

UDC 517.538.5

M. A. KOMAROV

RATIONAL APPROXIMATIONS OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS FROM THE HARDY CLASS ON THE LINE

Abstract. We study a rate of uniform approximations on the real line of summable Lipschitz functions f having a summable Hilbert transform Hf by normalized logarithmic derivatives of rational functions. Inequalities between different metrics of the logarithmic derivatives of algebraic polynomials on the line are also considered.

Key words: *logarithmic derivative of a rational function, simple partial fraction, Hilbert transform, uniform approximation, inequality between different metrics*

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: 41A20, 41A25

1. Main result. Denote the Hilbert transform of a function f by Hf ,

$$Hf(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +0} \int_{|t-x|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(t)}{t-x} dt, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty.$$

In this paper, by using the results of [10] and certain properties of the Hilbert transform, we obtain upper bounds for uniform approximations on \mathbb{R} of a sufficiently wide subclass of real-valued functions f by rational fractions R_n , $n = 2, 3, \dots$, of the special form

$$R_n(x) = \frac{C}{n} \left(\frac{P'(x)}{P(x)} - \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right), \quad C = C(f) > 0, \quad (1)$$

where P, Q are real polynomials of degree $n - 1$. Here the expression in brackets is a difference of the so-called *simple partial fractions*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{x - z_k}. \quad (2)$$

Setting $r_{n-1} = P/Q$, we represent the fraction (1) in the form of the normalized logarithmic derivative of a rational function of degree $n - 1$:

$$R_n(x) = \frac{C}{n} \cdot \frac{r'_{n-1}(x)}{r_{n-1}(x)}, \quad \deg R_n = 2n - 2.$$

Further we denote $\|\cdot\|_p := \|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) and $L := L^1(\mathbb{R})$. We write $f \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{A; E\}$, if a function f is defined on a set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and there are constants $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and $A > 0$, such that

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq A|x_1 - x_2|^\alpha$$

for any two points $x_1, x_2 \in E$. By $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ we denote the class of continuous on \mathbb{R} functions having the zero limit as $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

Theorem 1. *Let $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Let a real-valued function f belong to $C_0(\mathbb{R})$ and let the following conditions hold:*

- 1) $f \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{A; \mathbb{R}\}$ with some $\alpha \in (0,1)$, $A > 0$,
- 2) $f \in L$, $Hf \in L$.

Then there are real polynomials Q_1, Q_2 of degree $n - 1$, such that

$$\left| f(x) - \frac{\|Hf\|_1}{4\pi n} \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} \right| < \frac{c(f)}{n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

where $r(x) = Q_1(x)/Q_2(x)$; $c(f) > 0$ is a constant depending only on f .

Theorem 1 is proved in Section 3; we show that the constant

$$c(f) = 4A(\alpha\pi)^{-1}B\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right) + 2\alpha^{-1}\|Hf\|_1$$

is suitable (here $B(x,y)$ is Euler's beta-function). Our proof uses the well-known implication: $f \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{A; \mathbb{R}\}$ ($\alpha \in (0,1)$) $\Rightarrow Hf \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{\tilde{A}; \mathbb{R}\}$. Here the restriction $\alpha < 1$ is essential; nevertheless, if the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold for $\alpha = 1$ and, additionally, $Hf \in \text{Lip}_1\{\tilde{A}; \mathbb{R}\}$, then the estimate given in Theorem 1 holds with the bound

$$c(f)/\sqrt{n}, \quad c(f) := 4\tilde{A} + 2\|Hf\|_1.$$

For a small α , the order of approximation $O(n^{-\alpha/(1+\alpha)}) \approx O(n^{-\alpha})$, established by the theorem, cannot be essentially improved in the following sense: if approximations of a function f by the class of all rational functions of degree $2n - 2$ have order $O(n^{-\alpha_0 - \varepsilon})$ with $\alpha_0 \in (\alpha, 1)$ (while $\varepsilon > 0$ is

arbitrarily small) for all $n = 2, 3, \dots$, then, by the Gonchar converse theorem [6], f satisfies the Lipschitz condition of degree α_0 almost everywhere on \mathbb{R} (in contrast to the condition $f \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{A; \mathbb{R}\}$, where $\alpha < \alpha_0$).

Borodin and Kosukhin [2] have proved that any function $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ can be approximated uniformly on \mathbb{R} by sums of the form (2) with poles z_k outside any given strip $|\text{Im } z| < \text{const}$. In our construction, all poles of the approximating functions R_n (i.e., the zeros of the polynomials Q_1, Q_2) lie on the two lines $\text{Im } z = \pm n^{-1/(1+\alpha)}$, so that $|\text{Im } z_k| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Some estimates of uniform approximations on \mathbb{R} of certain functions f by differences of simple partial fractions were obtained in [9]. For example, an order of such approximations is $O(n^{-1})$ if a function f has the form

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{(1+x^2)^2} F\left(\frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}\right), \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

with some function $F(t) \in \text{Lip}_1\{A; [-1, 1]\}$. Concerning the uniform approximation rate by simple partial fractions themselves (not by their differences) on the whole real axis recall the result by Danchenko [4]: for any function f of the form

$$f(x) = f_a(x) = -\frac{1}{x-a}, \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R},$$

and sufficiently large $n \geq n_0(a)$ there is a complex polynomial P of degree n , such that

$$|f_a(x) - P'(x)/P(x)| < C \cdot \ln \ln n / \ln n, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on a (the order of approximation cannot be improved). At the end of Section 3, we discuss the rate of the uniform approximation by *normalized* simple partial fractions.

2. Some remarks on the assumptions of Theorem 1. The class of functions f , such that $f \in L$ and $Hf \in L$, is called [12, p. 165] the *Hardy class* $H_1(-\infty, \infty)$. Thus, the second condition of Theorem 1 can be written as follows: $f \in H_1(-\infty, \infty)$. For example, the class $H_1(-\infty, \infty)$ contains the derivative R' of any bounded on \mathbb{R} rational function R , because of the Rusak inequality

$$\|R'\|_1 + \|H(R')\|_1 \leq 4\pi n \|R\|_\infty, \quad n = \deg R$$

(see [12, p. 165]). Further nontrivial examples of functions $f \in H_1(-\infty, \infty)$ can be found in the paper by Kober [8].

Protasov [13] described the class $V_p = V_p(\mathbb{R})$ of functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, that can be approximated in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ by sums of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{p_k}{x - z_k}, \quad p_k \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

In particular, [13, Corollary 1], if a function f belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and is real-valued, then $f \in V_p$ if and only if $Hf(x) \geq 0$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let us show that a nonzero function f , satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, cannot be approximated by sums (3) in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 1. *Let a real-valued function f belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then $f \in V_p \cap H_1(-\infty, \infty)$ if and only if $f(x) = 0$ a.e.*

Proof. The sufficient condition is obvious. To prove the necessary condition, we first recall the result of Kober [8, Theorem 1]:

$$f \in L, \quad Hf \in L \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 0. \quad (4)$$

On the other hand (Hille and Tamarkin, see [8, Lemma 2]), we have¹

$$f \in L, \quad Hf \in L \quad \Rightarrow \quad HHf = -f \quad \text{a.e.} \quad (5)$$

Thus, if $f \in H_1(-\infty, \infty)$, then $\tilde{f} := Hf \in L$ and, by (5), $H\tilde{f} = -f \in L$; by applying (4) to the function \tilde{f} , we get

$$f \in H_1(-\infty, \infty) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Hf(x) dx = 0. \quad (6)$$

But if $f \in V_p$, then $Hf(x) \geq 0$ a.e. [13]. Hence, for any function $f \in V_p \cap H_1(-\infty, \infty)$ we have $Hf(x) = 0$ a.e. Therefore, $f(x) = 0$ a.e. by $f = -HHf$, see (5). \square

Let us formulate another simple observation concerning the class V_p .

Proposition 2. *Let an even real-valued function f belong to $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then $f \in V_p$ if and only if $f(x) = 0$ a.e.*

¹Of course, we also have $HHf = -f$ (a.e.) due to $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p > 1$ [7, p. 148].

Proof. Indeed, the Hilbert transform Hf of an even function f is odd (see [7, p. 146]). But if an odd function $Hf(x)$ is non-negative (a.e.), then $Hf(x) = 0$ (a.e.). Finally, we use, again, the formula $f = -HHf$, which is correct due to $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$. \square

The results of Danchenko [4] yield that the functions $f_a(x)$ (see Section 1) cannot be approximated by simple partial fractions in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with finite p . In particular, this remark is also true for the real-valued function

$$g(x) := -\frac{2x}{x^2 + 1} \equiv -\frac{1}{x + i} - \frac{1}{x - i}.$$

At the end of Section 4, we establish that the normalized logarithmic derivatives $Q'(x)/(nQ(x))$ of real polynomials $Q(x)$ rapidly converge to $g(x)$ on the line in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ with any $1 < p \leq \infty$. Note that $g \in V_p$ for all $1 < p < \infty$ by the theorem of Protasov, because

$$Hg(x) = \frac{i}{x + i} + \frac{-i}{x - i} = \frac{2}{x^2 + 1} \geq 0$$

(see [7, p. 104] for explicit values of $H((x + i\alpha)^{-1})$ with nonzero α).

Although the class V_p is narrow, Protasov has showed [13, Remark 1] that any function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, can be approximated in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ by differences of sums of the form (3). Obviously, the normalized logarithmic derivatives of rational functions, see (1), belong to the space of such differences.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Put $\tilde{f} = Hf$. Since $f \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{A; \mathbb{R}\}$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, it follows by the theorem of Aleksandrov [1] that

$$\tilde{f} \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{\tilde{A}; \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \tilde{A} = A\pi^{-1}B\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}\right).$$

Let us write the real-valued function \tilde{f} in the form $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}_1 - \tilde{f}_2$,

$$\tilde{f}_1(x) := \max\{\tilde{f}(x); 0\} \geq 0, \quad \tilde{f}_2(x) := \max\{-\tilde{f}(x); 0\} \geq 0.$$

Both functions \tilde{f}_k also belong to the class $\text{Lip}_\alpha\{\tilde{A}; \mathbb{R}\}$: for example, the identity $\tilde{f}_1(x) = (\tilde{f}(x) + |\tilde{f}(x)|)/2$ and the triangle inequality yield

$$|\tilde{f}_1(x_1) - \tilde{f}_1(x_2)| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\tilde{f}(x_1) - \tilde{f}(x_2)| + \frac{1}{2}||\tilde{f}(x_1)| - |\tilde{f}(x_2)|| \leq |\tilde{f}(x_1) - \tilde{f}(x_2)|.$$

By the assumptions of the theorem, $\tilde{f} \in L$. Hence, $\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2 \in L$ and

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_1(x) dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_2(x) dx = \|\tilde{f}_1\|_1 + \|\tilde{f}_2\|_1.$$

From this, we get $\|\tilde{f}_1\|_1 = \|\tilde{f}_2\|_1 = \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{f}\|_1$ using the formula (6).

Further, we can assume $\|\tilde{f}\|_1 > 0$. Both functions

$$F_k(x) := \tilde{f}_k(x)/\|\tilde{f}_k\|_1 = 2\tilde{f}_k(x)/\|\tilde{f}\|_1, \quad k = 1; 2,$$

are non-negative and

$$\|F_k\|_1 = 1, \quad F_k \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{A^*; \mathbb{R}\} \quad (A^* := 2\tilde{A}/\|\tilde{f}\|_1).$$

By [10, Theorem 3], there are real polynomials Q_1, Q_2 of degree $n - 1$, such that

$$\left| HF_k(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi n} \frac{Q'_k(x)}{Q_k(x)} \right| < \frac{2A^* + 2}{\alpha n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad k = 1; 2.$$

Namely (see [10, Lemma 2]), we can take

$$Q_k(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} ((x_{k,j} - x)^2 + n^{-2/(1+\alpha)}), \quad k = 1; 2,$$

where the points $x_{k,0} = -\infty < x_{k,1} < \dots < x_{k,n-1} < x_{k,n} = \infty$ are defined by

$$\int_{x_{k,j}}^{x_{k,j+1}} F_k(x) dx = \frac{1}{n}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n - 1.$$

Hence,

$$\left| HF_1(x) - HF_2(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi n} \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} \right| < \frac{4A^* + 4}{\alpha n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty,$$

where $r(x) := Q_1(x)/Q_2(x)$ and

$$HF_1(x) - HF_2(x) = 2\|\tilde{f}\|_1^{-1} H(\tilde{f}_1(x) - \tilde{f}_2(x)) = -2\|Hf\|_1^{-1} f(x)$$

by (5). Theorem 1 is proved. \square

By using very similar arguments, we easily obtain the following assertion, which complements the theorem in the case when $f \notin L$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}), p > 1$.

Proposition 3. *Let $p \in (1, \infty), n = 2, 3, \dots$. Let a real-valued function f belong to $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R})$. If the function Hf is nonnegative, $Hf \in L$*

and $Hf \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{\tilde{A}; \mathbb{R}\}$ with some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\tilde{A} > 0$, then there is a real polynomial Q of degree $n - 1$, such that

$$\left| f(x) - \frac{\|Hf\|_1}{2\pi n} \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right| < 2 \cdot \frac{\tilde{A} + \|Hf\|_1}{\alpha n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty.$$

Note that any function f , satisfying the conditions of Proposition 3, belongs to V_p . If, moreover, $f \not\equiv 0$, then $f \notin L$ (sf. Proposition 1).

Proof. Assume that $d := \|Hf\|_1 > 0$ and set $F(x) = Hf(x)/d$. The function F is nonnegative and $\|F\|_1 = 1$, $F \in \text{Lip}_\alpha\{\tilde{A}/d; \mathbb{R}\}$. By [10, Theorem 3], there is a real polynomial Q of degree $n - 1$, such that

$$\left| HF(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi n} \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} \right| < \frac{2\tilde{A} + 2d}{\alpha n^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}d}, \quad -\infty < x < +\infty.$$

By $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, we have $f = -HHf$. Hence, $HF(x) \equiv -f(x)/d$, and the assertion follows. The case $d = 0$ is trivial ($f \equiv 0$). \square

4. Inequalities between different metrics for simple partial fractions. Nikol'skii inequalities for simple partial fractions

$$\rho_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{z - z_k}$$

were studied by many authors (see, for example, [5], [3] and references therein). Let us recall one result of the paper by Chunaev and Danchenko [3], stated as Theorem 4.5: for any $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < p < q \leq \infty$,

$$\|\rho_n\|_q^{q'} \leq 2^{q'-p'} \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{\pi} \right)^{p'q'(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})} (1 + c_p)^{p'} \|\rho_n\|_p^{p'}, \quad (7)$$

where κ_p is a unique natural number, which belongs to $[\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2} + 1)$,

$$\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'}, \quad c_p = \begin{cases} \tan \frac{\pi}{2p}, & 1 < p \leq 2, \\ \cot \frac{\pi}{2p}, & 2 \leq p < \infty, \end{cases}$$

$c_p \geq 1$ is the norm of the Hilbert transform in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. A similar inequality with a bigger constant was first obtained by Danchenko and Dodonov in the paper [5], where the authors raised the problem of finding a better upper bound for the ratio $\|\rho_n\|_q^{q'} / \|\rho_n\|_p^{p'}$.

Thus, our goal is to improve the constant factor in the estimate (7).
If all z_k are non-real, then $\rho_n(x)$ is bounded on the real line:

$$M := \|\rho_n\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Putting $q = \infty$ in (7), we get

$$M \leq 2^{1-p'} \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{\pi} \right)^{p'/p} (1 + c_p)^{p'} \|\rho_n\|_p^{p'}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (8)$$

But

$$1 - p' = p' \left(\frac{1}{p'} - 1 \right) = -\frac{p'}{p},$$

therefore, (8) can be written in the form

$$M \leq \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{2\pi} \right)^{p'/p} (1 + c_p)^{p'} \|\rho_n\|_p^{p'}, \quad 1 < p < \infty. \quad (9)$$

Now, let $q < \infty$. Since $|\rho_n(x)| \leq M$ at points $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that

$$\int_{-a}^a |\rho_n(x)|^q dx = \int_{-a}^a |\rho_n(x)|^{q-p} |\rho_n(x)|^p dx \leq M^{q-p} \int_{-a}^a |\rho_n(x)|^p dx$$

for $q > p$ and any $a > 0$. Letting $a \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|\rho_n\|_q^q \leq M^{q-p} \|\rho_n\|_p^p, \quad 1 < p < q,$$

because ρ_n belongs to all the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $p > 1$.

Using the estimate (9) and the transformation

$$(q - p) \frac{p'q'}{q} = pq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \frac{p'q'}{q} = p(p' - q'),$$

we obtain

$$\|\rho_n\|_q^{q'} \leq M^{(q-p)q'/q} \|\rho_n\|_p^{pq'/q} \leq \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{2\pi} (1 + c_p)^p \right)^{p'-q'} \|\rho_n\|_p^{p(p'-q') + pq'/q}.$$

Observe that

$$p(p' - q') + \frac{pq'}{q} = pq' \left(\frac{p'}{q'} - 1 + \frac{1}{q} \right) = pp' \left(1 - \frac{1}{p'} \right) = p'.$$

Thus, we have proved the following result:

Theorem 2. *For any simple partial fraction ρ_n without poles on \mathbb{R} :*

$$\|\rho_n\|_q^{q'} \leq \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{2\pi} (1 + c_p)^p \right)^{p'-q'} \|\rho_n\|_p^{p'}, \quad 1 < p < q \leq \infty. \quad (10)$$

For $q = \infty$, the estimate (10) coincides with the result of Chunaev and Danchenko (7), because of the equality $p(p' - 1) = p'$. However, for any $q < \infty$, Theorem 2 is stronger than (7), since in this case

$$p(p' - q') = p'(p' - q')/(p' - 1) < p'$$

and, therefore,

$$(1 + c_p)^{p(p'-q')} < (1 + c_p)^{p'}.$$

Even more, in contrast to (7), the estimate (10) has the following important property: the left-hand side of the estimate tends to the right-hand side as $q \rightarrow p$.

Our next purpose is to establish some (q, ∞) Nikol'skii inequalities for differences of simple partial fractions. Let Θ be a weak norm of the Hilbert transform, i.e., the smallest possible value of a constant C in the Kolmogorov inequality

$$m(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |Hf(x)| \geq \delta\}) \leq C \|f\|_1 / \delta,$$

where f is any real-valued summable function and $m(E)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. Recall that [7, p. 338]

$$\Theta = \frac{\pi^2/8}{1 - 3^{-2} + 5^{-2} - \dots} = 1.347\dots$$

It was proved in [10] that if r is a real rational function of degree n and $\mu(r, \delta) := m(\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |r'(x)/r(x)| \geq \delta\})$, then, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\mu(r, \delta) \leq 2\pi\Theta \cdot n/\delta, \quad (11)$$

where the constant $2\pi\Theta$ cannot be replaced by a smaller value. Note that (11) can be formulated as follows: for any real rational function r of degree n and $\delta > 0$ there is a set $E = E(r, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $m(E) \leq \delta$ and

$$|r'(x)| \leq 2\pi\Theta \cdot \frac{n}{\delta} |r(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E.$$

The last estimate was first obtained (with a bigger factor $C \ln n$ instead of $2\pi\Theta$) by Gonchar [6] and used by him in the proof of the converse theorem, mentioned in Section 1 above.

Estimates of the quantity $\mu(r, \delta)$ are well-known in the case of complex polynomials $r = P$ by the works of Macintyre and Fuchs, Govorov and Grushevskii and others (see details and references in [10]). For example, the famous result by Macintyre and Fuchs (1940) is

$$\mu(P, \delta) \leq 2e \cdot n/\delta, \quad n = \deg P \quad (\delta > 0).$$

The best possible result [11] for *real* polynomials P of degree n is

$$\mu(P, \delta) \leq \pi \cdot n/\delta \quad (\delta > 0). \tag{12}$$

Using (11) and (12), we easily establish the following extension of theorem 3 of the paper [5], where the case of complex polynomials $r = P$ is considered:

Theorem 3. *Let $1 < q \leq \infty$ and let E be an arbitrary bounded or unbounded segment of \mathbb{R} . Then, for any real rational function r of degree n without poles and zeros on E we have*

$$\|R\|_{L^q(E)}^{q'} \leq (2\pi\Theta \cdot nq')^{q'/q} \|R\|_{L^\infty(E)}, \quad 1/q + 1/q' = 1,$$

where $R(x) = r'(x)/r(x)$. Moreover, if $r(x) = P(x)$ is a real polynomial of degree n , i.e., $R(x) \equiv \rho_n(x)$ is a real-valued simple partial fraction, then the constant $2\pi\Theta$ in this estimate can be replaced by π .

Proof. Set $M = \|R\|_{L^\infty(E)}$. By the assumptions of the theorem, we have $M < \infty$ and $R \in L^q(E)$ for all $q > 1$. Next, we have [7, p. 233]

$$\|R\|_{L^q(E)}^q = q \int_0^M \tilde{\mu}(\delta) \delta^{q-1} d\delta, \quad \tilde{\mu}(\delta) := m(\{x \in E : |R(x)| \geq \delta\}).$$

But $\tilde{\mu}(\delta) \leq \mu(r, \delta)$, hence, by (11):

$$\|R\|_{L^q(E)}^q \leq q \int_0^M 2\pi\Theta \cdot n \cdot \delta^{q-2} d\delta = 2\pi\Theta \cdot nq' M^{q-1},$$

and the first assertion of the theorem follows. Analogously, the second assertion follows from (12). \square

Corollary. Let $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and $g(x) = -2x/(x^2 + 1)$. There is a real polynomial Q of degree $n - 1$, such that for every $1 < q \leq \infty$

$$\left\| g - \frac{1}{n} \frac{Q'}{Q} \right\|_q^{q'} < \frac{c_q}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad c_q := 4(\pi + 1)(3\pi q')^{q'/q}, \quad 1/q + 1/q' = 1.$$

Proof. Recall that $Hg(x) = 2/(x^2 + 1)$ (see Section 2), therefore,

$$Hg \in L, \quad \|Hg\|_1 = 2\pi, \quad Hg \in \text{Lip}_1\{2; \mathbb{R}\}.$$

By applying Proposition 3, we get existence of a real polynomial Q of degree $n - 1$, such that

$$\|\Delta\|_\infty < \frac{4(\pi + 1)}{\sqrt{n}} \equiv \frac{c_\infty}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \left(\Delta(x) := \frac{1}{n} \frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)} - g(x) \right).$$

Now consider the logarithmic derivative $R(x) := h'(x)/h(x)$, where

$$h(x) := Q(x)(x^2 + 1)^n$$

is a real polynomial of degree $(n - 1) + 2n < 3n$. But $R(x) \equiv n\Delta(x)$; hence, by Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta\|_q^{q'} &= n^{-q'} \|R\|_q^{q'} \leq n^{-q'} (\pi \cdot \deg h \cdot q')^{q'/q} \|R\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq n^{1-q'} (\pi \cdot 3n \cdot q')^{q'/q} \|\Delta\|_\infty = n^{1-q'+q'/q} (3\pi q')^{q'/q} \|\Delta\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 - q' + q'/q = 0$. Thus, the result follows from this and the previous estimate of $\|\Delta\|_\infty$. \square

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to the referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Aleksandrov A. B., *Norm of the Hilbert transformation in a space of Hölder functions*. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 1975, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 94–96.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01075444>
- [2] Borodin P. A., Kosukhin O. N., *Approximation by the simplest fractions on the real axis*. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*, 2005, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 1–6.
<https://zbmath.org/?q=an%3A1101.41016>

- [3] Chunaev P. V., Danchenko V. I., *Quadrature formulas with variable nodes and Jackson–Nikolskii inequalities for rational functions*. J. Approx. Theory, 2018, vol. 228, pp. 1–20.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2017.12.004>
- [4] Danchenko V. I., *Estimates of the distances from the poles of logarithmic derivatives of polynomials to lines and circles*. Sb. Math., 1995, vol. 82, no. 2, pp. 425–440.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1070/SM1995v082n02ABEH003573>
- [5] Danchenko V. I., Dodonov A. E., *Estimates for L_p -norms of simple partial fractions*. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), 2014, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 6–15.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1066369X14060024>
- [6] Gonchar A. A., *Converse theorems concerning best approximations by rational functions*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 1961, vol. 25, pp. 347–356 (in Russian).
DOI: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=125382>
- [7] King F. W., *Hilbert transforms. Vol. 1*. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications (vol. 124). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511721458>
- [8] Kober H., *A note on Hilbert’s operator*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 1942, vol. 48, pp. 421–427.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1942-07688-9>
- [9] Komarov M. A., *Approximation by linear fractional transformations of simple partial fractions and their differences*. Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), 2018, vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 23–33.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1066369X18030040>
- [10] Komarov M. A., *Distribution of the logarithmic derivative of a rational function on the line*. Acta Math. Hungar., 2021, vol. 163, no. 2, pp. 623–639. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-020-01102-w>
- [11] Komarov M. A., *Metric property of a real polynomial*. Bull. London Math. Soc., 2021, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 336–346.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12421>
- [12] Petrushev P. P., Popov V. A., *Rational approximation of real functions*. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications (vol. 28). Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781107340756>
- [13] Protasov V. Yu. *Approximation by simple partial fractions and the Hilbert transform*. Izv. Math., 2009, vol. 73, no. 2, pp. 333–349.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1070/IM2009v073n02ABEH002449>

Received December 15, 2020.

In revised form, April 09, 2021.

Accepted April 14, 2021.

Published online April 19, 2021.

Vladimir State University
Gor'kogo street 87, Vladimir 600000, Russia
E-mail: kami9@yandex.ru