \times \mathbb{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F(t_1, t_2) = \int_{t_{10}}^{t_1} f(\tau, t_2) \Delta_{h1} \tau$, $a < b$. Through using the hybrid-composition Laplace transform, the initial boundary-value problem (4) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} X^{\Delta_2^2}(z_1, t_2) = \frac{z_1^2}{c^2} X(z_1, t_2) - \frac{1}{c^2} r(t_2), \\ \alpha X(z_1, a) + \beta X^{\Delta_2}(z_1, a) = G(z_1), \\ \gamma X(z_1, \sigma_2^2(b)) + \delta X^{\Delta_2}(z_1, \sigma_2(b)) = H(z_1), \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $X(z_1, t_2) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(F)(z_1, t_2)$, $G(z_1) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(g)(z_1)$, $H(z_1) = \overline{\mathcal{L}}(h)(z_1)$, $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 4. *Let*

$$R(z_1, t_2, a) :=$$

$$:= \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau,$$

where $\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) = e^{\int_a^{\sigma_2(\tau)} \frac{\text{Log}(1+\mu_2(t)\frac{z_1}{c})}{\mu_2(t)} \Delta_2 t}$. Then

$$R^{\Delta_2^2}(z_1, t_2, a) = \frac{z_1^2}{c^2} R(z_1, t_2, a) - \frac{1}{c^2} r(t_2).$$

Proof. Let $R(z_1, t_2, a) := H(t_2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\Delta_2}(t_2) &= \frac{1}{\mu_2(t_2)} \times \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{\sigma_2(t_2)} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau - \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu_2(t_2)} \times \\ &\times \left[\frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_{t_2}^{\sigma_2(t_2)} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau + \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau - \right. \\ &- \left. \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau \right] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2cz_1} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(t_2), a)} r(t_2) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{-\frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau = \\ &= \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{-\frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } X^{\Delta_2^2}(t_2) = \frac{1}{2cz_1} \frac{-2z_1}{c} r(t_2) + \frac{z_1^2}{c^2} X(t_2) = \frac{z_1^2}{c^2} X(t_2) - \frac{1}{c^2} r(t_2).$$

The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 4. If $\mathbb{T}_{1_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{1_2}$, then the solution of (5) can be given as:

$$\begin{aligned} X(z_1, t_2) &= c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(z_1) &= H(z_1) - \gamma R(z_1, \sigma_2^2(b), a) - \delta \frac{1}{2cz_1} \times \\ &\times \int_a^{\sigma_2(b)} \left[\frac{-\frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} - \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} \right] r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta \frac{z_1}{c} & \alpha - \beta \frac{z_1}{c} \\ \gamma \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) + \delta \frac{z_1}{c} c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) & \gamma \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \delta \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \begin{bmatrix} G(z_1) \\ A(z_1) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 4, it follows that (6) is a solution of (5). Since

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\Delta_2}(z_1, t_2) &= \frac{z_1}{c} c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \frac{z_1}{c} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{t_2} \frac{-\frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(t_2, a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau, \end{aligned}$$

for the initial boundary-conditions of (5), we have

$$X(z_1, a) = c_1 + c_2, X^{\Delta_2}(z_1, a) = \frac{z_1}{c} c_1 - \frac{z_1}{c} c_2,$$

$$X(z_1, \sigma_2^2(b)) = c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{\sigma_2^2(b)} \frac{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) - \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau,$$

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\Delta_2}(z_1, \sigma_2(b)) &= \frac{z_1}{c} c_1 \times \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) - \frac{z_1}{c} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2cz_1} \int_a^{\sigma_2^2(b)} \frac{-\frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)}{\bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a) \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(\tau), a)} r(\tau) \Delta_2 \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\alpha(c_1 + c_2) + \beta\left(\frac{z_1}{c} c_1 - \frac{z_1}{c} c_2\right) = G(z_1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma [c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) + c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a)] + \\ + \delta \left[\frac{z_1}{c} c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) - \frac{z_1}{c} c_2 \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) \right] = A(z_1), \end{aligned}$$

i. e.,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha + \beta \frac{z_1}{c} & \alpha - \beta \frac{z_1}{c} \\ \gamma \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) + \delta \frac{z_1}{c} c_1 \bar{e}_{\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) & \gamma \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2^2(b), a) - \frac{z_1}{c} \delta \bar{e}_{-\frac{z_1}{c}}(\sigma_2(b), a) \end{bmatrix} \times \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G(z_1) \\ A(z_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Remark. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{T}_{m_1} \sim \mathbb{T}_{m_2}$, $t_m \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^\kappa$, $t_{m_0} \in \mathbb{T}_{m_1}^\kappa \cup \mathbb{T}_{m_2}^\kappa$, $t_m > t_{m_0}$ where $m \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$s_\lambda(\cdot) \in \{\bar{e}_{c\lambda}(t_1, t_{10}), \overline{\sinh}_{c\lambda}(t_1, t_{10}), \overline{\cosh}_{c\lambda}(t_1, t_{10}), \overline{\sin}_{c\lambda}(t_1, t_{10}), \overline{\cos}_{c\lambda}(t_1, t_{10})\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_\lambda(\cdot) \in \{\bar{e}_\lambda(t_2, t_{20}), \overline{\sinh}_\lambda(t_2, t_{20}), \\ \overline{\cosh}_\lambda(t_2, t_{20}), \overline{\sin}_\lambda(t_2, t_{20}), \overline{\cos}_\lambda(t_2, t_{20})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$F(t_1, t_2) = s_\lambda(t_1) l_\lambda(t_2)$$

are the solutions of (4).

Acknowledgement. This work is supported by NSFC (No. 11961077).

References

- [1] Ahlbrandt C.D., Morian C. *Partial differential equations on time scales*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2002, vol. 141(1-2), pp. 35-55.
DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(01\)00434-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(01)00434-4)
- [2] Agarwal R. P., Bohner M., Wong P. J. Y. *Sturm-Liouville eigenvalue problems on time scales*, Appl. Math. Comput., 1999, vol. 99(2-3), pp. 153-166.
DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003\(98\)00004-6](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0096-3003(98)00004-6)
- [3] Bohner M., Peterson A. *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston Inc., Boston, Mass. USA, 2001.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0201-1>
- [4] Cabada A., Vivero D. R. *Expression of the Lebesgue Δ -integral on time scales as a usual Lebesgue integral; application to the calculus of Δ -antiderivatives*, Math. Comput. Modelling, 2006, vol. 43(1-2), pp. 194-207.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2005.09.028>
- [5] Hoffacker J. *Basic partial dynamic equations on time scales*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2002, vol. 8(4), pp. 307-319.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/1026190290017379>
- [6] Hilger S. *Analysis on Measure Chains-A Unified Approach to Continuous and Discrete Calculus*, Results in Math., 1990, vol. 18, pp. 18-56.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF03323153>
- [7] Hilger S. *Differential and difference calculus-united*, Nonlinear Anal., 1997, vol. 30(5), pp. 2683-2694.
DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X\(96\)00204-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(96)00204-0)
- [8] Jackson B. *Partial dynamic equations on time scales*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2006, vol. 186(2), pp. 391-415.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2005.02.011>
- [9] Li Z., Wang C., Agarwal R.P., O'Regan D. *Commutativity of quaternion-matrix-valued functions and quaternion matrix dynamic equations on time scales*, Stud. Appl. Math., 2021, vol. 146, pp. 139-210.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1111/sapm.12344>
- [10] Wang C., Agarwal R. P., O'Regan D., Sakthivel R. *Theory of Translation Closedness for Time Scales*, Developments in Mathematics. Springer, Switzerland, 2020, vol. 62.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38644-3>
- [11] Wang C., Agarwal R. P., O'Regan D. *Π -semigroup for invariant under translations time scales and abstract weighted pseudo almost periodic functions with applications*, Dyn. Syst. Appl., 2016, vol. 25, pp. 1-28.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.46719/dsa2016001028>

-
- [12] Wang C., Li Z., Agarwal R. P., O'Regan D., *Coupled-jumping timescale theory and applications to time-hybrid dynamic equations, convolution and Laplace transforms*, Dyn. Syst. Appl., 2021, vol. 30, pp. 461-508.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.46719/dsa202130311>
 - [13] Wang C., Agarwal R. P., O'Regan D. *Almost periodic fuzzy multidimensional dynamic systems and applications on time scales*, Chaos, Solitons & Fract., 2022, vol. 156, 111781.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.111781>
 - [14] Wang C., Li Z., Agarwal R. P. *A new quaternion hyper-complex space with hyper argument and basic functions via quaternion dynamic equations*, J. Geom. Anal. 2022, vol. 32(2), 67.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-021-00831-w>
 - [15] Wang C., Li Z., Agarwal R. P. *Fundamental solution matrix and Cauchy properties of quaternion combined impulsive matrix dynamic equation on time scales*, Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta, Seria Matematica, 2021, vol. 29, pp. 107-130.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2478/auom-2021-0021>
 - [16] Wang C., Agarwal R. P., O'Regan D. *Calculus of fuzzy vector-valued functions and almost periodic fuzzy vector-valued functions on time scales*, Fuzzy Sets and Syst., 2019, vol. 375, pp. 1-52.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2018.12.008>
 - [17] Wang C., Agarwal R. P. *Almost automorphic functions on semigroups induced by complete-closed time scales and application to dynamic equations*, Discr. Continu. Dyn. Syst.-Ser. B, 2020, vol. 25 (2), pp. 781-798.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2019267>
 - [18] Wang C., Li Z., Agarwal R. P. *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of high-dimensional quaternion impulsive fuzzy dynamic equations on time scales*, 2022, vol. 15(2), pp. 359-386.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.3934/dcdss.2021041>
 - [19] Wang C., Agarwal R., Sakthivel R. *Almost periodic oscillations for delay impulsive stochastic Nicholson's blowflies timescale model*, Comput. Appl. Math., 2018, vol. 37, pp. 3005-3026.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/S40314-017-0495-0>

Received March 15, 2022.

In revised form, May 17, 2022.

Accepted May 20, 2022.

Published online June 2, 2022.

Zhien Li

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University
Kunming, Yunnan 650091, China
E-mail: lizhienyunnan@163.com

Chao Wang

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan University
Kunming, Yunnan 650091, China
E-mail: chaowang@ynu.edu.cn

Ravi P. Agarwal

Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University-Kingsville
TX 78363-8202, Kingsville, TX, USA
Distinguished University Professor of Mathematics,
Florida Institute of Technology
E-mail: ravi.agarwal@tamuk.edu