

UDC 517.587, 517.538.3

Y. HABBACHI

SECOND STRUCTURE RELATION FOR THE DUNKL-CLASSICAL ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

Abstract. In this paper, we characterize the Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials by a second structure relation.

Key words: *orthogonal polynomials, Dunkl-classical polynomials, regular forms, second structure relation*

2020 Mathematical Subject Classification: *33C45, 42C05*

1. Introduction and Preliminary Results. Classical orthogonal polynomials (Hermite, Laguerre, Bessel, and Jacobi) are characterized by several properties: they satisfy Hahn's property (that the sequence of monic derivatives of the polynomial is again orthogonal (see [2], [11], [20], [22])); they are characterized as the polynomial eigenfunctions of a second-order homogeneous linear differential (or difference) hypergeometric operator with polynomial coefficients [6], [21], [22]; their corresponding linear forms satisfy a distribution equation of Pearson type (see [15], [19], [21]); they satisfy a first structure relation (the Al-Salam and Chihara property [2]) and can be characterized by the so-called Rodrigues formula (see, for instance, [11], [13]).

Another characterization was established by J. L. Geronimus in [15]; in particular, he proved that a classical sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ can be characterized by the fact that $P_n(x) = Q_n(x) + a_n Q_{n-1}(x) + b_n Q_{n-2}(x)$, where $Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} P'_{n+1}(x)$. This is the so called second structure relation for classical orthogonal polynomials (see also [20], [21], [23]).

In the recent years, many authors (see [7], [8], [9], [10], [17], [24]) have started to study Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials, as analogues of the Hahn definition of D -classical orthogonal polynomials [18]. Symmetric case was studied for the first time by Y. Ben Cheikh and his coworker [4]; in particular, they proved that the only symmetric Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials are the generalized Hermite polynomials and the

generalized Gegenbauer polynomials. Later on, M. Sghaier [24] characterized the symmetric Dunkl-classical forms by a distributional equation of the Pearson type and he showed that the corresponding polynomials can be characterized by a second-order differential-difference equation in the space of polynomials. Another characterization called the first structure relation was established by L. Khérifi et al [5].

Non-symmetric Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials have been studied in [7], [8], [9], [24]. In particular in [9] the authors showed that the unique non-symmetric Dunkl-classical linear form for $\mu \neq 0$ and $\mu > \frac{1}{2}$ is, up to a dilation, the perturbed generalized Gegenbauer linear form

$$\delta_1 - \frac{2\alpha}{1 + 2\mu + 2\alpha}(x - 1)^{-1}\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2}).$$

where $n + \alpha \neq 0$, $2\mu + 2\alpha + 2n + 1 \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})$ is the generalized Gegenbauer form [1], [3].

The aim of this contribution is to give a new characterization of Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials.

We begin by reviewing some preliminary results needed for the sequel. Let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{C} and let \mathcal{P}' be its dual. The action of $u \in \mathcal{P}'$ on $f \in \mathcal{P}$ is denoted by $\langle u, f \rangle$. In particular, we denote by $(u)_n = \langle u, x^n \rangle$, $n \geq 0$, the moments of u .

Let us define the following operations on \mathcal{P}' [22]:

The left-multiplication of a linear form by a polynomial

$$\langle gu, f \rangle = \langle u, gf \rangle, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}'.$$

The dilation of a linear form

$$\langle h_a u, f \rangle = \langle u, h_a f \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}', a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\},$$

where

$$h_a f(x) = f(ax), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The derivative of a linear form u is the linear form Du , such that

$$\langle Du, f \rangle = -\langle u, f' \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}'.$$

Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of monic polynomials with $\deg P_n = n$, $n \geq 0$, and let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be its dual sequence, $u_n \in \mathcal{P}'$, defined by $\langle u_n, P_m \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$, $n, m \geq 0$.

The form u is called regular if there exists a sequence of polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, such that

$$\langle u, P_n P_m \rangle = r_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad n, m \geq 0, r_n \neq 0, n \geq 0.$$

The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is then called orthogonal with respect to u . In this case, we have

$$u_n = r_n^{-1} P_n u_0, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Let us recall the following result [20]:

Lemma 1. *Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a monic orthogonal polynomial sequence (MOPS, in short) with respect to u and let $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be its dual sequence. If v is an element of \mathcal{P}' , then it can be expressed as*

$$v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n,$$

where

$$\alpha_n = \langle v, P_n \rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Moreover, if v satisfies $\langle v, P_n \rangle = 0$ for $n \geq m$, then

$$v = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \alpha_n u_n.$$

According to the previous lemma, we have $u = \lambda u_0$, where $(u)_0 = \lambda \neq 0$. In what follows, all regular linear forms u will be taken normalized, i.e., $(u)_0 = 1$. Then $u = u_0$.

According to Favard's theorem, a MOPS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is characterized by the following three-term recurrence relation [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(x) &= 1, \quad P_1(x) = x - \beta_0, \\ P_{n+2}(x) &= (x - \beta_{n+1})P_{n+1}(x) - \gamma_{n+1}P_n(x), \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\beta_n = \frac{\langle u_0, x P_n^2 \rangle}{\langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle} \in \mathbb{C}; \quad \gamma_{n+1} = \frac{\langle u_0, P_{n+1}^2 \rangle}{\langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

A form u is said to be symmetric if and only if $(u)_{2n+1} = 0, n \geq 0$, or, equivalently, in (2), $\beta_n = 0, n \geq 0$.

From (2), we have

$$P_2(x) = x^2 - (\beta_0 + \beta_1)x + \beta_0\beta_1 - \gamma_1. \tag{4}$$

As a consequence of the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with respect to u_0 , we have

$$(u_0)_2 = \beta_0^2 + \gamma_1. \tag{5}$$

Let us introduce the Dunkl operator [14]:

$$T_\mu(f) = f' + 2\mu H_{-1}f, (H_{-1}f)(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2x}, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, \mu \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By transposition, we define the operator T_μ from \mathcal{P}' to \mathcal{P}' as follows:

$$\langle T_\mu u, f \rangle = -\langle u, T_\mu f \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}'.$$

In particular, this yields

$$(T_\mu u)_n = -\mu_n(u)_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

with the convention $(u)_{-1} = 0$, where

$$\mu_n = n + 2\mu[n], \quad [n] = \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{2}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Note that T_0 is the derivative operator D .

Using the previous definitions, we get the following formula [7]:

$$T_\mu(fu) = fT_\mu u + (T_\mu f)u + 2\mu(H_{-1}f)(h_{-1}u - u), \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}'. \tag{6}$$

In particular, if u is a symmetric linear form, then (6) becomes

$$T_\mu(fu) = fT_\mu u + (T_\mu f)u, \quad f \in \mathcal{P}, u \in \mathcal{P}'. \tag{7}$$

Now, consider an MOPS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and let

$$P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) = \frac{1}{\mu_{n+1}}(T_\mu P_{n+1})(x), \quad \mu \neq -n - \frac{1}{2}, n \geq 0.$$

Denoting by $\{u_n^{[1]}\}_{n \geq 0}$ the dual sequence of $\{P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\}_{n \geq 0}$, the following result is proved in [24]:

$$T_\mu u_n^{[1]} = -\mu_{n+1}u_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{8}$$

Definition 1. [5], [7], [24] An MOPS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called Dunkl-classical or T_μ -classical if $P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)$ is also an MOPS. In this case, the form u_0 is called either a Dunkl-classical or a T_μ -classical form.

Any symmetric Dunkl-classical polynomial sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ can be characterized taking into account its orthogonality as well as one of the four difference equations:

- Second-order differential equation of the Bochner type [24]

$$\Phi(x)(T_\mu^2 P_{n+1})(x) - \Psi(x)(T_\mu P_{n+1})(x) + \lambda_n P_{n+1}(x) = 0, n \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

- First structure relation [5]

$$\Phi(x)P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) = \sum_{k=n}^{n+t} \lambda_{n,k} P_k(x), n \geq 0, 0 \leq t = \deg \Phi \leq 2. \quad (10)$$

$$\lambda_{n,n} \neq 0, n \geq 0.$$

- Rodrigues-type formula [25]

$$P_n u_0 = \vartheta_n T_\mu^n (\Phi^n u_0), n \geq 0. \quad (11)$$

- Its canonical form u_0 satisfies the Pearson differential equation [24]

$$T_\mu(\Phi u_0) + \Psi u_0 = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_n \neq 0, n \geq 0,$$

where Φ is a monic polynomial of degree t , $0 \leq t \leq 2$, Ψ is a first degree polynomial, and $\{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n \geq 0, n \leq k \leq n+t}$ and $\{\vartheta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are sequences of complex numbers, such that $\vartheta_n \neq 0, n \geq 0$.

Remark 1. Under conditions of relations (9)–(12), the linear form $u_0^{[1]}$, corresponding to $\{P_n^{[1]}\}_{n \geq 0}$, is given by:

$$u_0^{[1]} = (1 + 2\mu)^{-1} \gamma_1^{-1} K \Phi u_0, \quad (13)$$

where K is a non-zero constant chosen to make Φ monic, and Ψ is given by

$$\Psi(x) = K^{-1} (1 + 2\mu)^2 P_1(x). \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, some characterizations of non-symmetric Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials have been provided (see [7], [8], [10], [16], [17]).

2. Main Result. In this section, we prove the characterization theorem in both situations.

2.1. The symmetric case.

Theorem 1. For any symmetric MOPS $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, the following statements are equivalent

- (a) The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical.
- (b) There exist an integer t , $0 \leq t \leq 2$, and a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n \geq t, n-t \leq k \leq n}$, such that

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=n-t}^n \lambda_{n,k} P_k^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq t, \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_{n,n} = 1, \quad n \geq t, \tag{16}$$

$$\frac{1 + 2\mu}{\lambda_{2,0}} \gamma_{1/2} - \mu_n \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0 \text{ when } \lambda_{2,0} \neq 0. \tag{17}$$

Proof. (a) \implies (b) Assume that $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical; then there exist polynomials Φ (monic), $\deg \Phi = t$, $0 \leq t \leq 2$, and Ψ , $\deg \Psi = 1$, such that the canonical regular form u_0 satisfies (12). Moreover, since P_n is a polynomial of degree n , then there exists a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n \geq t, 0 \leq k \leq n}$, such that

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{n,k} P_k^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq t. \tag{18}$$

By comparing the degrees in the previous equation, we get

$$\lambda_{n,n} = 1, \quad n \geq t.$$

Therefore, (18) becomes

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{n,k} P_k^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq t. \tag{19}$$

Multiplying the last equation by $P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)$, $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$, $n \geq 1$, and applying Φu_0 , we get

$$\langle \Phi u_0, P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) P_n \rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \Phi u_0, P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{n,k} \langle \Phi u_0, P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) P_k^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle = \\
&= \lambda_{n,m} \langle \Phi u_0, (P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lambda_{n,m} = \frac{\langle u_0, (\Phi P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)) P_n \rangle}{\langle \Phi u_0, (P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n-1, n \geq 1. \quad (20)$$

Since $\deg(\Phi P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)) = m + t$, the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ leads to

$$\langle u_0, (\Phi P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)) P_n \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \leq m + t \leq n-1, n \geq 1.$$

So, we have

$$\lambda_{n,m} = 0, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n - t - 1, n \geq 1.$$

Consequently, (19) becomes

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \sum_{k=n-t}^{n-1} \lambda_{n,k} P_k^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq t. \quad (21)$$

It remains to prove (17). Assume that $\lambda_{2,0} \neq 0$. From (21), where $n = 2$, we have

$$P_2(x) = P_2^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{2,0} P_0^{[1]}(x, \mu).$$

Therefore,

$$\langle u_0^{[1]}, P_2 \rangle = \lambda_{2,0}.$$

But from (8) and the fact that Φ is monic, we have

$$\langle u_0^{[1]}, P_2 \rangle = (1 + 2\mu)^{-1} \gamma_1^{-1} K r_2 = (1 + 2\mu)^{-1} \gamma_2 K.$$

Then

$$K = \frac{(1 + 2\mu) \lambda_{2,0}}{\gamma_2}. \quad (22)$$

Substitution of (22) in (14) gives

$$\Psi(x) = \frac{(1 + 2\mu) \gamma_2}{\lambda_{2,0}} P_1(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\Psi'(0) = \frac{(1 + 2\mu)\gamma_2}{\lambda_{2,0}}.$$

So, condition (17) becomes an immediate consequence of the second equality in (12). Thus the desired result (15)–(17).

(b) \implies (a) Assume that there exist an integer t , $0 \leq t \leq 2$, and a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n \geq t, n-t \leq k \leq n}$, such that (15), (16), and (17) hold.

Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be sequences of monic polynomials with $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{u_n^{[1]}\}_{n \geq 0}$ be their respective dual sequences. Using (15) and (16) for $n \geq t + 1$, we have

$$\langle u_0^{[1]}, P_n \rangle = \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle + \sum_{k=n-t}^{n-1} \lambda_{n,k} \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_k^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle = 0.$$

Thus, according to Lemma 1, there exist complex numbers $\alpha_i, i \in \{0, \dots, t\}$, such that

$$u_0^{[1]} = \sum_{i=0}^t \alpha_i u_i, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$u_0^{[1]} = \alpha_0 u_0 + \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2. \tag{23}$$

On account of (1), the previous equation becomes

$$u_0^{[1]} = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_1^{-1} P_1 + \alpha_2 r_2^{-1} P_2) u_0.$$

Therefore, there exists a polynomial Φ , $\deg \Phi \leq 2$, such that

$$u_0^{[1]} = k \Phi u_0, \tag{24}$$

where

$$k \Phi = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_1^{-1} P_1 + \alpha_2 r_2^{-1} P_2, \tag{25}$$

and the non-zero constant k is chosen to make Φ monic.

Moreover, Φ is an even polynomial. Indeed, since $P_1(x) = P_1^{[1]}(x, \mu) = x$, we have

$$0 = \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_1^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle = k \left(\alpha_0 \langle u_0, P_1 \rangle + \alpha_1 r_1^{-1} \langle u_0, P_1^2 \rangle + \alpha_2 r_2^{-1} \langle u_0, P_1 P_2 \rangle \right) = k \alpha_1.$$

Hence, $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Thus, taking into account (25) and the fact that $P_2(x) = x^2 - \gamma_1$, we can easily see that Φ is even.

On the other hand, putting $n = 0$ in (8), we obtain

$$T_\mu u_0^{[1]} = -(1 + 2\mu)u_1.$$

Substitution of (24) in the previous equation gives (12), with

$$\Psi(x) = k^{-1}\gamma_1^{-1}(1 + 2\mu)P_1(x).$$

To complete the proof, we will show that the second equality in (12) is fulfilled. Indeed, from (23) we have

$$\alpha_2 = \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_2 \rangle.$$

But from (15) and (16), where $n = 2$, we have

$$P_2(x) = P_2^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{2,0}P_0^{[1]}(x, \mu).$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda_{2,0}.$$

On the other hand, taking into account (23) and the fact that u_0 and $u_0^{[1]}$ are normalized, we get

$$\alpha_0 = 1.$$

Therefore, (25) becomes

$$k\Phi(x) = 1 + \lambda_{2,0}r_2^{-1}P_2(x). \quad (26)$$

So, we distinguish two cases: $\lambda_{2,0} = 0$ and $\lambda_{2,0} \neq 0$.

The first case: $\lambda_{2,0} = 0$. In this case, $\deg \Phi = 0$; then $\Phi''(0) = 0$ and, since Φ is monic, we get $k = 1$. Therefore,

$$\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_n = \Psi'(0) = \gamma_1^{-1}(1 + 2\mu) \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The second case: $\lambda_{2,0} \neq 0$. In this case, $\deg \Phi = 2$. But, since Φ is monic, $\frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} = 1$. Furthermore, identification of degrees in (26) gives

$$k = \lambda_{2,0}r_2^{-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_n = \frac{(1 + 2\mu)}{\lambda_{2,0}} \gamma_2 - \mu_n \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (\text{by (17)}).$$

So, according to relation (12), the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical. \square

In the sequel, using the previous theorem, we will determine the second structure relation for the generalized Hermite polynomials and the generalized Gegenbauer polynomials.

Put $\Phi(x) = \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2}x^2 + \Phi(0)$ and $\Psi(x) = \Psi'(0)x$ and let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a symmetric Dunkl-classical MOPS, such that its associated regular form u_0 satisfies (12). So, from (15)–(16) we have:

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{n,n-1}P_{n-1}^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{n,n-2}P_{n-2}^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq t. \quad (27)$$

Since the sequences $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\}_{n \geq 0}$ are symmetric,

$$\lambda_{n,n-1} = 0, \quad n \geq t. \quad (28)$$

The coefficient $\lambda_{n,n-2}$ is given by

$$\lambda_{n,n-2} = \frac{\frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_{n-1}}{\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_{n-2}} \gamma_n, \quad n \geq t, \quad (29)$$

with the convention $\lambda_{0,n-2} = 0$. Indeed, from (20), we have

$$\lambda_{n,n-2} = \frac{\langle u_0, (\Phi P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))P_n \rangle}{\langle \Phi u_0, (P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle}, \quad n \geq t.$$

Writing

$$\Phi(x)P_{n-2}^{[1]}(x, \mu) = \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} x^n + \text{lower degree terms.}$$

On the one hand, from the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with respect to u_0 , we have

$$\langle u_0, (\Phi P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))P_n \rangle = \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \langle u_0, x^n P_n \rangle = \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \langle u_0, P_n^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq t.$$

On the other hand, from (7) and the fact that Φu_0 is symmetric, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi u_0, (P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle &= -\frac{1}{\mu_{n-1}} \langle T_\mu(P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\Phi u_0), P_{n-1} \rangle = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\mu_{n-1}} \langle T_\mu(P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))\Phi u_0 + P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)T_\mu(\Phi u_0), P_{n-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (12), we get

$$\langle \Phi u_0, (P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\mu_{n-1}} \langle P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\Psi u_0 - T_\mu(P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))\Phi u_0, P_{n-1} \rangle.$$

Hence, the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with respect to u_0 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi u_0, (P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle &= \frac{\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_{n-2}}{\mu_{n-1}} \langle u_0, x^{n-1} P_{n-1} \rangle = \\ &= \frac{\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_{n-2}}{\mu_{n-1}} \langle u_0, P_{n-1}^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq t. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from the second equality of (3) we deduce (29).

Substitution of (28) and (29) in (27) gives

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \frac{\frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_{n-1}}{\Psi'(0) - \frac{\Phi''(0)}{2} \mu_{n-2}} \gamma_n P_{n-2}^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq t. \tag{30}$$

Corollary.

- 1) The generalized Hermite polynomial $\{H_n^{(\mu)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is characterized by the following second structure relation:

$$H_n^{(\mu)}(x) = (H_n^{(\mu)})^{[1]}(x), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{31}$$

- 2) The generalized Gegenbauer polynomial $\{S_n^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is characterized by the following second structure relation:

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}(x) &= (S_n^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})})^{[1]}(x) - \\ &- \frac{\mu_{n-1} \mu_n}{(2n + 2\alpha + 2\mu - 1)(2n + 2\alpha + 2\mu + 1)} (S_{n-2}^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})})^{[1]}(x), \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Proof. 1) The sequence of generalized Hermite polynomials $\{H_n^{(\mu)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies (2) with (see [11]):

$$\beta_n = 0, \quad \gamma_{n+1} = \frac{\mu_{n+1}}{2}, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{33}$$

where the regularity condition is

$$\mu \neq -n - \frac{1}{2}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

This sequence is Dunkl-classical and its associated form $\mathcal{H}(\mu)$ satisfies (12) with (see [7])

$$\Phi(x) = 1, \quad \Psi(x) = 2x. \tag{34}$$

So, using (33) and (34) the proof of (31) is an immediate consequence of (30).

2) The sequence of generalized Gegenbauer polynomials $\{S_n^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies (2) with (see [11]):

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n &= 0, \quad \gamma_{n+1} = \frac{(n+1+\delta_n)(n+1+2\alpha+\delta_n)}{(2n+2\alpha+2\mu+1)(2n+2\alpha+2\mu+3)}, \\ \delta_n &= \mu(1+(-1)^n), \quad n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the regularity conditions are

$$\alpha \neq -n, \quad \beta \neq -n, \quad \alpha + \beta \neq -n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

This sequence is Dunkl-classical and its associated form $\mathcal{G}(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})$ satisfies (12) with (see [7])

$$\Phi(x) = x^2 - 1, \quad \Psi(x) = -2(\alpha + 1)x. \tag{36}$$

Then, using (35) and (36), equation (32) is deduced from (30). \square

Remark 2.

- 1) From equation (31), we can recover again the following structure relation established by T. S. Chihara [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} xD\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{(\mu)}(x) &= -\mu(1+(-1)^n)\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{(\mu)}(x) + \\ &+ \left(n+1+\mu(1+(-1)^n)\right)x\mathcal{H}_n^{(\mu)}(x), \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Indeed, using the definition of T_μ and the fact $\{H_n^{(\mu)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is symmetric, equation (31) becomes

$$D\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{(\mu)}(x) + \mu(1 - (-1)^{n+1})\frac{\mathcal{H}_{n+1}^{(\mu)}(x)}{x} = \mu_{n+1}\mathcal{H}_n^{(\mu)}(x), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Therefore, multiplication of the last equation by x gives (37).

2) The relation (32) can be written of the following form:

$$S_n^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}(x) = S_n^{(\alpha+1, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}(x) - \frac{\mu_n \mu_{n-1}}{(2n + 2\alpha + 2\mu - 1)(2n + 2\alpha + 2\mu + 1)} S_{n-2}^{(\alpha+1, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}(x), \quad n \geq 2.$$

This result is deduced from (32) and the fact that $T_\mu S_{n+1}^{(\alpha, \mu - \frac{1}{2})} = \mu_{n+1} S_n^{(\alpha+1, \mu - \frac{1}{2})}$ (see [4]).

2.2. The non-symmetric case. In this subsection, we will present a second structure relation for non-symmetric Dunkl-classical polynomial sequences. But first, let us recall the following result.

Theorem 2. [7] Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a MPS orthogonal with respect to a linear form u_0 . For $\mu \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical.
- (b) There exist $K \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and three polynomials Φ (monic), B and Ψ with $\deg \Phi \leq 2$, $\deg B \leq 3$, and $\deg \Psi = 1$, such that

$$\Psi'(0) + \frac{K\Phi''(0)}{2(1 - 4\mu^2)}(4\mu^2[n] - n) + \frac{KB'''(0)}{3(1 - 4\mu^2)}\mu([n] - n) \neq 0, \quad (38)$$

and

$$T_\mu \left(\Phi u_0 - 2\mu h_{-1}(\Phi u_0) \right) + \frac{1 - 4\mu^2}{K} \Psi u_0 = 0, \quad (39)$$

with

$$x\Phi(x)u_0 = h_{-1}(B(x)u_0). \quad (40)$$

The authors [9] used Theorem 2 to classify all Dunkl-classical linear forms. In particular, they proved that the unique non-symmetric Dunkl-classical linear form for $\mu \neq 0$ and $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ is, up a dilation, the perturbed generalized Gegenbauer linear form u_0 , satisfying

$$T_\mu \left((x^2 - 1)u_0 \right) - \frac{1 + 2\mu}{\beta_0} (x - \beta_0)u_0 = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$(x - 1)u_0 = h_{-1}((x - 1)u_0), \quad (42)$$

with the regularity conditions:

$$\beta_0 \notin \{0, 1\}, \quad 1 + 2\mu + \beta_0(n - 2\mu[n]) \neq 0, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (43)$$

Remark 3. If $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a non-symmetric Dunkl-classical MOPS, then $\{P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to [10]

$$u_0^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\beta_0 - 1}(x^2 - 1)u_0, \quad \beta_0 \notin \{0, 1\}. \tag{44}$$

Theorem 3. Let $\{P_n(x)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a MOPS fulfilling (2) with (43). Assume that its corresponding linear form u_0 satisfies (42). The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) The sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical.
- (b) $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies the second structure relation

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{n,n-1}P_{n-1}^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{n,n-2}P_{n-2}^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{45}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n,n-1} &= \frac{\langle u_0, (x^2 - 1)P_{n-1}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)P_n \rangle}{\langle u_0, (x^2 - 1)(P_{n-1}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle}, \\ \lambda_{n,n-2} &= \frac{\langle u_0, (x^2 - 1)P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)P_n \rangle}{\langle u_0, (x^2 - 1)(P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle}, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Proof. (a) \implies (b) Suppose that $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical; then its canonical regular form u_0 satisfies (41)–(42). Moreover, since P_n is a polynomial of degree n , there exists a sequence of complex numbers $\{\lambda_{n,k}\}_{n \geq 0}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, such that

$$P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{n,k}P_k^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{47}$$

By comparing the degrees in the previous equation, we get

$$\lambda_{n,n} = 1, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Therefore, (47) becomes

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{n,k}P_k^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq 0. \tag{48}$$

It is clear that (45) holds for $n = 0$, where $\lambda_{0,-1} = \lambda_{0,-2} = 0$. For $n \geq 1$, multiplying the previous equation by $P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)$, $0 \leq m \leq n - 1$, $n \geq 1$, and applying $(x^2 - 1)u_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (x^2 - 1)u_0, P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)P_n \rangle = \\ & = \langle (x^2 - 1)u_0, P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{n,k} \langle (x^2 - 1)u_0, P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)P_k^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle = \\ & = \lambda_{n,m} \langle (x^2 - 1)u_0, (P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle, \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lambda_{n,m} = \frac{\langle u_0, ((x^2 - 1)P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))P_n \rangle}{\langle (x^2 - 1)u_0, (P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))^2 \rangle}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n - 1, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (49)$$

Since $\deg((x^2 - 1)P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)) = m + 2$, the orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ leads to

$$\langle u_0, ((x^2 - 1)P_m^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu))P_n \rangle = 0, \quad 0 \leq m + 2 \leq n - 1.$$

So, we have

$$\lambda_{n,m} = 0, \quad 0 \leq m \leq n - 3, \quad n \geq 3.$$

Consequently, (48) becomes

$$P_n(x) = P_n^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{n,n-1}P_{n-1}^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{n,n-2}P_{n-2}^{[1]}(x, \mu), \quad n \geq 0$$

with the equalities in (46) are obtained by (49). Therefore, (45) holds.

(b) \implies (a) Let $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu)\}_{n \geq 0}$ be sequences of monic polynomials with $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{u_n^{[1]}\}_{n \geq 0}$ be their respective dual sequences. Suppose that $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies (45).

From (45) for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_n \rangle & = \\ & = \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_n^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle + \lambda_{n,n-1} \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_{n-1}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle + \lambda_{n,n-2} \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_{n-2}^{[1]}(\cdot, \mu) \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to Lemma 1, there exist complex numbers α_k , $0 \leq k \leq 2$, such that

$$u_0^{[1]} = \alpha_0 u_0 + \alpha_1 u_1 + \alpha_2 u_2. \quad (50)$$

On account of (1), the previous equation becomes

$$u_0^{[1]} = (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r_1^{-1} P_1 + \alpha_2 r_2^{-1} P_2) u_0. \quad (51)$$

Taking into account (50) and the fact that u_0 and $u_0^{[1]}$ are normalized, we get

$$\alpha_0 = 1. \quad (52)$$

According to (50), we have

$$\alpha_1 = \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_1 \rangle.$$

Making $n = 1$ in (45), we get

$$P_1(x) = P_1^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{1,0}P_0^{[1]}(x, \mu).$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_1 = \lambda_{1,0}.$$

Using the first equality in (46) for $n = 1$, we have

$$\lambda_{1,0} = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1}{(u_0)_2 - 1} r_1.$$

Then

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1}{(u_0)_2 - 1} r_1. \tag{53}$$

From (50), we have

$$\alpha_2 = \langle u_0^{[1]}, P_2 \rangle.$$

But from (45), where $n = 2$, we have

$$P_2(x) = P_2^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{2,1}P_1^{[1]}(x, \mu) + \lambda_{2,0}P_0^{[1]}(x, \mu).$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda_{2,0}.$$

On the other hand, from the second equality in (46) for $n = 2$, we deduce

$$\alpha_2 = \lambda_{2,0} = \frac{r_2}{(u_0)_2 - 1}. \tag{54}$$

Substitution of (52), (53) and (54) in (51) gives

$$u_0^{[1]} = \left(1 + \frac{\beta_0 + \beta_1}{(u_0)_2 - 1} P_1 + \frac{1}{(u_0)_2 - 1} P_2 \right) u_0.$$

Using (4)–(5) and the fact that $P_1(x) = x - \beta_0$, the last equation becomes

$$u_0^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\beta_0^2 + \gamma_1 - 1} (x^2 - 1) u_0. \tag{55}$$

But from (42), it is easy to see that

$$(u_0)_2 = (u_0)_1.$$

Since $(u_0)_1 = \beta_0$, from (5) we have

$$\gamma_1 = \beta_0 - \beta_0^2. \quad (56)$$

Therefore, equation (55) becomes

$$u_0^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\beta_0 - 1}(x^2 - 1)u_0. \quad (57)$$

For $n = 0$ in (8), we obtain

$$T_\mu u_0^{[1]} = -(1 + 2\mu)\gamma_1^{-1}(x - \beta_0)u_0. \quad (58)$$

Substitution of (56) and (57) in (58) gives (41).

So, according to Theorem 2, the sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is Dunkl-classical. \square

Remark 4. *Theorems 1 and 3 are the main results of this paper. From them, we carry out the complete study of the Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials.*

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for their useful comments and many valuable suggestions for this paper.

References

- [1] Alaya J., Maroni P. *Symmetric Laguerre-Hahn forms of class $s = 1$* . Int. Transf. and Spc. Funct., 1996, vol. 4, pp. 301–320.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469608819117>
- [2] Al-Salam W. A., Chihara T. S. *Another characterization of the classical orthogonal polynomials*. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1972, vol. 3, pp. 65–70.
- [3] Belmehdi S. *Generalized Gegenbauer polynomials*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2001, vol. 133, pp. 195–205.
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427\(00\)00643-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-0427(00)00643-9)
- [4] Ben Cheikh Y., Gaied M. *Characterizations of the Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials*. App. Math. Comput., 2007, vol. 187, pp. 105–114.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2006.08.108>

- [5] Ben Salah I., Ghressi A., Khériji L. *A characterization of symmetric T_μ -classical monic orthogonal polynomials by a structure relation*. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., 2014, vol. 25, pp. 423–432.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2013.870339>
- [6] Bochner S. *Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme*. Math. Z., 1929, vol. 29, pp. 730–736. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF01180560>
- [7] Bouras B. *Some characterizations of Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials*. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 2014, vol. 20, pp. 1240–1257.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2014.906590>
- [8] Bouras B., Alaya J., Habbachi Y. *A D -Pearson equation for Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials*. Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform., 2016, vol. 31, pp. 55–71.
- [9] Bouras B., Habbachi Y. *Classification of nonsymmetric Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials*. J. Difference Equ. Appl. 2017, vol. 23, pp. 539–556.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/10236198.2016.1257615>
- [10] Bouras B., Habbachi Y., Marcellán F. *Rodrigues formula and recurrence coefficients for non-symmetric Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials*. The Ramanujan Journal., 2021, vol. 56, pp. 451–466.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-021-00419-6>
- [11] Chihara T. S. *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [12] Chihara T. S. *Generalized Hermite polynomials*. PhD thesis, Purdue, 1955.
- [13] Cryer C. W. *Rodrigues' formula and the classical orthogonal polynomials*. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 1970, vol. 3, pp. 1–11.
- [14] Dunkl C. F. *Integral kernels reflection group invariance*. Canad. J. Math., 1991, vol. 43, pp. 1213–1227.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-1991-069-8>
- [15] Geronimus J. L. *On polynomials orthogonal with respect to numerical sequences and on Hahn's theorem*. Izv. Akad. Nauk., 1940, vol. 4, pp. 215–228.
- [16] Habbachi Y. *Moments and an integral representation for the non-symmetric Dunkl-classical form*. MFAT., 2022, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 119–126.
DOI: https://doi.org/10.31392/MFAT-npu26_2.2022.04
- [17] Habbachi Y., Bouras B. *A note for the Dunkl-classical polynomials*. Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 2022, vol. 11 (29), no. 2, pp. 29–41.
DOI: <http://doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2022.11310>
- [18] Hahn W. *Über die Jacobischen Polynome und zwei verwandte Polynomklassen*. Math. Z., 1935, pp. 634–638.

- [19] Hildebrandt E. H. *Systems of polynomials connected with the Charlier expansions and the Pearson differential and difference equation*. Math. Nachr., 1949, vol. 2, pp. 4–34.
- [20] Marcellán F., Branquinho A., Petronilho J. *Classical orthogonal polynomials: A functional approach*. Acta. Appl. Math., 1994, vol. 34, pp. 283–303. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1007/BF00998681>
- [21] Maroni P. *Fonctions eulériennes. Polynômes orthogonaux classiques*. Techniques de l'ingénieur, A. 1994, vol. 154, pp. 1–30.
- [22] Maroni P. *Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Application aux polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques*. In *Orthogonal Polynomials and Their Applications*. IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math., 1991, vol. 9, pp. 95–130.
- [23] Maroni P. *Variation around Classical orthogonal polynomials. Connected problems*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 1993, vol. 48, pp. 133–155. DOI: [http://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427\(93\)90319-7](http://doi.org/10.1016/0377-0427(93)90319-7)
- [24] Sghaier M. *A note on Dunkl-classical orthogonal polynomials*. Integral. Transforms. Spec. Funct., 2012, vol. 24, pp. 753–760. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1080/10652469.2011.631186>
- [25] Sghaier M. *Rodrigues formula for the Dunkl-classical symmetric orthogonal polynomials*. Filomat., 2013, vol. 27, pp. 1285–1290. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1307285S>

Received January 31, 2023.

In revised form, June 30, 2023.

Accepted July 2, 2023.

Published online October 12, 2023.

Y. Habbachi
Laboratory of Mathematics and Applications,
Faculty of Sciences, Gabès University,
Omar Ibn Khattab Street 6029 Gabes, Tunisia
E-mail: E-mail: Yahiahabbachi@gmail.com