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## A NOTE ON A TWO-PARAMETER FAMILY OF OPERATORS $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$ ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES

Abstract. In this article, we prove that the two-parameter family of operators $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$ is bounded on the weighted Bergman spaces $B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}$ if $\alpha+2<p$ and unbounded if $\alpha+2=p$.
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1. Introduction. Let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the unit disc in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}, \partial \mathbb{D}$ its boundary, $H(\mathbb{D})$ the set of all analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ and $d m(\cdot)=1 / \pi r d r d \theta$ the normalized Lebesgue area measure on $\mathbb{D}$. For $0<p<\infty$, the weighted Bergman space $B_{\alpha}^{p}$ for $-1<\alpha<\infty$ consists of functions $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{B_{\alpha}^{p}}^{p} & =(\alpha+1) \int_{\mathbb{D}}|f(z)|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d m(z)= \\
& =\frac{\alpha+1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}(r, f)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r d r<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{p}^{p}(r, f)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta$.
To define the adjoint of the generalized Cesáro operator, we need the Gaussian hypergeometric function. Let $(a, n)$ be the shifted factorial defined by Appel's symbol

$$
(a, n)=a(a+1) \ldots(a+n-1)=\frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}, n \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}
$$
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and $(a, 0)=1$ for $a \neq 0$. The Gaussain hypergeometric function is defined by the power series expansion

$$
F(a, b ; c ; z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{z^{n}}{n!} \quad(|z|<1)
$$

where $a, b, c$ are complex numbers, such that $c \neq-m, m=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, and we assume $c \neq-m, m=0,1,2,3, \ldots$, to avoid zero denominators. Clearly, $F(a, b, c, z)$ belongs to $H(\mathbb{D})$. Many properties of the hypergeometric functions are found in [1]. Asymptotic behavior of the zerobalanced (i. e., the $c=a+b$ case) is well-known. For the non-zero balanced case, improved formulation is obtained in [4,12], whereas the geometric properties of Gaussian hypergeometric functions are considered, for example, in $[9,10]$. The same problems for linear and convolution operator are dealt with in [11].

Let $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ with Reb $>0$, Rec $>0$. For a function $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$, $f(z) \in H(\mathbb{D})$, the two-parameter family of Cesáro averaging operators $\mathcal{P}^{b, c}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{P}^{b, c} f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{A_{n}^{b+1 ; c}} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} b_{n-k} a_{k}\right) z^{n},
$$

where

$$
A_{n}^{b ; c}=\frac{(b, n)}{(c, n)}
$$

and $b_{k}$ are given by $b_{0}=1$,

$$
b_{k}=\frac{1+b-c}{c} A_{k-1}^{b+1 ; c+1}=\frac{1+b-c}{b} A_{k}^{b ; c}
$$

for $k \geqslant 1$. The operators $\mathcal{P}^{b, c}$ were introduced in [2] and have been studied for boundedness on various function spaces, such as $H^{p}$, BMOA, $B^{a}[7,8]$, on mixed norm spaces [6], as well as on the Dirichlet space [7]. For $b=1+\alpha$ and $c=1$, we obtain the generalized Cesáro operators $\mathcal{P}^{1+\gamma, 1}=\mathcal{C}^{\gamma}$ introduced in [14]. It is known that operators $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma}$ are bounded on the Hardy space for $0<p<\infty$, BMOA, and Bloch space [17] and on the Dirichlet space [16].

For $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$, such that Re $b>0$, Rec $>0$, let $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$ be the adjoint operator of $\mathcal{P}^{b, c}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{b, c} f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k-n} a_{k}}{A_{k}^{b+1 ; c}}\right) z^{n}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $A_{k}^{b ; c}$ and $b_{k}$ are the same as defined for $\mathcal{P}^{b, c}$. These operators were formally introduced in [3] and studied for boundedness on the space of Cauchy transforms.

In the notation of Stempak [14], we find that

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1+\gamma, 1} f=\mathcal{A}^{\gamma} f
$$

In particular, for $\gamma=0$

$$
\mathcal{A}^{1,1} f=\mathcal{A} f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k+1} a_{k}\right) z^{n}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{\gamma}$ is the adjoint operator of the generalized Cesáro operator $\mathcal{C}^{\gamma}$ (see [17]). If $\gamma=0$, the $\mathcal{A}^{\gamma}$ is simply adjoint of the classical Cesáro operator $\mathcal{C}$ (see [13]). Now we recall a known result that gives an integral representation of the operator $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$.
Lemma 1. [3] Let $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} b>0, \operatorname{Re} c>1$ and function $\varphi_{t, s}(z)=1-t-s+s t+t z$. Then

$$
\mathcal{A}^{b, c} f(z)=M \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} s^{c-2}(1-t)^{b-1} f\left(\varphi_{t, s}(z)\right) * F\left(c, 1 ; 1 ; \varphi_{t, s}(z)\right) d s d t
$$

where $M=(1+b-c)(c-1)$.
Here $*$ denotes the Hadamard product (or convolution) of power series. That is, if $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ are two analytic functions in $|z|<R$, then convolution between $f$ and $g$ is denoted by $f * g$ and is defined by $(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}$. This series converges for $|z|<R^{2}$. Moreover,

$$
(f * g)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|w|=p} f(w) g(z / w) \frac{d w}{w}, \quad|z|<\rho R<R^{2} .
$$

2. Preliminary results. In this section, we recall few preliminary results, which are used to state and prove the main results of this article. The adjoint operator was considered in [13] for the case $(\gamma=0)$ and in [17]. In [13], Siskakis proved the following result.
Theorem 1. The operator $\mathcal{A}$ is bounded on the weighted Bergman space $B_{\alpha}^{p}$ if and only if $\alpha+2<p$.

Stević proved, in [15], a generalization of Theorem 1 for the operators $\mathcal{A}^{\gamma}$, when $\gamma \neq 0$ :
Theorem 2. The operator $\mathcal{A}^{\gamma}$ is bounded on the weighted Bergman space $B_{\alpha}^{p}$ if and only if $\alpha+2<p$.

The main aim of this article is to generalize Theorem 2 by finding conditions on the parameters $b$ and $c$ for which the operators $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$ are bounded on the weighted Bergman spaces.

We will use the following lemma in the sequel.
Lemma 2. [5, p. 65] For each $1<\alpha<\infty$ there is a positive constant $C=C(\alpha)$, such that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|^{-\alpha} d \theta \leqslant C(1-r)^{-(\alpha-1)}
$$

if $0 \leqslant r<1$.
Henceforth, $C, K$, and $C_{1}$ denote positive constants, whose values are different at different occurrences.
3. Main Results. In this section, we consider the so-called convolution operator and prove its boundedness on the weighted Bergman space $B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}$ for $c \geqslant 1$. Also, we state and prove the main result of this paper.

From now onwards, we denote $F(z)=F(1, c ; 1 ; z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
Lemma 3. If $p \in[1, \infty), \alpha>-1, c \geqslant 1, f \in B_{\alpha}^{p}$, then $f * F \in B_{\alpha+(c-1) p}^{p}$. Proof. Let $f \in B_{\alpha}^{p}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha+1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}(f, r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r d r<\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of convolution and the fact that $F(1, c ; 1 ; z)=(1-z)^{-c}$, for $0<r<\rho<1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{p}^{p}(f * F, r) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|(f * F)\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F\left(\rho e^{i t}\right) f\left(\frac{r}{\rho} e^{i(\theta-t)}\right) d t\right|^{p} d \theta=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(1-\rho e^{i t}\right)^{-c} f\left(\frac{r}{\rho} e^{i(\theta-t)}\right) d t\right|^{p} d \theta
$$

Applying Minkowski's integral inequality and Lemma 2 above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{p}(f * F, r) & \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|\left(1-\rho e^{i t}\right)^{-c} f\left(\frac{r}{\rho} e^{i(\theta-t)}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-\rho e^{i t}\right|^{-c p}\left|f\left(\frac{r}{\rho} e^{i(\theta-t)}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d t= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-\rho e^{i t}\right|^{-c} d t\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(\frac{r}{\rho} e^{i(\theta-t)}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} C(1-\rho)^{-c+1} M_{p}\left(f, \frac{r}{\rho}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above inequality, we find

$$
\int_{0}^{1}(1-\rho)^{(c-1) p} M_{p}^{p}(f * F, r)(1-r)^{\alpha} d r \leqslant K \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}\left(f, \frac{r}{\rho}\right)(1-r)^{\alpha} d r,
$$

where $K=C / 2 \pi$.
Now, taking $r=\rho^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}\left(f * F, \rho^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{\alpha+(c-1) p} d \rho^{2} & \leqslant \\
& \leqslant K(1+\rho)^{(c-1) p} \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}(f, \rho)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d \rho^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple calculation shows:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}\left(f * F, \rho^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{4}\right)^{\alpha+(c-1) q} \rho^{2} d \rho^{2} \leqslant K \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}(f, \rho)\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \rho^{2} d \rho^{2} .
$$

The last inequality and (2) give

$$
\int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}\left(f * F, \rho^{2}\right)\left(1-\rho^{4}\right)^{\alpha+(c-1) q} \rho^{2} d \rho^{2}<\infty
$$

This completes the proof.
Now we give an estimate on the norm of the convolution operator $I_{\phi}(f)$ on $B_{\alpha}^{p}$.
Theorem 3. Let $p \in(0, \infty), \alpha>-1, c \geqslant 1, \phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a nonconstant analytic function. Then the operator $I_{\phi}(f)=(f * F)(\phi)$, where $F=F(1, c ; 1, z)$ on $B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}(\mathbb{D})$, satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\left\|I_{\phi}(f)\right\|_{B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}} \leqslant C\left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty}+|\phi(0)|}{\|\phi\|_{\infty}-|\phi(0)|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}\|f\|_{B_{\alpha}^{p}}
$$

$C \equiv\left(\frac{D}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\right)$ if $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $C=\left(\frac{D}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\right)\left(\|\phi\|_{\infty}+|\phi(0)|\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}\left(\|\phi\|_{\infty}+3|\phi(0)|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}}$.
Proof. We will use the method of Siskakis [13]. Let $a=|\phi(0)|$ and $b=\|\phi\|_{\infty}$ and fix $0<r<1$. By the well known consequence of the Schwarz-pick lemma on the map $\phi_{1}=b^{-1} \phi$, we have $|\phi(z)| \leqslant \frac{\left(b a+b^{2} r\right)}{(b+a r)}$, for $|z| \leqslant r$. Since $a \leqslant b$, we have $\frac{(a+b r)}{(b+a r)} \leqslant \frac{((b-a) r+2 a)}{(b+a)}$ for all $0<r<1$, so $|\phi(z)| \leqslant b R \leqslant R$, where $R=R(r)=\frac{((b-a) r+2 a)}{(b+a)}$ for all $0<r<1$. If $f * F \in B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}(\mathbb{D})$, let $|(u * F)(z)|$ be the harmonic extension $\left|(f * F)\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p}$ on $|z| \leqslant b R ;|(u * F)(z)|$ is continuous on $|z| \leqslant b R$ and majorizes $|(f * F)(z)|^{p}$ there, so

$$
|(f * F)(\phi(z))|^{p} \leqslant|(u * F)(\phi(z))| \text { for }|z| \leqslant r .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{p}^{p}((f * F) \phi, r)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|(f * F)\left(\phi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \leqslant \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|(u * F)\left(\phi\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right)\right| d \theta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $0<\rho<1$,

$$
(u * F)(\rho \phi(0))=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) u\left(\phi(0) e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
|(u * F)(\rho \phi(0))| & =\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} F\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) u\left(\phi(0) e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta\right| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|F\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right|\left|u\left(\phi(0) e^{-i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta= \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-\rho e^{i \theta}\right|^{-c} u\left(\phi(0) e^{-i \theta}\right) d \theta \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by Harnack's inequality and the Mean Value Theorem, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(\phi(0) e^{-i \theta}\right) \leqslant \frac{b R+a}{b R-a} u(0)=\frac{b R+a}{b R-a} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(b R e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3), (4), and (5) and using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{p}^{p}((f * F) \phi, r) & \leqslant \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{b R+a}{b R-a}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-\rho e^{i \theta}\right|^{-c}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(d R e^{i t}\right)\right|^{p} d t\right] d \theta \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{D(1-\rho)^{-c+1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{b R+a}{b R-a}\right) \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(b R e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} d \theta= \\
& =\frac{D(1-\rho)^{-c+1}}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{b R+a}{b R-a}\right) M_{p}^{p}(f, R) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Now multiply both sides of (6) by $\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r$ and integrate with respect to $r$ from 0 to 1 to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}((f * F) \phi, r)(1-\rho)^{c-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r d r \leqslant \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{D}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{b R+a}{b R-a}\right) M_{p}^{p}(f, R)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\rho=r^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}((f * F) \phi, r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha+c-1} r d r & \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{D}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{b R+a}{b R-a}\right) M_{p}^{p}(f, R)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} r d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding as in [13], we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} M_{p}^{p}((f * F) \phi, r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha+c-1} r d r \leqslant \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{D}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{b+a}{b+3 a}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b+a}{b-a}\right)^{\alpha+2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-u^{2}\right)^{\alpha} M_{p}^{p}(f, u) u d u
\end{aligned}
$$

for $-1<\alpha<0$.

$$
\left\|I_{\phi}(f)\right\|_{A_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}}^{p} \leqslant \frac{D}{(2 \pi)^{2}}\left(\frac{b+a}{b+3 a}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{b+a}{b-a}\right)^{\alpha+2}\|f\|_{A_{\alpha}^{p}}^{p}
$$

Hence, the conclusion follows.
The following results, regarding the boundedness of the composition operator on the Weighted Bergman space, was proved in [13], which is a particular case of $c=1$ of our result, as given in Theorem 3.
Corollary. Let $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a non-constant analytic function. Then the operator $T_{\phi}(f)=$ fob on $B_{\alpha}^{p}$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\left\|T_{\phi}\right\| \leqslant C\left(\frac{\|\phi\|_{\infty}+|\phi(0)|}{\|\phi\|_{\infty}-|\phi(0)|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}
$$

where $C=1$ if $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $C=\left(\|\phi\|_{\infty}+|\phi(0)|\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{p}}\left(\|\phi\|_{\infty}+3|\phi(0)|\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{p}}$, $-1<\alpha<0$.

Now we state and prove the main result of this article.
Theorem 4. Let $b, c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(b)>0$ and $c \geqslant 1$. Then the operator $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$ is bounded on the weighted Bergman space $B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}$ if $\alpha+2<p$ and unbounded for $\alpha+2=p$.

Proof. Case (i) Let $\alpha+2<p$.
Here $p>1$, because $\alpha>-1$. Applying Minkowski's inequality twice and taking $\phi=\phi_{t, s}$ in Theorem 3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{A}^{b, c}\left(f_{m}\right)\right\|_{B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}}= \\
& =K\left[\int\left|\int_{U}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left((f * F)\left(\phi_{t, s}(z)\right)\right)(1-t)^{b-1} s^{c-2} d s d t\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+c-1} d m(z)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leqslant \\
& \leqslant K \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{U}\left|\int_{0}^{1}(f * F)\left(\phi_{t, s}(z)\right)(1-t)^{b-1} d t\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+c-1} d m(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} s^{c-2} d s \leqslant \\
& \leqslant K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{U}^{1}\left|(f * F)\left(\phi_{t, s}(z)\right)\right|^{p}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha+c-1} d m(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}(1-t)^{b-1} d t s^{c-2} d s= \\
& =K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left\|I_{\phi}(f)\right\|_{B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}}(1-t)^{b-1} d t s^{c-2} d s \leqslant \\
& \leqslant K C_{1}\|f\|_{B_{\alpha}^{p}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{2-2 s-t+t s}{t}\right)^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}(1-t)^{b-1} d t s^{c-2} d s \leqslant \\
& \leqslant K C_{1}\|f\|_{B_{\alpha}^{p}} 2^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}} \frac{1}{c-1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{\alpha+2}{p}}}(1-t)^{b-1} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $K=(1+b-c)(c-1)(\alpha+1)$. The above integral is convergent for $\frac{\alpha+2}{p}<1$. This completes the proof.
Case (ii) Let $\alpha+2>p$.
Suppose $f_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$. Using Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{U} \frac{1}{|1-z|^{p}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha} d m(z) & =\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\alpha} \int_{-p i}^{\pi}\left|1-r e^{i \theta}\right|^{-p} d \theta r d r \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \frac{2^{\alpha} C}{\pi} \int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{\alpha+1-p} d r
\end{aligned}
$$

which is finite for $\alpha+2>p$. Hence, $f_{1} \in A_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}$.
(a) For $c>1$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{b, c}\left(f_{1}(z)\right) & =K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(f_{1} * F\right)(1-t-s+t s+t z)(1-t)^{b-1} s^{c-2} d s d t= \\
& =K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} F(1, c ; 1 ; 1-t-s+t s+t z)(1-t)^{b-1} s^{c-2} d s d t= \\
& =K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-t)^{b-1} s^{c-2}}{(t+s-t s-t z)^{c}} d s d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K=(1+b-c)(c-1)$. Now we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{b, c}\left(f_{1}(0)\right) & =K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-t)^{b-1} s^{c-2}}{(t+s-t s)^{c}} d s d t= \\
& =K \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{b-1} t^{-c} s^{c-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{n}}{n!} s^{n} \frac{(t-1)^{n}}{t^{n}} d s d t= \\
& =K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{n}}{n!}(-1)^{n} \int_{0}^{1}(1-t)^{n+b-1} t^{-(n+c)} d t \int_{0}^{1} s^{n+c-2} d s= \\
& =K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{n}}{n!}(-1)^{n} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-t)^{n+b-1}}{t^{(n+c)}} d t \int_{0}^{1} s^{n+c-2} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $n+c>1$, the first integral diverges. Hence, $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}\left(f_{1}(z)\right)$ is unbounded.
(b) For $c=1$, from (1) we have

$$
\mathcal{A}^{b, 1}(f(z))=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k-n} a_{k}}{A_{k}^{b+1 ; 1}}\right) z^{n}
$$

For $f_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$, we find

$$
\mathcal{A}^{b, 1}\left(f_{1}(z)\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k-n}}{A_{k}^{b+1 ; 1}}\right) z^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{A_{k}^{b+1 ; 1}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k-n}}{A_{k}^{b+1 ; 1}}\right) z^{n} .
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\mathcal{A}^{b, 1}\left(f_{1}(0)\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{A_{k}^{b+1 ; 1}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1+b-1) A_{k}^{b ; 1}}{b A_{k}^{b+1 ; 1}}=b \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b+k-1}{b+k},
$$

which is divergent.
Remark. It is still an open question, whether the operator $\mathcal{A}^{b, c}$ is bounded on the weighted Bergman space $B_{\alpha+c-1}^{p}$ for $\alpha+2>p$.
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