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## REDUCED $p$-MODULUS, $p$-HARMONIC RADIUS AND $p$-HARMONIC GREEN'S MAPPINGS


#### Abstract

We consider the definitions and properties of the metric characteristics of the spatial domains previously introduced by the author, and their connection with the class of mappings, the particular case of which are the harmonic Green's mappings introduced by A. I. Janushauskas. In determining these mappings, the role of the harmonic Green's function is played by the $p$-harmonic Green's function of the $n$-dimensional region $(1<p<\infty)$, the existence and properties of which are established by S. Kichenassamy and L. Veron. The properties of $p$-harmonic Green mappings established in the general case are analogous to the properties of harmonic Green's mappings ( $p=2, n=3$ ). In particular, it is proved that the $p$-harmonic radius of the spatial domain has a geometric meaning analogous to the conformal radius of a plane domain.
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1. Introduction. By definition, the conformal radius of a plane simply connected domain with respect to a fixed interior point is the radius of the disk onto which this domain can be conformally mapped, so that the indicated point passes to the origin, and its derivative at this point is equal to one. It is known that the conformal radius coincides with the inner radius of the region with respect to this point, determined by the (harmonic) Green's function [6]. The notion of $p$-harmonic inner radius of a spatial domain, introduced by the author (see [10]), is a natural generalization of the inner (conformal) radius of a plane domain. This concept has been applied in a number of works on the potential theory (see $[4,8,18]$ ). We show that the $p$-harmonic inner radius of a spatial

[^0]domain homeomorphic to a ball has a geometric meaning analogous to the conformal radius. The role of the conformal mapping is played by the $p$-harmonic Green's mapping. This class of maps is defined by analogy with harmonic Green's mappings [19] and has a number of similar properties. The connection between the reduced $p$-module of the domain with respect to the interior point and the inner $p$-harmonic radius ([10]) makes it possible to extend geometric estimates and properties established by using the moduli method for other classes of maps to the case of $p$-harmonic Green's mappings (see [10, 12]).
2. The reduced $p$-module. Let $\mathbb{E}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space and $\overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}=\mathbb{E}^{n} \cup \infty$ be its one-point compactification. Denote by $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ a vector in $\mathbb{E}^{n},|x|=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}}$ is the length of $x$. $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ is an open ball centered at a point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{E}^{n}$ with radius $t$; $B^{n}(\infty, t)=\left\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}:|x|>t\right\} ; S^{n-1}(x, t)=\partial B^{n}(x, t), x \in \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}} ; \omega_{n}$ is the volume of an $n$-dimensional ball of unit radius, $n \omega_{n}$ is the area of its surface.

The concept of $p$-capacity and its generalizations in different versions is encountered in the works of many authors (see, for example, [2,5,7,13]). We consider a condenser, which is a ring domain $D \in \mathbb{E}^{n}$, the complement of which consists of two connected components $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ (condenser plates).

For $1<p<\infty$, we define the $p$-capacity of the condenser $D$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{p} D=\inf \int_{D}|\nabla u|^{p} d \omega, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where inf is taken over the class of continuous functions in $\bar{D}$ that are continuously differentiable in $D$ and take values 0 on $C_{0}$ and 1 on $C_{1}$. It is known that if $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are nondegenerate, then there is a unique potential function $u_{0}(x)$, which is the extremal for $p$-capacity of the condenser $D$ and is $p$-harmonic, that is, it satisfies the $p$-Laplace equation (in the generalized sense).
Lemma 1. For almost all $t \in(0,1)$ we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{p} D=\int_{S_{t}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-1} d S \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{t}\left(u_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in D: u_{0}(x)=t\right\}$ is a level surface of the potential function and $d S$ is the surface area element.

Proof. Note that if $\varphi(x)$ is a twice continuously differentiable function defined in a domain $G$ with a piecewise smooth boundary, and $\psi(x)$ is a continuously differentiable function in $G$, then, integrating by parts, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{G} \psi \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \nabla \varphi\right) d \omega= \\
&=\int_{\partial G} \psi|\nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} d S-\int_{G}|\nabla \varphi|^{p-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{k}} d \omega \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\vec{n}$ is the vector of the external normal to $\partial G$. Let $D_{a, b}$ be a domain bounded by level surfaces $S_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $S_{b}\left(u_{0}\right)(0<a<b<1)$. Due to the fact that $u_{0}(x)$ is a monotone function (see [15]), $D_{a, b}$ is a ring domain. Applying formula (3) in this domain in the case $\psi=1$ and $\varphi=u_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\int_{\partial D_{a, b}}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S=\int_{S_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S+\int_{S_{b}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S=0 .
$$

It follows that

$$
\int_{S_{b}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S=-\int_{S_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S=\int_{S_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-1} d S=I
$$

The value of $I$, therefore, does not depend on the choice of the level surface of the potential function, except for the case when the gradient $u_{0}(x)$ vanishes on this surface. Applying formula (3) again in the domain $D_{a, b}$ when $\psi=\varphi=u_{0}$, we find

$$
\int_{D_{a, b}}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p} d \omega=a \int_{S_{a}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S+b \int_{S_{b}\left(u_{0}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{0}}{\partial n} d S=(b-a) I .
$$

Passing to the limit as $a \rightarrow 0$ and $b \rightarrow 1$, we obtain the relation (2).
A convenient metric characteristic of a condenser is the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bmod _{p} D=\left(\frac{n \omega_{n}}{\operatorname{Cap}_{p} D}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is called the $p$-module of a condenser $D$.
Let $\mu_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=\mu_{p}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)=\mu_{p}(t)$ be a fundamental solution of the $p$-Laplace equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{p} u=-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=n \omega_{n} \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta\left(x-x_{0}\right)$ is the Dirac measure or Dirac $\delta$-function at $x_{0} \in \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}$. With $x_{0} \neq \infty$, we have

$$
\mu_{p}(t)= \begin{cases}-\ln t, & p=n ;  \tag{6}\\ \frac{1}{\gamma} t^{-\gamma}, & p \neq n,\end{cases}
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{n-p}{p-1}$. If $x_{0}=\infty$, then the role of $\mu_{p}(x, \infty)$ is played by the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}^{\infty}(|x|)=\mu_{p}^{\infty}(t)=-\mu_{p}(1 / t) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $p$-module of the spherical ring $K_{r}^{R}$, bounded by the concentric spheres of radii $r$ and $R>r$ is

$$
\bmod _{p} K_{r}^{R}=\mu_{p}(r)-\mu_{p}(R)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ln \frac{R}{r}, \quad p=n,  \tag{8}\\
-\frac{1}{\gamma}\left(R^{-\gamma}-r^{-\gamma}\right), \quad p \neq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will need the following well-known property from the potential theory, formulated here for the case of $p$-modules of ring domains.

Lemma 2. If ring domains $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{m}$ are pairwise disjoint and each of them separates the boundary components of a ring domain $D$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bmod _{p} D \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} \bmod _{p} D_{k} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u_{k}$ be an admissible function for the ring domain $D_{k}, a_{k} \geqslant 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}=1$. Then $u=\sum_{k=1}^{m} u_{k}$ is an admissible function for the ring domain $D$ and

$$
\int_{D}|\nabla u|^{p} d \omega=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} \int_{D_{k}}\left|\nabla u_{k}\right|^{p} d \omega .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{p} D \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k}^{p} \operatorname{Cap}_{p} D_{k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming

$$
a_{k}=\frac{\left(\operatorname{Cap}_{p} D_{k}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\operatorname{Cap}_{p} D_{k}\right)^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}}
$$

from (10), we obtain (9).
Let $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}$ be a domain homeomorphic to a ball, $x_{0} \in G$, $G_{t}=G \backslash \overline{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}$. If $x_{0} \neq \infty$, by Lemma 2, for sufficiently small $0<t_{1}<t_{2}$ we have

$$
\bmod _{p} G_{t_{1}} \geqslant \bmod _{p} G_{t_{2}}+\bmod _{p} K_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}
$$

where $K_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}$ is the ring bounded by concentric spheres of radii $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ with center at $x_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\bmod _{p} G_{t_{1}}-\mu_{p}\left(t_{1}\right) \geqslant \bmod _{p} G_{t_{2}}-\mu_{p}\left(t_{2}\right)
$$

Consequently, the following limit exists:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[\bmod G_{t}-\mu_{p}(t)\right]=m_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, when $x_{0}=\infty$,

$$
\bmod _{p} G_{t_{1}^{-1}} \geqslant \bmod _{p} G_{t_{2}^{-1}}+\bmod _{p} K_{t_{2}^{-1}}^{t_{1}^{-1}}
$$

where $K_{t_{1}^{-1}}^{t_{1}^{-1}}$ is the ring bounded by concentric spheres of radii $t_{2}^{-1}$ and $t_{1}^{-1}$ $\left(t_{2}^{-1}<t_{1}^{-1}\right)$ with the center at the origin. Hence, taking (8) into account, we find

$$
\bmod _{p} G_{\frac{1}{t_{1}}}-\mu_{p}^{\infty}\left(t_{1}\right) \geqslant \bmod _{p} G_{\frac{1}{t_{2}}}-\mu_{p}^{\infty}\left(t_{2}\right)
$$

Consequently, the following limit exists:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left[\bmod G_{\frac{1}{t}}-\mu_{p}^{\infty}(t)\right]=m_{p}(\infty, G) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the general case, the quantity $m_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)$ will be called the reduced $p$-module of the domain $G$ with respect to the point $x_{0}$. If $p>n$ and $x_{0} \neq \infty$, we have

$$
m_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \bmod _{p} G_{t}
$$

If $1<p<n$ and $x_{0}=\infty$, then

$$
m_{p}(\infty, G)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \bmod _{p} G_{\frac{1}{t}}=\left(\frac{n \omega_{n}}{C_{p}\left(\overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}} \backslash G\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}
$$

where $C_{p}(A)$ is the $p$-capacity of the compact $A \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$, see [13], defined by

$$
C_{p}(A)=\inf \int_{\mathbb{E}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{p} d \omega .
$$

Here the infimum is taken over the class of continuously differentiable functions, greater than or equal to 1 on $A$, with compact support in $\mathbb{E}^{n}$.

The notion of reduced modulus of a plane domain ( $p=n=2$ ) appeared for the first time in Teichmiiller's article [17]. Various generalizations of the concept of the reduced module and their applications were considered in $[1,10-12,14]$. The definition of the reduced $p$-module of a domain with respect to a point, given in this article above, can be extended to the case of domains of arbitrary connectivity. To do this, we use the definition of the $p$-module of the domain, connected either to the $p$-capacity of the corresponding condenser, or to the corresponding modules of families of curves or surfaces (see [7,16]).
3. The inner $p$-harmonic radius. Let $G$ be a domain with the regular boundary in $\overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}, x_{0} \in G$. From the results of S . Kichenassamy and L. Veron [9] it follows that in the domain $G$ there exists a unique (generalized) solution $u=u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(G \backslash x_{0}\right), \alpha>0$ of the Dirichlet problem for equation (5), which equals zero on the boundary of the domain $G$, and such that the function

$$
h_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)-\mu_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \in L^{\infty}(G) .
$$

In addition, there is the limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} h_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}\left(\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)-\nabla \mu_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ will be called the $p$-harmonic Green's function of the domain $G$ with a pole at the point $x_{0}$, and the function $h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)$ will
be called the Roben $p$-function of the domain $G$. Note that when $p>n$ we have $h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=u_{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$. By definition [10], the inner $p$-harmonic radius of the domain $G$ at the point $x_{0}$ is the value of $R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)$ for which

$$
h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)= \begin{cases}-\mu_{p}\left(R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right), & x_{0} \neq \infty  \tag{15}\\ -\mu_{p}^{\infty}\left(R_{p}(\infty, G)\right), & x_{0}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Thus, $R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=\exp \left\{h_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right\}$ and for $p \neq n$

$$
R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)= \begin{cases}\left(-\gamma h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right)^{-1 / \gamma}, \quad x_{0} \neq \infty  \tag{16}\\ \left(\gamma h_{p}(\infty, G)\right)^{1 / \gamma}, & x_{0}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

The inner $p$-harmonic radius of an arbitrary domain $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}$ at the point $x_{0}$ is the number $R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=\sup R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G^{\prime}\right)$, where the supremum is taken over all domains $G^{\prime} \subset G$ with the smooth boundary.
Theorem 1. [10] For any domain $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}$ with regular boundary and any $x_{0} \in G$ we have $m_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)$.
Proof. Let $G \subset \mathbb{E}^{n}$ be a domain with regular boundary, $x_{0} \neq \infty$, and $\Omega_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)=\left\{x \in G: u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \geqslant a\right\}$. Let $\Omega_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$ be a closed bounded set. We show that $\Omega_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$ is star-shaped with respect to the point $x_{0}$ for sufficiently large $a$. It follows from (14), that for any direction $\vec{l}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{\partial l}-\frac{\partial \mu_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{\partial l}\right)=0 . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, passing to spherical coordinates and calculating the derivative along the radius $\overrightarrow{x-x_{0}}$ for $\rho=\left|x-x_{0}\right|$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \rho^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial \rho}=-1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that for small $\rho$ the function $u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ decreases monotonically with respect to $\rho$ and the level surface $S_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)=\partial \Omega_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$ is star-shaped with respect to the point $x_{0}$. We consider the condenser $G(a)=G \backslash \Omega_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$. The extremal function for the $p$-capacity of the condenser $G(a)$ has the form $v_{a}(x)=\frac{1}{a} u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$. By Lemma 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{p} G(a)=\frac{1}{a^{p-1}} \int_{S_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-1} d S . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying formula (3) to the domain bounded by the surface $S_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$ and sphere $S\left(x_{0}, t\right)$, where $t>0$ is sufficiently small, and setting $\psi=1$, and $\varphi=u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cap}_{p} G(a)=\frac{1}{a^{p-1}} \int_{S_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)}\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-1} d S=-\frac{1}{a^{p-1}} \int_{S\left(x_{0}, t\right)}\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial t} d S \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (14) that $\frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial t}=-t^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}}(1+o(t)), t \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Cap}_{p} G(a)-\frac{1}{a^{p-1}} \int_{S\left(x_{0}, t\right)} t^{1-n}(1+\alpha(t))^{p-2} & (1+o(t)) d S= \\
& =\frac{n \omega_{n}}{a^{p-1}}(1+\alpha(t))^{p-2}(1+o(t))
\end{aligned}
$$

and passing to the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$, we find that $\operatorname{Cap}_{p} G(a)=n \omega_{n} / a^{p-1}$ or $\bmod _{p} G(a)=a$. We consider now the condenser $G_{t}=G \backslash \overline{B^{n}\left(x_{0}, t\right)}$, where $t>0$ is sufficiently small, and the values $a_{1}<a_{2}$ are such that the level surface $S_{a_{1}}\left(u_{G}\right)$ contains the sphere $S^{n-1}\left(x_{0}, t\right)$ and touches it, and the level surface $S_{a_{2}}\left(u_{G}\right)$ lies inside this sphere and touches it from within. Such values $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ exist except for the trivial case when the domain $G$ is a ball with center at the point $x_{0}$.
Since the $p$-module of the condenser does not decrease as it expands, then $\bmod _{p} G\left(a_{2}\right) \geqslant \bmod _{p} G_{t} \geqslant \bmod _{p} G\left(a_{1}\right)$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} \leqslant \bmod _{p} G_{t} \leqslant a_{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13) that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$, such that

$$
\mu_{p}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)+h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)-\varepsilon<u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)<\mu_{p}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)+h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)+\varepsilon
$$

for any $x$, such that $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<\delta$. Choosing $t$ sufficiently small and using (21), we have

$$
h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)-\varepsilon<\bmod _{p} G_{t}-\mu_{p}(t)<h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)+\varepsilon,
$$

so $m_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)$. The proof of the theorem in the case of an arbitrary domain with a regular boundary, as well as the consideration of the case $x_{0}=\infty$ is obtained by modifying the above arguments.

Note that from the definition of the inner $p$-harmonic radius of an arbitrary domain $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}$ at the point $x_{0}$ and the relation (15), and also the well-known property of continuity of the $p$-capacity ( $p$-module) with respect to the monotonic convergence of sets (see, for example, [7]), it follows that $R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=\exp \left\{m_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right\}$ and for $p \neq n$

$$
R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)= \begin{cases}\left(\gamma m_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right)^{-1 / \gamma}, & x_{0} \neq \infty  \tag{22}\\ \left(-\gamma m_{p}(\infty, G)\right)^{1 / \gamma}, & x_{0}=\infty\end{cases}
$$

4. $p$-Harmonic Green's mappings. Let $G$ and $\widetilde{G}$ be homeomorphic to a ball domains regular boundaries in $\overline{\mathbb{E}^{n}}$. Let $u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ and $u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$ be $p$-harmonic Green's functions for these domains with poles at points $x_{0} \in G\left(x_{0} \neq \infty\right)$ and $y_{0} \in \widetilde{G}\left(y_{0} \neq \infty\right)$, respectively, $1<p \leqslant n$. Consider the mapping $f: G \rightarrow \widetilde{G}$ such that:

- $f\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$;
- the level set $S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$ is mapped onto the level set $S_{t}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right)$;
- the trajectory of the gradient field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ that enters the pole $x_{0}$ corresponds to the trajectory of the gradient field $\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$ that enters the pole $y_{0}$.
Such mappings are constructed by analogy with the Green's mappings ( $p=n=3$ ) considered in the monograph by A. I. Januszauskas [19], as a special case of harmonic mappings with respect to M. A. Lavrentyev. It follows from relation (17) that $p$-harmonic Green's functions of $G$ and $\widetilde{G}$ have the property that for any ray $l$ from the point $x_{0} \in G$ (respectively, $\left.y_{0} \in \widetilde{G}\right)$ there is the unique trajectory of the field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ (respectively, the unique trajectory of the field $\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$ ), entering $x_{0}$ (respectively, $y_{0}$ ) with the tangent $l$. Let $\sigma: S \rightarrow S$ be the rotation (linear mapping) of the unit sphere $S=S(0,1)$ under which a point $X \in S$ mapped to the point $\sigma(X)$. If $l$ is the ray from the center of $S$ passing through the point $X$, then $\sigma(l)$ denotes the ray from the center of $S$ passing through the point $\sigma(X)$.
$p$-Harmonic Green's mapping $f: G \rightarrow \widetilde{G}$ is defined in a sufficiently small neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ of the pole $x_{0}$, as follows. If $l$ is the tangent at the point $x_{0}$ of the trajectory of gradient field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ that enters the pole $x_{0}$ and passes through the point $x \in S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$, then $y=f(x) \in S_{t}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right)$ belongs to the trajectory of the gradient field $\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$, that enters the
pole $y_{0}$ with the tangent $\sigma(l)$. The constructed mapping is a homeomorphism of a sufficiently small neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ onto a sufficiently small neighborhood of $U\left(y_{0}\right)$. This homeomorphism can be extended outside these neighborhoods by means of the following construction, similar to that described in [19]. If the function $u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ has no critical values $\alpha: a \leqslant \alpha<\infty$ in the domain $G(a)=G \backslash \Omega_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$, or $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=0$ in some points on the level surface $S_{\alpha}\left(u_{G}\right)$, then the whole domain $G(a)$ is homeomorphic to the ball. The same is true for the domain $\widetilde{G}(a)=\widetilde{G} \backslash \Omega_{a}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right)$. Let $\alpha_{0}>\alpha_{1}>\ldots>\alpha_{k}>0$ be the critical values of the function $u_{G}$ in the domain $G$. There are a finite number of such values, provided that $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ on $\partial G$. Analogously, let $\beta_{0}>\beta_{1}>\ldots>\beta_{m}>0$ be the critical values of the function $u_{\widetilde{G}}$ in the domain $\widetilde{G}$. Let $\gamma=\max \left(\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}\right)$. Consider the field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ that enters the pole $x_{0}$ with the tangent $l$ and has the level surface $S_{a}\left(u_{G}\right)$, and the field $\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$ that enters the pole $y_{0}$ with the tangent $\sigma(l)$ and has the level surface $S_{a}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right)$. Consider a point $x \in G(\gamma)$ at the intersection of the trajectory of the field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ and associate it with the point $y \in \widetilde{G}(\gamma)$ at the intersection of the trajectory of the field $\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$. Thus, the extension of the mapping $f$ from the neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ to the homeomorphism of the domain $G(\gamma)$ to the domain $\widetilde{G}(\gamma)$ is defined. Further extended beyond $G(\gamma)$ along such trajectories, this mapping may have singularities, because different trajectories of the gradient field intersect at critical points. Such construction is possible only if both functions $u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ and $u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y, y_{0}\right)$ have singularities at the points $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$, or $u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y_{0}, y_{0}\right)=u_{G}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}\right)$.

Let $f_{t}$ be the trace of the mapping $f$ on the level surface $S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right), J_{f_{t}}(x)$ be the Jacobian of the trace $f_{t}$ and $J_{f}(x)$ be the Jacobian of $f$. The following theorem extends the properties established in [19] for $p=2$ and $n=3$ to the case of $p$-harmonic Green's mappings.

Theorem 2. The following relations hold:

1) $\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} \frac{\left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\Delta_{n}(\widetilde{G}, G), \quad p=n ; \\ 1, \quad p<n .\end{array}\right.$
2) $\left.\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|}\right|_{u_{G}=u_{\widetilde{G}}=t}=1$.
3) $\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}} J_{f}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\Delta_{n}^{n}(\widetilde{G}, G), \quad p=n ; \\ 1, \quad p<n .\end{array}\right.$
4) $J_{f}(x)=J_{f_{t}}(x) \times \frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|}, \quad x \in S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$.
5) $J_{f_{t}}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|}\right)^{n-1} \times \Delta_{n}^{n}(\widetilde{G}, G), p=n, \\ \left(\frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|}\right)^{p-1}, p<n,\end{array} \quad x \in S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)\right.$.

Here $\Delta_{n}(\widetilde{G}, G)=\exp \left[h_{n}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)-h_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right]$.
Proof. The following representations hold for the $p$-harmonic Green's functions of the domains $G$ and $\widetilde{G}$ in the neighborhood of the poles $x_{0}$ and $f\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ due to (13):

$$
u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)=\mu_{p}\left(x, x_{0}\right)+h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)
$$

and

$$
u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)=\mu_{p}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)+h_{p}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)+O\left(\left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|\right) .
$$

On the corresponding level surfaces $u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)=u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$; thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|= \\
= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|x-x_{0}\right| \exp \left[h_{p}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)-h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right], p=n, \\
\left|x-x_{0}\right|\left\{1-\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma}\left[h_{p}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)-h_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right]+o\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma}\right)\right\}, p<n .
\end{array}\right. \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies the first relation.
Due to (14), we have for all $1<p \leqslant n$ :

$$
\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|=\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}}\left(1+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right),
$$

and, respectively,

$$
\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|=\left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|^{\frac{1-n}{p-1}}\left(1+O\left(\left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|\right)\right) .
$$

Hence, taking (28) into account, we obtain (24).
Let us prove the equality (25). Let the ball $B^{n}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \subset G$ and $\widetilde{B}$ be its image under the mapping $f$. Let

$$
R_{t_{1}}=\max _{y \in \tilde{B}}\left|y-y_{0}\right|=\left|y_{t_{1}}-y_{0}\right|,
$$

where $y_{t_{1}} \in S_{t_{1}}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right)$, and

$$
R_{t_{2}}=\min _{y \in \widetilde{B}}\left|y-y_{0}\right|=\left|y_{t_{1}}-y_{0}\right|,
$$

where $y_{t_{2}} \in S_{t_{2}}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right), 0 \leqslant t_{1}<t_{2}<\infty$. We set $x_{t_{\nu}}=f^{-1}\left(y_{t_{\nu}}\right), \nu=1,2$. For the $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure $m_{n}(\widetilde{B})$ of the domain $\widetilde{B}$ we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{t_{2}}^{n}}{r^{n}} \leqslant \frac{m_{n}(D)}{\omega_{n} r^{n}} \leqslant \frac{R_{t_{1}}^{n}}{r^{n}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From relation (27) we easily find

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_{t_{2}}^{n}}{r^{n}}=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_{t_{1}}^{n}}{r^{n}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp n\left[h_{n}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)-h_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)\right], \quad p=n, \\
1, \quad p<n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $J_{f}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} m_{n}(D) / \omega_{n} r^{n}$; then (29) implies (25). By construction of the map $f, J_{f}(x)=J_{f_{t}}(x) K_{t}$, where $K_{t}$ is the coefficient of extension along the orthogonal trajectories of the mapping $f$ on the level surface $S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$. The increase rate of a function along orthogonal trajectories to level surfaces is proportional to the length of its gradient, then $K_{t}=\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right| \times\left[\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|\right]^{-1}$ for $x \in S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$, that is, equality (26) is satisfied. Moreover, by virtue of (24), $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} K_{t}=1$. We consider two level surfaces $S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$ and $S_{t_{1}}\left(u_{G}\right)$, where $0 \leqslant t<t_{1}<\infty$. Let point $X \in S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$ and $\theta(X) \in S_{t_{1}}\left(u_{G}\right)$ be its image lying on the trajectory of the field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, passing through $X$. If there are no critical points in the layer bounded by these surfaces, then the mapping $\theta: S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right) \rightarrow S_{t_{1}}\left(u_{G}\right)$ is a homeomorphism. Let $U(X) \subset S_{t}\left(u_{G}\right)$ be an open connected neighborhood of $X$, and $V(X) \subset S_{t_{1}}\left(u_{G}\right)$ be its image under the mapping $\theta$. Denote by $\Omega(X)$ the domain that represents the part of the flow tube of the vector field $\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, enclosed between $U(X)$ and $V(X)$. Applying formula (3) in the domain $\Omega(X)$ in the case when $\psi=1$ and $\varphi=u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{V(X)}\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial n} d S_{V}=\int_{U(X)}\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial n} d S_{U}= \\
&=\int_{V(X)}\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial n}\left[J_{\theta}(x)\right]^{-1} d S_{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J_{\theta}(x)$ is the Jacobian of the mapping $\theta$. Applying the mean-value theorem and contracting the neighborhood $U(X)$ to the point $X$, we find

$$
\left.\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial n}\right|_{u_{G}=t_{1}}=\left.\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_{G}}{\partial n}\right|_{u_{G}=t}\left[J_{\theta}(X)\right]^{-1}
$$

Hence,

$$
J_{\theta}(X)=\left.\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-1}\right|_{u_{G}=t} \times\left[\left.\left|\nabla u_{G}\right|^{p-1}\right|_{u_{G}=t_{1}}\right]^{-1} .
$$

Analogously, for the mapping $\widetilde{\theta}: S_{t_{1}}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right) \rightarrow S_{t_{2}}\left(u_{\widetilde{G}}\right)$ we obtain

$$
J_{\widetilde{\theta}}(X)=\left.\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\right|^{p-1}\right|_{u_{\widetilde{G}}=t} \times\left[\left.\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\right|^{p-1}\right|_{u_{\widetilde{G}}=t_{1}}\right]^{-1}
$$

As $f_{t}=\widetilde{\theta}^{-1} \circ f_{t_{1}} \circ \theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{f_{t}}(x)=J_{f_{t_{1}}}(x) \times\left.\frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1}}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1}}\right|_{u_{G}=u_{\widetilde{G}}=t} \times\left.\frac{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1}}{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|^{p-1}}\right|_{u_{G}=u_{\widetilde{G}}=t_{1}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to the limit in (30) for $t_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ and taking (24) and (25) into account, we obtain (27).

From relation (23) and our reasoning, we obtain
Corollary 1.

$$
R_{p}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right), \quad p=n,  \tag{31}\\
{\left[R_{p}^{-\gamma}\left(x_{0}, G\right)+\lambda_{f}^{p}\left(x_{0}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad p<n,}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda_{f}^{p}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow x_{0}}\left[\left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|^{-\gamma}-\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{-\gamma}\right]$.
Theorem 3. Assume that $\widetilde{G}$ is a ball of radius $R$ centered at $y_{0}$; for $p=n$ we have $\left|f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=1$ if and only if $R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=R$, and for $p<n$ we have $J_{f}(x)=1+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{n-1}{p-1}}\right)$ if and only if $R_{p}\left(x_{0}, G\right)=R$.
Proof. The first part of the statement follows immediately from (31). Further, since

$$
u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ln R-\ln \left|y-y_{0}\right|, \quad p=n  \tag{32}\\
-\frac{1}{\gamma} R^{-\gamma}+\frac{1}{\gamma}\left|y-y_{0}\right|, \quad p<n
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $y=f(x)$, we have

$$
\left|f(x)-y_{0}\right|=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{R}{R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)}\left|x-x_{0}\right|\left(1+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right), \quad p=n,  \tag{33}\\
\left|x-x_{0}\right|\left[1+C\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma}+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma+1}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad p<n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $C=R^{-\gamma}-R_{p}{ }^{-\gamma}\left(x_{0}, G\right)$. It follows from (32) and (14) that

$$
\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(y_{0}, \widetilde{G}\right)\right|=\left|y-y_{0}\right|^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}}
$$

and, respectively,

$$
\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|=\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}}\left(1+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right) .
$$

From this, using (33), we find:

$$
\frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)}{R}\left(1+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)\right), \quad p=n,  \tag{34}\\
1-\frac{R_{n}-1}{n-p} C\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma}+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma+1}\right), \quad p<n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since, by virtue of (26) and (27),

$$
J_{f}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|}\right)^{n} \times\left(\frac{R}{R_{n}\left(x_{0}, G\right)}\right)^{n}, \quad p=n ; \\
\left(\frac{\left|\nabla u_{G}\left(x, x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|\nabla u_{\widetilde{G}}\left(f(x), y_{0}\right)\right|}\right)^{p}, \quad p<n,
\end{array}\right.
$$

from (34) we deduce

$$
J_{f}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|, \quad p=n\right.  \tag{35}\\
1-p \frac{n-1}{n-p} C\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma}+O\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{\gamma+1}\right), \quad p<n
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which the assertion to be proved follows.
Remark. For $p=n$ the construction of $p$-harmonic Green's mappings described above and the assertion of Theorem 2 can be extended to the case where one or both poles $x_{0}$ or $y_{0}$ are equal to $\infty$.
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