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## A SOLUTION TO FOURTH QI'S CONJECTURE ON A COMPLETE MONOTONICITY

Abstract. In the paper, a complete monotonicity for some function is proved. This problem was posted by F. Qi and R.P. Agarwal as the fourth open problem of the collection of eight unsolved problems.
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1. Introduction. First, recall some useful definitions and theorems. The function $\psi(x)=d \ln \Gamma(x) / d x$ is called the digamma function, where $\Gamma(x)$ is the classical Euler's Gamma function [4]. For additional information on this function, please refer to [4] and the closely related references therein.

A function $f$ is said to be completely monotonic on the interval $I$, if $f(x)$ has derivatives of all orders on $I$ and the inequality $(-1)^{n} f^{(n)}(x) \geqslant 0$ holds for $x \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. A characterization of a completely monotonic function is given by the Bernstein-Widder theorem [5], [6]. It reads: a function $f(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ is completely monotonic if and only if there exists a bounded and non-decreasing function $\alpha(t)$, such that the integral

$$
f(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x t} d \alpha(t)
$$

converges for $x \in(0, \infty)$.
Let $f(x)$ be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ and $f(\infty)=\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) \geqslant 0$. Recall from the papers [2], [4] the following definition. Assume that the function $x^{r}(f(x)-f(\infty))$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for some © Petrozavodsk State University, 2020
$r \in R$, while the function $x^{r+\varepsilon}(f(x)-f(\infty)$ ) is not (for any positive number $\varepsilon$ ); then the number $r$ is called the completely monotonic degree of $f(x)$ with respect to $x \in(0, \infty)$. The notation $\operatorname{deg}_{c m}^{x}[f(x)]$ denotes the completely monotonic degree $r$ of $f(x)$ with respect to $x \in(0, \infty)$ [4].

In the paper [4], F. Qi and R. P. Agarwal posed eight open problems on complete monotonicity. The seventh open problem was solved by Matejíčka in [3]. The fourth open problem says:

Open problem 1 Motivated by the results in [1], we guess that the difference between the right-hand and the left-hand sides of (2.5) (see [4, p. 6]) is a completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$.

Note that the left-hand side function $h(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(x)= & \left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}+\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)>h(x)=\frac{1}{2 x^{4}}-\frac{1}{x^{3}}+\frac{34}{15 x^{2}}-\frac{14}{3 x}+\frac{14}{3(x+1)}+ \\
+ & \frac{12}{5(x+1)^{2}}+\frac{17}{15(x+1)^{3}}+\frac{9}{20(x+1)^{4}}+\frac{1}{10(x+1)^{5}}- \\
& -\frac{7}{180(x+1)^{6}}-\frac{1}{30(x+1)^{7}}-\frac{1}{90(x+1)^{8}}+\frac{1}{900(x+1)^{10}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x>0$, and the right-hand side function $g(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta(x)=\left(\psi^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} & +\psi^{\prime \prime}(x)<g(x)=\frac{1}{x^{4}}-\frac{1}{x^{3}}+\frac{7}{3 x^{2}}-\frac{5}{x+1}+\frac{8}{3(x+1)^{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{4}{3(x+1)^{3}}+\frac{7}{12(x+1)^{4}}+\frac{1}{6(x+1)^{5}}+\frac{1}{36(x+1)^{6}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x>0$.
In this paper, we often use the well-known Laplace-transform formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{m!}{x^{m+1}} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m} e^{-x t} d t \text { for } x>0, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \\
\frac{m!}{(x+1)^{m+1}} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{m} e^{-t} e^{-x t} d t \text { for } x>0, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The goal of the paper is to find a solution of the fourth Open problem 1.

## 2. Main Results.

Theorem 1. Let $f(x)=g(x)-h(x)$ for $x>0$, where $h(x)$ and $g(x)$ are defined by (1) and (2), respectively. Then $f(x)$ is a strictly completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Straightforward computation yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x)= \frac{1}{2 x^{4}}+\frac{1}{15 x^{2}}-\frac{1}{3 x}+\frac{1}{(x+1)} \\
&+\frac{4}{15(x+1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{5(x+1)^{3}}+ \\
&+\frac{2}{15(x+1)^{4}}+\frac{1}{15(x+1)^{5}}+ \frac{1}{15(x+1)^{6}}+\frac{1}{30(x+1)^{7}}+  \tag{3}\\
&+\frac{1}{90(x+1)^{8}}-\frac{1}{900(x+1)^{10}}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x>0$.
Using the well-known formulas

$$
\frac{1}{x^{1+n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} e^{-x t} d t, \quad \frac{1}{(x+1)^{1+n}}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} e^{-(1+x) t} d t
$$

in (3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t}{15}-\frac{1}{3}+e^{-t}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{15} t+\frac{3}{10} t^{2}+\frac{2}{15 \cdot 3!} t^{3}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{15 \cdot 4!} t^{4}+\frac{1}{15 \cdot 5!} t^{5}+\frac{1}{30 \cdot 6!} t^{6}+\frac{1}{90 \cdot 7!} t^{7}-\frac{1}{900 \cdot 9!} t^{9}\right)\right] e^{-x t} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof will be done if we show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(t)=e^{t}\left(\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t}{15}-\right. & \left.\frac{1}{3}\right)+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{15} t+\frac{3}{10} t^{2}+\frac{2}{15 \cdot 3!} t^{3}+\frac{1}{15 \cdot 4!} t^{4}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{15 \cdot 5!} t^{5}+\frac{1}{30 \cdot 6!} t^{6}+\frac{1}{90 \cdot 7!} t^{7}-\frac{1}{900 \cdot 9!} t^{9}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t>0$. There are two cases:
а) $0<t \leqslant 2$,

乃) $2<t$.

Consider the case $\alpha$ ). It is easy to see that

$$
\frac{1}{90 \cdot 7!} t^{7}-\frac{1}{900 \cdot 9!} t^{9} \geqslant \frac{1}{90 \cdot 7!} t^{7}\left(1-\frac{4}{720}\right)>0
$$

for $0<t \leqslant 2$. The proof of the case $\alpha$ ) will be done if we prove

$$
r(t)=e^{t}\left(\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t}{15}-\frac{1}{3}\right)+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{15} t+\frac{3}{10} t^{2}>0
$$

for $0<t \leqslant 2$.
Straightforward computation gives:

$$
\begin{gathered}
r^{\prime}(t)=e^{t}\left(\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t^{2}}{4}+\frac{t}{15}-\frac{4}{15}\right)+\frac{4}{15}+\frac{3}{5} t \\
r^{\prime \prime}(t)=e^{t}\left(\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{17 t}{30}-\frac{1}{5}\right)+\frac{3}{5}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
r^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=e^{t}\left(\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{3 t^{2}}{4}+\frac{47 t}{30}+\frac{11}{30}\right) .
$$

From $r^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0, r^{\prime \prime}(0)=2 / 5>0, r^{\prime}(0)=0$ we obtain $r(t)>0$ for $0<t \leqslant 2$.

Now consider the case $\beta$ ). For $t>2$, it is easy to see that

$$
\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t}{15}-\frac{1}{3}>\frac{1}{2}>0
$$

Using the elementary inequality, get

$$
e^{t}>1+t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{6}+\frac{t^{4}}{4!}+\frac{t^{5}}{5!}+\frac{t^{6}}{6!},
$$

The proof of the case $\beta$ ) will be done if we prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(t)= & \left(1+t+\frac{t^{2}}{2}+\frac{t^{3}}{6}+\frac{t^{4}}{4!}+\frac{t^{5}}{5!}+\frac{t^{6}}{6!}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t}{15}-\frac{1}{3}\right)+ \\
+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{4}{15} t+\frac{3}{10} t^{2}+\frac{2}{15 \cdot 3!} t^{3}+\frac{1}{15 \cdot 4!} t^{4} & +\frac{1}{15 \cdot 5!} t^{5}+\frac{1}{30 \cdot 6!} t^{6}+ \\
& +\frac{1}{90 \cdot 7!} t^{7}-\frac{1}{900 \cdot 9!} t^{9}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t>2$.

By direct computation, we obtain that
$q(t)=\frac{37799 \cdot t^{9}}{326592000}+\frac{t^{8}}{1440}+\frac{809 \cdot t^{7}}{226800}+\frac{101 \cdot t^{6}}{7200}+\frac{19 \cdot t^{5}}{450}+\frac{t^{4}}{12}+\frac{t^{3}}{12}+\frac{t^{2}}{5}>0 ;$ this completes the proof. $\square$
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