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## ON THE GENERALIZED CONVEXITY AND CONCAVITY


#### Abstract

A function $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-convex (concave) if $f\left(m_{1}(x, y)\right) \leq(\geq) m_{2}(f(x), f(y))$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{+}=$ $=(0, \infty)$ and $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are two mean functions. Anderson et al. 1] studies the dependence of $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-convexity (concavity) on $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ and gave the sufficient conditions of $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ convexity and concavity of a function defined by Maclaurin series. In this paper, we make a contribution to the topic and study the $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$-convexity and concavity of a function where $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are identric and Alzer mean. As well, we prove a conjecture posed by Bruce Ebanks in [2].
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1. Introduction. A function $M:(0, \infty) \times(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is called a Mean function if
1) $M(x, y)=M(y, x)$,
2) $M(x, x)=x$,
3) $x<M(x, y)<y$, whenever $x<y$,
4) $M(a x, a y)=a M(x, y)$ for all $a>0$,

Some examples of mean functions of two distinct positive real numbers are given below:

Arithmetic mean: $\quad A=A(x, y)=\frac{x+y}{2}$,
Geometric mean: $\quad G=G(x, y)=\sqrt{x y}$,

Harmonic mean: $\quad H=H(x, y)=\frac{1}{A(1 / x, 1 / y)}$,
Logarithmic mean: $\quad L=L(x, y)=\frac{x-y}{\log (x)-\log (y)}$,
Identric mean: $\quad I=I(x, y)=\frac{1}{e}\left(\frac{x^{x}}{y^{y}}\right)^{1 /(x-y)}$,
Alzer mean: $\quad J_{p}=J_{p}(x, y)=\frac{p}{p+1} \frac{x^{p+1}-y^{p+1}}{x^{p}-y^{p}}, \quad p \neq 0,-1$,
Power mean: $\quad M_{t}=M_{t}(x, y)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{x^{t}+y^{t}}{2}\right)^{1 / t}, & t \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{x y}, & t=0 .\end{cases}$
It is easy to observe that $J_{1}(x, y)=A(x, y), J_{0}(x, y)=L(x, y), J_{-2}(x, y)=$ $=H(x, y)$. For the historical background of these means we refer the reader to see [3]-[7] and the bibliography of these papers.

Before we introduce the earlier results from the literature we recall the following definition, see [1, 8].
Definition 1. Let $f: I_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be continuous, where $I_{0}$ is a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$. Let $M$ and $N$ be two any mean functions. We say that the function $f$ is $M N$-convex (concave) if

$$
f(M(x, y)) \leq(\geq) N(f(x), f(y)) \text { for all } x, y \in I_{0}
$$

Throughout the paper, the notion $I_{0}$ is reserved for the sub-internal of $(0, \infty)$.

In [1], Anderson, Vamanamurthy and Vuorinen studied the convexity and concavity of a function $f$ with respect two mean values, and gave the following detailed result:

Lemma 1. [1, Theorem 2.4] Let $f: I_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a differentiable function. In items (4)-(9), let $I_{0}=(0, b), 0<b<\infty$. Then

1) $f$ is $A A$-convex (concave) if and only if $f^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),
2) $f$ is $A G$-convex (concave) if and only if $f^{\prime}(x) / f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),
3) $f$ is $A H$-convex (concave) if and only if $f^{\prime}(x) / f(x)^{2}$ is increasing (decreasing),
4) $f$ is $G A$-convex (concave) if and only if $x f^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),
5) $f$ is $G G$-convex (concave) if and only if $x f^{\prime}(x) / f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),
6) $f$ is $G H$-convex (concave) if and only if $x f^{\prime}(x) / f(x)^{2}$ is increasing (decreasing),
7) $f$ is HA-convex (concave) if and only if $x^{2} f^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),
8) $f$ is $H G$-convex (concave) if and only if $x^{2} f^{\prime}(x) / f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing),
9) $f$ is $H H$-convex (concave) if and only if $x^{2} f^{\prime}(x) / f(x)^{2}$ is increasing (decreasing).

After the publication ([1), many authors have studied generalized convexity. For a partial survey of the recent results, see 9$]$.

In [10], the following inequalities were studied:
Lemma 2. Let $f: I_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a continuous function, then

1) $f$ is $L L$-convex (concave) if $f$ is increasing and log-convex (concave),
2) $f$ is $A L$-convex (concave) if $f$ is increasing and log-convex (concave).

Recently, Baricz 11 took one step further and studied the $M N$ convexity(concavity) of a function $f$ in a generalized way, and gave the following result:

Lemma 3. [11, Lemma 3] Let $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $f:[a, b] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a differentiable function for $a, b \in(0, \infty)$. The function $f$ is $(p, q)$-convex (( $p, q$ )-concave) if and only if $x \mapsto x^{1-p} f^{\prime}(x)(f(x))^{q-1}$ is an increasing (decreasing) function.

It can be observed easily that (1,1)-convexity means the $A A$-convexity, $(1,0)$-convexity means the $A G$-convexity, and ( 0,0 )-convexity means $G G$ convexity.

Lemma 4. [11, Theorem 7] Let $a, b \in(0, \infty)$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a differentiable function. Denote $g(x)=\int_{1}^{x} f(t) d t$ and $h(x)=\int_{x}^{b} f(t) d t$. Then
(a) If the function $x \mapsto x^{1-p} f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing), then $g$ is ( $p, q$ )-convex ( $h$ is ( $p, q$ )-convex) for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \geq 1$.
(b) If the function $x \mapsto x^{1-p} f(x)$ is increasing (decreasing), then $g$ is $(p, q)$-convex ( $h$ is $(p, q)$-convex) for all $p \neq(0,1)$ and $q<0$.
2. Main results. In this paper we make a contribution to the subject by giving the following theorems, which could be natural questions to ask after reading the above literature. These results are the extension of [1, 11, 10].

Theorem 1. Let $f: I_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a continuously differentiable, increasing and log-convex (concave) function. Then

$$
I(f(x), f(y)) \geq(\leq) f(I(x, y))
$$

Theorem 2. Let $f$ be a continuous real-valued function on $(0, \infty)$. If $f$ is strictly increasing and convex, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f}(x, y) \leq R_{f}(x, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
P_{f}(x, y)=f\left((x y)^{1 / 4}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and

$$
R_{f}(x, y)=\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(t) d t
$$

Remark 1. In [2], Ebanks defined $P_{f}(x, y)$ and $R_{f}(x, y)$, and proposed a problem for a continuous and strictly monotonic real-valued function $f$ on $(0, \infty)$ as follows:
Problem. Does strictly increasing and a convexity of $f$ (or $f^{\prime \prime}>0$ ) imply that $P_{f} \leq R_{f}$ ?

It is obvious that the Theorem 2 gives an affirmative answer to the Ebanks' question.

Theorem 3. Let $f: I_{0} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$.
(1) If $f(x)$ is continuously differentiable, strictly increasing(decreasing) and convex (concave) and $f^{p-1}(x) f^{\prime}(x)$ is increasing on $(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p}(f(x), f(y)) & \geq f\left(J_{p}(x, y)\right) \\
J_{p}(f(x), f(y)) & \leq f(A(x, y))
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p \leq 1$.
(2) If $f(x)$ is continuously differentiable, strictly decreasing(increasing) and convex(concave) and $f^{p-1}(x) f^{\prime}(x)$ is decreasing on $(0,1)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p}(f(x), f(y)) & \geq f\left(J_{p}(x, y)\right) \\
J_{p}(f(x), f(y)) & \leq f(A(x, y))
\end{aligned}
$$

for $p>1$.
3. Lemmas and proofs. We recall the following lemmas which will be used in the proofs of the theorems.

Lemma 5. [12] Let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be integrable functions, both increasing or both decreasing. Furthermore, let $p:[a, b] \rightarrow R$ be a positive, integrable function. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) d x \cdot \int_{a}^{b} p(x) g(x) d x \leq \int_{a}^{b} p(x) d x \cdot \int_{a}^{b} p(x) f(x) g(x) d x . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one of the functions $f$ or $g$ is non-increasing and the other non-decreasing, then the inequality (2) is reversed.

Lemma 6. [13] If $f(x)$ is a continuous and convex function on $[a, b]$, and $\varphi(x)$ is continuous on $[a, b]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) d x\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(\varphi(x)) d x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If function $f(x)$ is continuous and concave on $[a, b]$, the inequality (3) is reversed.

Lemma 7. 4] Fix two positive number $a, b$. Then

$$
L(a, b) \leq I(a, b) \leq A(a, b)
$$

Lemma 8. [13] The function $p \mapsto J_{p}(x, y)$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R} \backslash$ $\backslash\{0,-1\}$.
Proof of Theorem 1. Since the proof of part (2) is similar to part (1), we only prove the part (1) here. Clearly

$$
\ln I(f(x), f(y))=\frac{f(x) \ln f(x)-f(y) \ln f(y)}{f(x)-f(y)}-1
$$

An easy computation and substitution $t=f(u)$ yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln I(f(x), f(y))=\frac{\int_{f(y)}^{f(x)} \ln t d t}{\int_{f(y)}^{f(x)} 1 d t}=\frac{\int_{y}^{x} \ln f(u) f^{\prime}(u) d u}{\int_{y}^{x} f^{\prime}(u) d u} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions $f(x)$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ are increasing on $I \subseteq(0, \infty)$ then, using Lemma 5 and assuming $x>y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y}^{x} 1 d u \cdot \int_{y}^{x} \ln f(u) f^{\prime}(u) d u \geq \int_{y}^{x} f^{\prime}(u) d u \cdot \int_{y}^{x} \ln f(u) d u \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln I(f(x), f(y)) \geq \frac{\int_{y}^{x} \ln f(u) d u}{y-x} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assume that $x>y$. Using the inequality (6), Lemmas 6 and 7 , and considering the log-convexity of the function $f(x)$, we get

$$
I(f(x), f(y)) \geq \ln f\left(\frac{\int_{y}^{x} u d u}{y-x}\right)=\ln f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq \ln f(I(x, y))
$$

This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2. Since $f$ is a strictly increasing and convex function, then from Lemma 5 and the inequality $G(x, y) \leq A(x, y)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{f}(x, y) & \geq \frac{\int_{x}^{y} f(u) d u}{y-x} \geq f\left(\frac{\int_{x}^{y} u d u}{y-x}\right)= \\
& =f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq f\left((x y)^{1 / 4}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)= \\
& =P_{f}(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 3. For the proof of part (1), letting $t=f(u)$, we get

$$
J_{p}(f(x), f(y))=\frac{\int_{f(y)}^{f(x)} t^{p} d t}{\int_{f(y)}^{f(x)} t^{p-1} d t}=\frac{\int_{y}^{x} f^{p}(u) f^{\prime}(u) d u}{\int_{y}^{x} f^{p-1}(u) f^{\prime}(u) d u}
$$

By using Lemma 5, we obtain

$$
J_{p}(f(x), f(y)) \geq \frac{\int_{y}^{x} f(u) d u}{y-x}
$$

Considering convexity of the function $f(x)$ and using Lemmas 6 and 8 , we get

$$
J_{p}(f(x), f(y)) \geq f\left(\frac{\int_{y}^{x} u d u}{y-x}\right)=f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \geq f\left(J_{p}(x, y)\right)
$$

which implies (1). The proof of part (2) follows similarly.
The convexity and concavity properties of a real-valued function were studied in [1, 11, 10, 14] in the sense of many classical means, i. e. arithmetic mean, geometric mean, logarithmic mean, harmonic mean etc. In this paper, we made a contribution to the topic, and studied the convexity and concavity properties of a real-valued function with respect to identric mean, Alzer mean, as well as proved the conjecture posed by Ebanks.
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