

UDC 517.218.244, 517.518.862

B. BAYRAKTAR, L. GÓMEZ, J. E. NÁPOLES

## INEQUALITIES OF THE 3/8-SIMPSON TYPE FOR DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS VIA GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL OPERATORS

**Abstract.** Simpson-type inequalities are an important tool in mathematical analysis, particularly in the study of integrals. In this paper, we present new generalized 3/8-Simpson-type inequalities for functions whose first derivative modulus is  $(h, m)$ -convex and satisfies the Lipschitz condition via weight integral operators. To obtain these results, we use a new integral identity established in our study. This research generalizes, extends, and complements the existing results in the literature.

**Key words:** *convex function,  $(h, m)$ -convex function, Simpson-type inequality, weighted integral operator, Hölder inequality, Power mean inequality, Young inequality, Lipschitz function.*

**2020 Mathematical Subject Classification:** 26A51, 6A33, 226D10

**1. Introduction.** Convexity is a fundamental concept in several applied disciplines, including computational mathematics, optimization theory, inequality theory, and others. It is unique in that it not only pertains to assessing the mean value of a function defined on an interval, but also to determining upper bounds for various well-known quadrature formulas. To enhance and broaden this evaluation, numerous convexity classes have been introduced in the literature. The study in [32] provides a comprehensive overview of these convexity classes.

The concept of convexity also enables us to derive upper bounds for Simpson's inequalities. Here is the first  $\frac{1}{3}$ -Simpson formula.

If  $f \in C^4((\varrho_1, \varrho_2))$  and  $\|f^{(4)}\|_\infty := \sup_{x \in (\varrho_1, \varrho_2)} |f^{(4)}(x)| < \infty$ , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{f(\varrho_1) + f(\varrho_2)}{2} + 2f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{2}\right) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^4}{2880} \|f^{(4)}\|_\infty$$

and the second formula, which is called  $\frac{3}{8}$ -Simpson formula:

$$\left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(t) dt \right| \leqslant \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^4}{6480} \|f^{(4)}\|_{\infty}. \quad (1)$$

Many researchers have investigated various  $\frac{1}{3}$ -Simpson-type inequalities (see, for example [2], [4], [15], [16], [22], [26], [28], [31], [33] and the references therein). In contrast, relatively less attention has been given to  $\frac{3}{8}$ -Simpson-type inequalities (see, for instance [25], [14], [34], [35], [12], [27]). The primary focus of these studies has been on classes of convex functions, which play a critical role in various applied fields, including finance, economics, and optimization [11], [29].

**Definition 1.** [9] Let  $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, 1]$  and  $f: I_1 = [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . If  $\forall \varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in I_1$  and  $\theta \in [0, 1]$  the inequality

$$f(\theta\varrho_1 + m(1 - \theta)\varrho_2) \leqslant h^s(\theta)f(\varrho_1) + m(1 - h(\theta))^s f(\varrho_2)$$

is valid for  $s \in [-1, 1]$  and  $m \in [0, 1]$ , then on  $I_1$  the function  $f$  is called the  $(h, m)$ -convex modified of the second type.

The use of fractional operators is well established among researchers in various fields of applied science. Commonly employed fractional operators in the literature include the Riemann-Liouville, Caputo, Katugampola, conformable, non-conformable, and weighted integral operators (see, for example [20], [21], [23], [3], [1], [8], [6], [7], [5], [10], [13], [24], [30], [17], [18], [19], among others). Nevertheless, researchers are not restricted to classical fractional operators; they actively define and explore new generalized operators.

**Definition 2.** Let  $f \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  with  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varrho_1 \geqslant 0$ . Then the Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$  are defined by (right and left, respectively):

$$I_{\varrho_1+}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\varrho_1}^x (x - t)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad x > \varrho_1,$$

$$I_{\varrho_2-}^{\alpha} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_x^{\varrho_2} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt, \quad x < \varrho_2.$$

**Definition 3.** [33] Let  $f \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  with  $0 \leq \varrho_1 < \varrho_2$  and let  $w$  be a continuous and positive function,  $w: I_2 = [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , with first derivative integrable on  $[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ . Then the weighted fractional integrals are defined by (right and left, respectively):

$$J_{\varrho_1+}^w f(x) = \int_{\varrho_1}^x w' \left( \frac{x-t}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right) f(t) dt, \quad x > \varrho_1,$$

$$J_{\varrho_2-}^w f(x) = \int_x^{\varrho_2} w' \left( \frac{t-x}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right) f(t) dt, \quad x < \varrho_2.$$

**2. Some notation used for mathematical expressions.** To compactly write large mathematical expressions, we will use the following notation:

$$(A) := \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) dt,$$

$$(B) := \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) dt,$$

$$(C) := \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) dt,$$

$$(D) := \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt,$$

$$(E) := \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt,$$

$$(F) := \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) \right| dt,$$

$$W_i := \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_i|^p dt, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$H_1 := \int_0^1 h^s(t) dt, \quad H_2 := \int_0^1 (1 - h(t))^s dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3) := & \frac{1}{3} \left\{ \left[ (w(1) + r_1) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_1) f(\varrho_1) \right] + \right. \\ & + \left[ (w(1) + r_2) f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_2) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] + \\ & + \left. \left[ (w(1) + r_3) f(\varrho_2) - (w(0) + r_3) f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] \right\} - \\ & - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ J_{\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right)-}^w f(\varrho_1) + J_{\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right)-}^w f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + J_{(\varrho_2)-}^w f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

**3. Main Results.** Let us now present the lemma on the basis of which the results were obtained.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f: I_1 = [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, such that  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in I_1$  and  $0 \leq \varrho_1 < \varrho_2$ . Let  $w: I_2 = [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a positive differentiable function. If  $f', w' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ , then it is true that*

$$\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3) = \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left[ (A) + (B) + (C) \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $r_1, r_2$ , and  $r_3$  are constants.

**Proof.** Integrating (A) by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A) = & \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_1) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_1) f(\varrho_1) \right] - \\ & - \int_0^1 w'(t) f\left((1-t)\varrho_1 + t\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) dt. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Making the change of variable  $x = (1-t)\varrho_1 + t\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right)$  in (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(A) &= \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_1) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_1) f(\varrho_1) \right] - \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right)^2 \int_{\varrho_1}^{\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}} w' \left( \frac{x - \varrho_1}{\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{3}} \right) f(x) dx = \\
&= \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_1) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_1) f(\varrho_1) \right] - \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right)^2 J_{\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right)-}^w f(\varrho_1). \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, considering  $y = (1 - t)\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + t\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right)$  and  $z = (1 - t)\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + t\varrho_2$  and using the Definition 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(B) &= \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_2) f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_2) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] - \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right)^2 \int_{\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}}^{\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}} w' \left( \frac{y - \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}}{\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{3}} \right) f(y) dy = \\
&= \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_2) f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) - (w(0) + r_2) f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] - \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right)^2 J_{\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right)-}^w f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right); \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(C) &= \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_3) f(\varrho_2) - (w(0) + r_3) f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] - \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right)^2 \int_{\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}}^{\varrho_2} w' \left( \frac{z - \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}}{\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{3}} \right) f(z) dz = \\
&= \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \left[ (w(1) + r_3) f(\varrho_2) - (w(0) + r_3) f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] - \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{3}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \right)^2 J_{(\varrho_2)-}^w f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right). \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Summing (4)-(6) and then multiplying the resulting equality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$ , we get the desired result.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Lemma 2.1 from [25] and Lemma 1.2 from [12, for  $\eta = 3$ ] is derived by setting  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$  and choosing  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$  and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ .

**Corollary 1.** Under the conditions of Lemma 1, if we take  $w(t) = t^\alpha$  and  $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 0$ , we have the following identity via Riemann-Liouville operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f, t^\alpha, 0, 0, 0) &= \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 t^\alpha f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) dt + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 t^\alpha f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) dt + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 t^\alpha f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) dt. \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Indeed, for  $\Phi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f, t^\alpha, 0, 0, 0) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[ f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \\ &- \frac{3^{\alpha-1} \alpha \Gamma(\alpha)}{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^\alpha} \left[ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}} (u - \varrho_1)^{\alpha-1} f(u) du + \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}}^{\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}} \left( u - \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right)^{\alpha-1} f(u) du + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}}^{\varrho_2} \left( u - \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right)^{\alpha-1} f(u) du \Big] = \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left[ f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{3^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^\alpha} \left[ I_{\left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right)-}^\alpha f(\varrho_1) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + I_{\left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right)-}^\alpha f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + I_{(\varrho_2)-}^\alpha f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

and for (A), (B), and (C), we get:

$$(A) = \int_0^1 t^\alpha f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) dt,$$

$$(B) = \int_0^1 t^\alpha f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) dt,$$

$$(C) = \int_0^1 t^\alpha f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t \varrho_2 \right) dt.$$

Thus, from (2) we obtain (7).  $\square$

**Remark 2.** It is not difficult to see that for  $\alpha = 1$  from (7) it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f, t, 0, 0, 0) &= \frac{1}{3} \left[ f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_0^1 f(t) dt = \\ &= \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 t f' \left( (1-t) \varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) dt + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 t f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) dt + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 t f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t \varrho_2 \right) dt. \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f: I_1 = [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, such that  $f' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  for  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in I_1$  and  $0 \leq \varrho_1 < \varrho_2$  and let  $w: I_2 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a positive differentiable function. If  $|f'|$  is  $(h, m)$ -convex modified of the second type for some fixed  $m \in [0, 1]$  and  $s \in [-1, 1]$ , then it is true that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| &\leq \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)m}{9} \int_0^1 (1-h(t))^s \left[ |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right| + \right. \\ &+ |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| + |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| \Big] dt + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 h^s(t) \left[ |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + |w(t) + r_3| |f'(\varrho_2)| \Big] dt, \quad (9)$$

where  $r_1$ ,  $r_2$ , and  $r_3$  are constants.

**Proof.** Applying Lemma 1 and the triangle inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| &\leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left[ |(A)| + |(B)| + |(C)| \right] \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left[ (D) + (E) + (F) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Applying the  $(h, m)$  convexity of  $|f'|$  in (10), we get the following. For  $(D)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (D) &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

For  $(E)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (E) &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For  $(F)$ :

$$(F) \leq \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) |f'(\varrho_2)| \right] dt. \quad (13)$$

From (10), summing (11)–(13) and then multiplying the resulting equality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right] dt + \\
& + \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right] dt + \\
& + \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) |f'(\varrho_2)| \right] dt. \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying (14), we get (9). Thus, the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** If we take  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $h(t) = t$  and choose  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ , and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , and  $m = 1$ , then from (9) we get Theorem 2.1 from [25]. And if we additionally take  $s = 1$ , then we obtain Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.2.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f : I_1 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, such that  $f' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  for  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in I_1$  with  $0 \leq \varrho_1 < \varrho_2$  and let  $w : I_2 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a positive differentiable function. If  $|f'|^q$  is  $(h, m)$ -convex modified of the second type for some fixed  $m \in [0, 1]$  and  $s \in [-1, 1]$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  with  $q > 1$ , then it is true that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left\{ W_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right|^q H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q H_1 \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \right. \\
& + W_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q H_1 \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \\
& \left. + W_3^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q H_2 + |f'(\varrho_2)|^q H_1 \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}. \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** In (10) we have:

$$|\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} [(D) + (E) + (F)]. \quad (16)$$

Using the Hölder's inequality and the  $(h, m)$  convexity of  $|f'|^q$ , we

obtain for (D):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (D) &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt \leqslant \quad (17) \\
 &\leqslant W_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \\
 &\leqslant W_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right|^q H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q H_1 \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For (E):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (E) &\leqslant W_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \quad (18) \\
 &\leqslant W_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q H_1 \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For (F):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F) &\leqslant W_3^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \quad (19) \\
 &\leqslant W_3^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q H_2 + |f'(\varrho_2)|^q H_1 \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (16), summing (17)-(19) and then multiplying the resulting equality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$ , we have (15). Thus, the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** For  $h(t) = t$  and  $m = 1$ , choosing  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$  and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , from (15) we obtain an estimate for inequality (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right| \leqslant \\
 &\leqslant \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{72(s+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left\{ \left( \frac{3^{p+1} + 5^{p+1}}{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left| f'(\varrho_1) \right|^q + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 4 \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left( \frac{3^{p+1} + 5^{p+1}}{8} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big\}. \quad (20)$$

**Proof.** Indeed, for the  $\Phi$ ,  $H_1, H_2$ , and  $W_i$ , we get

$$\left| \Phi \left( f, t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, -\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + 3f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right|; \quad (21)$$

$$W_1 = \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right|^p dt = \frac{\left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{p+1} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{p+1}}{p+1} = \frac{3^{p+1} + 5^{p+1}}{8^{p+1} (p+1)},$$

$$W_2 = \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right|^p dt = 2 \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{p+1}}{p+1} = \frac{1}{2^p (p+1)},$$

$$W_3 = \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{5}{8} \right|^p dt = \frac{\left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{p+1} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{p+1}}{p+1} = \frac{5^{p+1} + 3^{p+1}}{8^{p+1} (p+1)};$$

$$H_1 = H_2 = \int_0^1 t^s dt = \int_0^1 (1-t)^s dt = \frac{1}{s+1}. \quad (22)$$

Thus, for the right-hand side (15), after some simplifications, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9(s+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{3^{p+1} + 5^{p+1}}{8(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ |f'(\varrho_1)|^q + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2(p+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} + \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{3^{p+1} + 5^{p+1}}{8(p+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\}. \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

From (21) and (23) follows (20).  $\square$

**Remark 4.** Inequality (20) was obtained in Theorem 2.2 from [25].

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f: I_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, such that  $f' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  for  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in I_1$  and let  $w: I_2 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a positive differentiable function. If  $|f'|^q$  with  $q > 1$  is  $(h, m)$ -convex modified of the second type for some fixed  $m \in [0, 1]$  and  $s \in [-1, 1]$ , then it is true that

$$|\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left( V_1^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_1^{\frac{1}{q}} + V_2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_2^{\frac{1}{q}} + V_3^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_3^{\frac{1}{q}} \right). \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Here } V_i = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_i| dt, i = 1, 2, 3,$$

$$G_1 = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right|^q + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right] dt,$$

$$G_2 = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right] dt,$$

$$G_3 = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q + h^s(t) |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \right] dt.$$

**Proof.** In (10) we have:

$$|\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left[ (D) + (E) + (F) \right]. \quad (25)$$

Using the power mean inequality and the  $(h, m)$  convexity of  $|f'|^q$ , we obtain the following.

For (D):

$$\begin{aligned} (D) &\leq V_1^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ &\leq V_1^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left[ m(1 - h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right|^q + \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q h^s(t) dt \Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = V_1^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_1^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (26)$$

For (E):

$$\begin{aligned} (E) &\leq V_2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ &\leq V_2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left[ (1-h(t))^s m \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right] dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = V_2^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_2^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

For (F) :

$$\begin{aligned} (F) &\leq V_3^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) \right|^q dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\ &\leq V_3^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left\{ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + h^s(t) |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \right] dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = V_3^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_3^{\frac{1}{q}}. \quad (28) \end{aligned}$$

From (25), summing (26)–(28) and then multiplying the resulting equality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$ , we have (24). Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** For  $h(t) = t$  and  $m = 1$ , if we choose  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ , and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , then we obtain from (24) an estimate for (1):

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left( \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left[ \left( \frac{17}{64} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( G_{11}^{\frac{1}{q}} + G_{31}^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} G_{21}^{\frac{1}{q}} \right], \quad (29) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11} &= \left[ \frac{3s-2}{16} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right] \cdot |f'(\varrho_1)|^q + \left[ \frac{5s+2}{16} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right] \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q, \\ G_{21} &= \frac{1+s2^s}{2^{s+2}} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right], \\ G_{31} &= \left[ \frac{5s+2}{16} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right] \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + \left[ \frac{3s-2}{16} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right] \cdot |f'(\varrho_2)|^q. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Indeed, for  $\Phi$  and for  $V_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Phi \left( f, t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, -\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 3f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right|; \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} V_1 = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| dt = \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| dt = \frac{17}{64}, \\ V_2 = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| dt = \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| dt = \frac{1}{4}, \\ V_3 = \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| dt = \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| dt = \frac{17}{64}. \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

For  $(G_1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left[ |m(1-h(t))^s| \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right|^q + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right] dt = \\ &= |f'(\varrho_1)|^q \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| (1-t)^s dt + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| t^s dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| (1-t)^s dt &= \int_0^1 \left| \frac{5}{8} - z \right| z^s dz = \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \left[ \frac{3s-2}{16} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right], \\ \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| t^s dt &= \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \left[ \frac{5s+2}{16} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $(G_1)$ , we get

$$G_1 = \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \cdot \left\{ \left[ \frac{3s-2}{16} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right] \cdot |f'(\varrho_1)|^q + \left[ \frac{5s+2}{16} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right] \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right\} = \frac{2G_{11}}{(s+1)(s+2)}. \quad (32)$$

For  $(G_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q + h^s(t) \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right] dt = \\ &= \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| (1-t)^s dt + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| t^s dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| (1-t)^s dt = \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{2} - z \right| z^s dz = \frac{1}{2(s+1)(s+2)} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^s + s \right).$$

Thus, for  $(G_2)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{21} &= \frac{1 + s2^s}{2^{s+1}(s+1)(s+2)} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \right] = \\ &= \frac{2G_{21}}{(s+1)(s+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

For  $(G_3)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_3 &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right|^q + h^s(t) |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \right] dt = \\ &= \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{5}{8} \right| (1-t)^s dt + |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{5}{8} \right| t^s dt. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{5}{8} \right| (1-t)^s dt = \int_0^1 \left| \frac{3}{8} - z \right| z^s dz = \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \left( \frac{5s+2}{16} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right),$$

$$\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{5}{8} \right| t^s dt = \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \left( \frac{3s-2}{16} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right).$$

Thus, for  $G_3$  we get

$$G_3 = \frac{2}{(s+1)(s+2)} \left[ \left( \frac{5s+2}{16} + \left( \frac{3}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right) \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right|^q + \left( \frac{3s-2}{16} + \left( \frac{5}{8} \right)^{s+2} \right) |f'(\varrho_2)|^q \right] = \frac{2G_{31}}{(s+1)(s+2)}. \quad (34)$$

From (30)–(34), (29) follows.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** Inequality (29) was obtained in Theorem 2.3 from [25].

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f : I_1 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, such that  $f' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  for  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in I_1$  and  $0 \leq \varrho_1 < \varrho_2$ , and let  $w : I_2 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a positive differentiable function. If  $|f'|^q$  is  $(h, m)$ -convex modified of the second type for some fixed  $m \in [0, 1]$  and  $s \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  with  $p, q > 1$ , then it is true that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| &\leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9p} \left( V_1^p + V_2^p + V_3^p \right) + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right| H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| H_1 \right]^q + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| H_1 \right]^q + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| H_2 + |f'(\varrho_2)| H_1 \right]^q, \quad (35) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_i$  defined in Theorem 3.

**Proof.** In (10) we have

$$|\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left[ (D) + (E) + (F) \right]. \quad (36)$$

Using Young's inequality  $\left( uv = \frac{u^p}{p} + \frac{v^q}{q} \right)$  and the  $(h, m)$  convexity of  $|f'|^q$ , we obtain

For (D):

$$\begin{aligned}
(D) &= \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} V_1^p + \frac{1}{q} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt \right]^q \leq \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} V_1^p + \frac{1}{q} \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[ m(1-h(t))^s \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right| + h^s(t) \cdot \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right] dt \right\}^q = \\
&= \frac{1}{p} V_1^p + \frac{1}{q} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1}{m} \right) \right| H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| H_1 \right]^q. \quad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

For (E):

$$\begin{aligned}
(E) &\leq \frac{1}{p} V_2^p + \frac{1}{q} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt \right]^q = \\
&= \frac{1}{p} V_2^p + \frac{1}{q} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| H_2 + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| H_1 \right]^q. \quad (38)
\end{aligned}$$

For (F):

$$\begin{aligned}
(F) &\leq \frac{1}{p} V_3^p + \frac{1}{q} \left[ \int_0^1 \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) \right| dt \right]^q = \\
&= \frac{1}{p} V_3^p + \frac{1}{q} \left[ m \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3m} \right) \right| H_2 + |f'(\varrho_2)| H_1 \right]^q. \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

From (36), summing (37)–(39) and then multiplying the resulting equality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$ , we have (35). Thus, the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** For  $h(t) = t$  and  $m = 1$  if we choose  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ , and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , then from (35), we obtain an estimate for (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + 3f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9p} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{17}{64} \right)^p + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^p \right] + \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left\{ \left[ |f'(\varrho_1)| + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right]^q + \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right]^q + \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + |f'(\varrho_2)| \right]^q \}. \quad (40)$$

**Proof.** Indeed, for  $\Phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Phi \left( f, t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, -\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 3f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right|, \end{aligned}$$

from (31) and (22) we have:

$$V_1 = V_3 = \frac{17}{64}, V_2 = \frac{1}{4}, H_1 = H_2 = \frac{1}{s+1}.$$

and the expressions in the right-hand side of (35) will look like:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9p} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{17}{64} \right)^p + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^p \right] &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left[ |f'(\varrho_1)| + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right]^q + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right]^q + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + |f'(\varrho_2)| \right]^q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (35), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Phi \left( f, t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, -\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| &\leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9p} \left[ 2 \left( \frac{17}{64} \right)^p + \left( \frac{1}{4} \right)^p \right] + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left[ |f'(\varrho_1)| + \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right]^q + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| \right]^q + \\ &+ \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9q(s+1)} \left[ \left| f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| + |f'(\varrho_2)| \right]^q. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

The following theorem, in a special case, provides an estimate for (1) in terms of the smallest and largest values of the derivative of the function on  $[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f: I_1 \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function on  $I_1^\circ$  and  $f' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ . If there exist constants  $-\infty < m < M < +\infty$ , such that  $m \leq f' \leq M$ ,  $\forall x \in [\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| &\leq \\ &\leq \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)(M - m)}{18} \int_0^1 \left[ |w(t) + r_1| + |w(t) + r_2| + |w(t) + r_3| \right] dt + \\ &\quad + \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)(M + m)}{18} \left| \int_0^1 (3w(t) + r_1 + r_2 + r_3) dt \right|. \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** In (10), we have

$$|\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} |(A) + (B) + (C)|. \quad (42)$$

For (A), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (A) &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) \cdot \left[ f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) - \frac{m+M}{2} + \frac{m+M}{2} \right] dt = \\ &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) \cdot \left[ f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right] dt + \\ &\quad + \frac{m+M}{2} \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) dt. \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

By analogy, for (B) and (C) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (B) &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) \cdot \left[ f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right] dt + \\ &\quad + \frac{m+M}{2} \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) dt, \quad (44) \end{aligned}$$

$$(C) = \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) \left[ f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right] dt + \\ + \frac{m+M}{2} \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) dt. \quad (45)$$

Adding equalities (43)–(45) and using properties of absolute value and inequality  $\left| f'(x) - \frac{m+M}{2} \right| \leq \frac{M-m}{2}, \forall x \in [\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ , we obtain

$$|(A) + (B) + (C)| \leq \\ \leq \frac{M-m}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| dt \right] + \\ + \frac{M+m}{2} \left| \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) dt + \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) dt + \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) dt \right| = \\ = \frac{M-m}{2} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| dt \right] + \\ + \frac{M+m}{2} \left| \int_0^1 (3w(t) + r_1 + r_2 + r_3) dt \right|.$$

Then, multiplying both sides of the resulting inequality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$  from (42) we obtain (41). This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** For  $h(t) = t$  and  $m = 1$ , if we choose  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ , and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , then from (41) we get:

$$\left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right| \leq \\ \leq \frac{25(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)(M-m)}{576}. \quad (46)$$

**Proof.** Indeed, for  $\Phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \Phi \left( f, t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, -\frac{1}{8} \right) \right| = \\ & = \left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate the integrals on the right-hand side (41). From (31), for the first integral we have:

$$\int_0^1 \left( |w(t) + r_1| + |w(t) + r_2| + |w(t) + r_3| \right) dt = \frac{25}{32}$$

and for the second integral, we get:

$$\int_0^1 (3w(t) + r_1 + r_2 + r_3) dt = 3 \int_0^1 \left( t - \frac{1}{2} \right) dt = 0.$$

Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** Inequality (46) was obtained in Theorem 3.1 from [25] and in Theorem 2.1 from [27, for  $\eta = 3$ ].

The following theorem, in a special case, provides an estimate for (1) in terms of the Lipschitz constant.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f : [\varrho_1, \varrho_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function  $(\varrho_1, \varrho_2)$ , such that  $f' \in L_1[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$  with  $0 \leq \varrho_1 < \varrho_2$ . If  $f'$  is an  $L$ -Lipschitzian function on  $[\varrho_1, \varrho_2]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \\ & \leq \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^2 L}{27} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| t dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| t dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| t dt \right] + \\ & + \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} \left| \left[ f'(\varrho_1) + f'\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + f'\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] \int_0^1 w(t) dt + \right. \\ & \left. + f'(\varrho_1) r_1 + r_2 f'\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + f'\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) r_3 \right|. \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** In (10), we have

$$|\Phi(f, w, r_1, r_2, r_3)| \leq \frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9} |(A) + (B) + (C)|.$$

For (A), we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} (A) &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) \left[ f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) - f'(\varrho_1) + f'(\varrho_1) \right] dt = \\ &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) \cdot \left[ f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) - f'(\varrho_1) \right] dt + \\ &\quad + f'(\varrho_1) \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) dt. \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

By analogy, for (B) and (C) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} (B) &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) \left[ f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) - f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right] dt + \\ &\quad + f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) dt, \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (C) &= \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) \left[ f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t\varrho_2 \right) - f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right] dt + \\ &\quad + f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) dt, \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

Let us add equalities (48) through (50), take the absolute value of both sides of the resulting equation, and use the properties of absolute values. As a result, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |(A) + (B) + (C)| &\leq \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t)\varrho_1 + t \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) - f'(\varrho_1) \right| dt + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} + t \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) - f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt + \\
& + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| \cdot \left| f' \left( (1-t) \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} + t \varrho_2 \right) - f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right| dt + \\
& + \left| f'(\varrho_1) \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_1) dt + f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_2) dt + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \int_0^1 (w(t) + r_3) dt \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $f'$  is a Lipschitz function, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(A) + (B) + (C)| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1) L}{3} \left[ \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_1| t dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_2| t dt + \int_0^1 |w(t) + r_3| t dt \right] + \\
& + \left| \left[ f'(\varrho_1) + f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) \right] \int_0^1 w(t) dt + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + f'(\varrho_1) r_1 + r_2 f' \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + f' \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) r_3 \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, multiplying both sides of the resulting inequality by  $\frac{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1}{9}$ , we obtain (47). The proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** For  $h(t) = t$  and  $m = 1$ , if we choose  $w(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $r_1 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $r_2 = 0$ , and  $r_3 = -\frac{1}{8}$ , then we get from (47):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f \left( \frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3} \right) + 3f \left( \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right| \leq \\
& \leq \frac{41(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^2 L}{1728}. \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Indeed, for  $\Phi$ , we have:

$$\left| \Phi\left(f, t - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, -\frac{1}{8}\right) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{8} \left[ f(\varrho_1) + 3f\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + 3f\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) + f(\varrho_2) \right] - \frac{1}{\varrho_2 - \varrho_1} \int_{\varrho_1}^{\varrho_2} f(u) du \right|.$$

Calculate the integrals on the right-hand side of (47). For the integrals of the first group we have from (31):

$$\int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{3}{8} \right| t dt + \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{1}{2} \right| t dt + \int_0^1 \left| t - \frac{5}{8} \right| t dt = \frac{25}{64}$$

and for the integrals of the second group, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left[ f'(\varrho_1) + f'\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + f'\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) \right] \int_0^1 w(t) dt + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + f'(\varrho_1) r_1 + r_2 f'\left(\frac{2\varrho_1 + \varrho_2}{3}\right) + f'\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right) r_3 \right| = \\ & = \left| 0 + \frac{f'(\varrho_1)}{8} + 0 - \frac{f'\left(\frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3}\right)}{8} \right| \leq \frac{L}{8} \left| \varrho_1 - \frac{\varrho_1 + 2\varrho_2}{3} \right| = \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1) L}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the right-hand side, we have

$$\frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^2 L}{27} \frac{25}{64} + \frac{(\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^2 L}{9 \cdot 12} = \frac{41 (\varrho_2 - \varrho_1)^2 L}{1728}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 7.** Inequality (51) was obtained in Theorem 3.2 from [25] and in Theorem 2.2 from [27, for  $\eta = 3$ ].

**4. Conclusions.** In this paper, we present new 3/8–Simpson integral inequalities via generalized (weighted) integral operators for functions whose first derivatives (and their powers) satisfy (h, m)-convexity of the second type and the Lipschitz condition. By introducing a new integral identity, our method unifies and extends a number of known results on

these inequalities. In particular, we obtain estimates for classical convex,  $(h, m)$ -modified convex, and Lipschitz functions, as well as refined bounds including Riemann–Liouville. Using certain choices of parameters, we show how these results reduce to traditional inequalities. Given the generality and strength of the idea presented in the work, we believe that one of the future possibilities is the application to new integral inequalities,

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are highly indebted to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] Ali M. A., Nápoles Valdés J. E., Kashuri A., Zhang Z. *Fractional non-conformable Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for generalized  $\phi$ -convex functions*. Fasciculi Mathematici, 2020, vol. 64, pp. 5–16.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21008/j.0044-4413.2020.0007>.
- [2] Alomari M., Hussain S. *Two inequalities of Simpson type for quasi-convex functions and applications*. Ap. Math. E-Notes, 2011, vol. 11, pp. 110–117.
- [3] Akkurt A., Yildirim M. E., Yildirim H. *On some integral inequalities for  $(k, h)$ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral*. NTMSCI, 2016, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 138–146. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.20852/ntmsci.2016217824>.
- [4] Bayraktar B. *Some integral inequalities for functions whose absolute values of the third derivative are concave and  $r$ -convex*. Turkish J. Ineq., 2020, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 59–78.
- [5] Bayraktar B., Butt S. I., Nápoles Valdés J. E., Rabossi F. *Some new estimates of integral inequalities and their applications*. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 2024, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 159–178.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3842/umzh.v76i2.7266>.
- [6] Bayraktar B., Nápoles Valdés J. E., Rabossi F. *Some Refinements of the Hermite-Hadamard Inequality With the Help of Weighted Integrals*. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, 2023, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 842–860.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-023-02232-4>.
- [7] Bayraktar B., Nápoles Valdés J. E., Rabossi F., Samaniego A. D. *Some extensions of the Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for quasi-convex functions via weighted integral*. Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics, 2023, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 1221–1239.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22199/issn.0717-6279-5610>.
- [8] Bayraktar B., Nápoles Valdés J. E. *Hermite-Hadamard weighted integral inequalities for  $(h, m)$ -convex modified functions*. Fractional Differential Calculus, 2022, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 235–248.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7153/fdc-2022-12-15>.

- [9] Bayraktar B., Nápoles Valdés J. E. *Integral inequalities for mappings whose derivatives are  $(h, m, s)$ -convex modified of second type via Katugampola integrals*. Annals of the University of Craiova, Mathematics and Computer Science Series, 2022, vol. 49, no 2, pp. 371–383.  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.52846/ami.v49i2.1596>
- [10] Bohner M., Kashuri A., Mohammed P. O., Nápoles Valdés J. E. *Hermite-Hadamard-type inequalities for conformable integrals*. Hacet. J. Math. Stat., 2022, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 775–786, 2022.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15672/hujms.946069>
- [11] Boyd S., Vandenberghe L., *Convex Optimization*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2004.
- [12] Boutelhig, N., Meftah, B., Saleh, W., Lakhdari, A. *Parameterized Simpson-like inequalities for differentiable bounded and Lipschitzian functions with application example from management science*. JAMSI, 2023, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 79–91.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2478/jamsi-2023-0005>
- [13] Butt S. I., Bayraktar B., Nápoles Valdés J. E. *Some new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type via Katugampola fractional integral*. Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, 2023, vol. 55, no. 7-8, pp. 269–289.  
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.52280/pujm.2023.55\(7-8\)02](https://doi.org/10.52280/pujm.2023.55(7-8)02)
- [14] Delavar Rostamian M., Kashuri A., De La Sen M. *On Weighted Simpson's 3/8 Rule*, Symmetry, 2021, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1933.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13101933>.
- [15] Dragomir S. S., Agarwal R. P., Cerone P. *On Simpson's inequality and applications*. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2000, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 533–579.
- [16] Du T. S., Li Y. J., Yang Z. Q. *A generalization of Simpson's inequality via differentiable mapping using extended  $(s, m)$ -convex functions*. Appl. Math. Comput., 2017, vol. 293, pp. 358–369.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2016.08.045>
- [17] Du T. S., Zhou T. C., *On the fractional double integral inclusion relations having exponential kernels via interval-valued co-ordinated convex mappings*. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 2022, Article ID 156, 111846.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111846>
- [18] Fu H., Peng Y., Du T. S., *Some inequalities for multiplicative tempered fractional integrals involving the  $\lambda$ -incomplete gamma functions*. AIMS Mathematics, 2021, vol. 6, no 7, 7456–7478.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2021436>

- [19] Long Y., Du T. S., *Analysis on multiplicative  $k$ -Atangana-Baleanu fractional integrals with application to various Mercer-type inequalities*. Fractals, 2025, vol. 33, 2550003.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X25500033>
- [20] Jarad F., Abdeljawad T., Shah T. *On the weighted fractional operators of a function with respect to another function*. Fractals, 2020, vol. 28, no. 8, 2040011. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0218348X20400113>
- [21] Jarad F., Ugurlu E., Abdeljawad T., Baleanu D. *On a new class of fractional operators*. Adv. Differ. Equ., 2017, vol. 2017, pp. 247.
- [22] Kashuri A., Meftah B., Mohammed P. O. *Some weighted Simpson type inequalities for differentiable  $s$ -convex functions and their applications*. Journal of Fractional Calculus and Nonlinear Systems, 2021, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 75-94. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.48185/jfcns.v1i1.150>
- [23] Khan T. U., Khan M. A. *Generalized conformable fractional integral operators*. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2019, vol. 346, pp. 378-389.
- [24] Kórus P., Nápoles Valdés J. E., Bayraktar B. *Weighted Hermite-Hadamard integral inequalities for general convex functions*. MBE, 2023, vol. 20, no. 11, pp. 19929-19940. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2023882>
- [25] Laribi N., Meftah B. *3/8-Simpson type inequalities for functions whose modulus of first derivatives and its  $q$ th powers are  $s$ -convex in the second sense*. Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics (JJMS), 2023, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 79-98. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47013/16.1.6>
- [26] Luo C., Du T. *Generalized Simpson Type Inequalities Involving Riemann-Liouville Fractional Integrals and Their Applications*. Filomat, 2020, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 751-760. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2003751L>
- [27] Mahmoudi, L. Meftah, B. *Parameterized Simpson-like inequalities for differential  $s$ -convex functions*. Analysis, 2023, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 59-70.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1515/anly-2022-1068>
- [28] Matloka M. *Weighted Simpson type inequalities for  $h$ -convex functions*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., 2017, vol. 10, pp. 5770-5780.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.010.11.15>
- [29] Mordukhovich B. S., Nam N. M., *An Easy Path to Convex Analysis and Applications*. Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2014.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-02406-1>
- [30] Nápoles Valdés J. E., Bayraktar B. *New extensions of Hermite-Hadamard inequality using  $k$ -fractional Caputo derivatives*. Advanced Studies: Euro-Tbilisi Mathematical Journal, 2023, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 11-527.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32513/asemj/193220082314>

- [31] Nápoles Valdés J. E., Guzmán P. M., Bayraktar B. *New integral inequalities in the class of functions (hm)-convex*. Izvestiya of Saratov University. Mathematics. Mechanics. Informatics, 2024, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 173–5183. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18500/1816-9791-2024-24-2-173-183>
- [32] Nápoles Valdés J. E., Rabossi F., Samaniego A. D. *Convex functions: Ariadne's thread or Charlotte's spiderweb?* Advanced Mathematical Models & Applications, 2020, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 176–5191.
- [33] Nápoles Valdés J. E., Quevedo Cubillos M. N., Bayraktar B. *Integral inequalities of Simpson type via weighted integrals*. Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 2023, vol. 12(30), no. 2, pp. 68–586. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2023.13310>
- [34] Nasri N., Meftah B., Moumen A., Saber H. *Fractional 3/8-Simpson type inequalities for differentiable convex functions*. AIMS Mathematics, 2024, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 5349–5375. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2024258>
- [35] Siricharuanun P., Erden S., Ali M. A., Budak H., Chasreechai S., Sitthiwirattam T. *Some New Simpson's and Newton's Formulas Type Inequalities for Convex Functions in Quantum Calculus*. Mathematics, 2021, vol. 9, no. 16, pp. 1992. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/math9161992>

*Received December 13, 2024.*

*In revised form, March 11, 2025.*

*Accepted March 22, 2025.*

*Published online May 13, 2025.*

B. Bayraktar

Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Education, Gorukle Campus, 16059,  
Bursa, Turkey.

E-mail: [bbayraktar@uludag.edu.tr](mailto:bbayraktar@uludag.edu.tr)

L. Gómez

Student of the Bachelor's Degree in Mathematic

UNNE-FaCENA, Ave. Libertad 5450, Corrientes 3400, Argentina

E-mail: [lucasmeynland13@gmail.com](mailto:lucasmeynland13@gmail.com)

J. E. Nápoles Valdés

UNNE-FaCENA, Ave. Libertad 5450, Corrientes 3400, Argentina

UTN-FRRE, French 414, Resistencia, Chaco 3500, Argentina

E-mail: [jnapoles@exa.unne.edu.ar](mailto:jnapoles@exa.unne.edu.ar)