## PROOF OF A CONJECTURE ON NIELSEN'S $\beta$-FUNCTION

Abstract. In this paper, an inequality for Nielsen's $\beta$-function is proved. The inequality was posed by Kwara Nantomah as a conjecture in 2019.
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1. Introduction. It is well known that there are several ways to define Nielsen's $\beta$-function (e. g., [5], [6]). We use the following definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+e^{-t}} d t=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{x-1}}{1+t} d t=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m+x}= \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\psi\left(\frac{1+x}{2}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right) \text { for } x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi(x)=d \ln \Gamma(x) / d x$ is the digamma function, $\Gamma(x)$ is the Euler Gamma function [8].

It is also known [8] that the special function $\beta(x)$ is related to the Euler beta function $B(x, y)$ and to the Gauss hypergeometric function ${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b ; c ; d)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta(x)=-\frac{d}{d x}\left(\ln B\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) \\
& \beta(x)=\frac{1}{x}\left({ }_{2} F_{1}(1, x ; 1+x ;-1)\right) \text { for } x>0
\end{aligned}
$$

In the recent years, Nielsen's $\beta$-function has been very intensively studied. Nantomah and other researchers [5]-[9] introduced and studied some of its (C) Petrozavodsk State University, 2019
properties. A lot of interesting inequalities for Nielsen's $\beta$-function have been discovered and proved. For example, in [5] it was shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta(x)+x \beta^{\prime}(x)<0  \tag{1}\\
& 2 \beta^{\prime}(x)+x \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)>0,
\end{align*}
$$

for $x>0$.
The result follows from the fact that the function $x\left|\beta^{(m)}(x)\right|, x>0$, $n \in N_{0}$ is completely monotonic [7]. It was also shown [5], that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta(x)+\beta(1-x)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi x)}, 0<x<1, \\
& \beta(x)=\frac{1}{x}-\beta(x+1), x>0  \tag{2}\\
& \beta(1)=\ln (2), \beta^{\prime}(1)=\frac{-\pi^{2}}{12} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall, that Gautschi [2] proved an interesting inequality involving the Euler gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \Gamma(x) \Gamma(1 / x)}{\Gamma(x)+\Gamma(1 / x)} \geqslant 1, x>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, Alzer and Jameson [1] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \psi(x) \psi(1 / x)}{\psi(x)+\psi(1 / x)} \geqslant-\gamma, x>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=0.577 \ldots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant.
In view of the harmonic mean inequalities (4), (5), Nantomah [5] posed the following conjecture:
Conjecture 1. For $x \in(0, \infty)$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \beta(x) \beta(1 / x)}{\beta(x)+\beta(1 / x)} \leqslant \ln 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, turning into equality at $x=1$.
For more detailed information on Nielsen's $\beta$-function, refer to [1]- [9] and the related references therein.

The aim of this short paper is to prove the Conjecture 1 on Nielsen's $\beta$-function.

## 2. Main Results.

Lemma 1. The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \beta^{\prime 2}(x)-\beta^{\prime \prime}(x) \beta(x)>0, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for $x>0$.

## Proof.

In [5] it was shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(x)+x \beta^{\prime}(x)<0, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0$.
This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}(x)+2 x \beta(x) \beta^{\prime}(x)+x^{2} \beta^{\prime}(x)^{2}>0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid for $x>0$.
So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime 2}(x)>-\frac{1}{x^{2}} \beta^{2}(x)-\frac{2}{x} \beta(x) \beta^{\prime}(x) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the inequality (7), we need to establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(-\frac{1}{x^{2}} \beta^{2}(x)-\frac{2}{x} \beta(x) \beta^{\prime}(x)\right)-\beta(x) \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of

$$
\beta(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-x t}}{1+e^{-t}}>0
$$

for $x>0$ (see [5]), it is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{2}{x^{2}} \beta(x)-\frac{4}{x} \beta^{\prime}(x)-\beta^{\prime \prime}(x)>0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (12) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \beta(x)+4 x \beta^{\prime}(x)+x^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)<0, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0$.
The well-known formulas (see [5])

$$
\beta(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{m+x}, \beta^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{(m+x)^{2}}, \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)=2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{(m+x)^{3}},
$$

give

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \beta(x)+4 x \beta^{\prime}(x)+x^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}(x)= \\
& =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2(-1)^{m}}{m+x}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{4 x(-1)^{m+1}}{(m+x)^{2}}+\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{2 x^{2}(-1)^{m}}{(m+x)^{3}}= \\
& =\Psi(x)=2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} m^{2}}{(m+x)^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The following classical Laplace formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{(k+x)^{3}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2} e^{-k t} e^{-x t} d t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x>0, k \in N_{0}$ can be found in a table of Laplace transforms (see [3]). So, $\Psi(x)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} k^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2} e^{-k t} e^{-x t} d t= \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{2} e^{-x t}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} k^{2} e^{-k t}\right\} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of

$$
\left|(-1)^{k} k^{2} e^{-k t}\right| \leqslant \frac{k^{2}}{e^{k a}}
$$

where $a>0$ and $t \geqslant a$, the Weierstrass theorem for functional series shows that the series

$$
g(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} k^{2} e^{-k t},
$$

converges uniformly on each $(a, \infty)$, where $a>0$. We show that $g(t)<0$ for $t>0$. Put

$$
\alpha(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} e^{-k t}=\frac{-e^{-t}}{1+e^{-t}}=-\frac{1}{1+e^{t}} .
$$

It implies

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k} k^{2} e^{-k t}=-\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{t}}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\frac{e^{t}\left(1-e^{t}\right)}{\left(1+e^{t}\right)^{3}}<0 .
$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.
Theorem 1. Let $x \in(0, \infty)$. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \beta(x) \beta(1 / x)}{\beta(x)+\beta(1 / x)} \leqslant \ln 2, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, turning to equality if $x=1$.
Proof. The equality is obvious. Because of $\beta(1)=\ln 2$, the inequality (15) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(x)(\beta(1 / x)-\beta(1))+\beta(1 / x)(\beta(x)-\beta(1)) \leqslant 0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Some computations show that inequality (16) is equivalent to

$$
\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta(x)}-1+\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta(1 / x)}-1 \geqslant 0 .
$$

Put

$$
F(t)=\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta(t)}-1
$$

for $t>0$.
First, we show that $F(t)$ is a convex function on $(0, \infty)$.
By repeated differentiation, we obtain

$$
F^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{\beta(1) \beta^{\prime}(t)}{\beta^{2}(t)}
$$

and

$$
F^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta^{4}(t)}\left(2 \beta^{\prime 2}(t)-\beta(t) \beta^{\prime \prime}(t)\right) \beta(t) .
$$

So, it is sufficient to show that

$$
2 \beta^{\prime 2}(t)-\beta(t) \beta^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqslant 0
$$

But this follows from Lemma (1).
Using the Jensen inequality for $F(x)$, we obtain

$$
F\left(\frac{x+\frac{1}{x}}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(F(x)+F\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right)
$$

which is, in our case,

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta(x)}-1+\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)}-1\right) \geqslant \frac{\beta(1)}{\beta\left(\frac{x+\frac{1}{x}}{2}\right)}-1=\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta\left(\frac{x^{2}+1}{2 x}\right)}-1 .
$$

The proof of the Theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that

$$
\frac{\beta(1)}{\beta\left(\frac{x^{2}+1}{2 x}\right)}-1 \geqslant 0
$$

But, this follows from $\left(x^{2}+1\right) /(2 x) \geqslant 1$ and $\beta^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>0$ and thus completes the proof of Theorem 1 .
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